











BULLETIN

OF THE

NUTTALL ORNITHOLOGICAL CLUB:

3 Quarterly Journal of Ornithology.

VOLUME IV.

Editor,
J. A. ALLEN.

Associate Cditors,
S. F. BAIRD AND ELLIOTT COUES.

CAMBRIDGE, MASS.:
PUBLISHED BY THE CLUB.
1879.

CONTENTS OF VOLUME IV.

NUMBER I.

Daniel Daniel Daniel Daniel Volume	V	PAGE
YORK. By C. Hart Merriam		
A LIST OF BIRDS OBSERVED AT COOSADA, CENTRAL ALABAMA. B	By Nat	han
Clifford Brown		. 7
THE TERNS OF THE NEW ENGLAND COAST. By William Brewste	r .	. 13
On the Coloration of Eggs. By S. D. Osborne		. 23
Nest and Eggs of the Cerulean Warbler. By $J.\ A.\ Allen$.		. 25
Additional Cases of Albinism and Melanism in North A		
Birds. By Ruthven Deane		. 27
LIST OF BIRDS OBSERVED IN THE NAVAL HOSPITAL GROUNDS, II	n Bro	OK-
LYN CITY. By George Hughes Coues		. 31
Notes on Some of the less hardy Winter Residents of the	: Hub	
RIVER VALLEY. By Edgar A. Mearns		
Breeding of the Winter Wren at Houlton, Me. By Ruthve		
DESCRIPTIONS OF THE FIRST PLUMAGE IN VARIOUS SPECIES O		
American Birds. By William Brewster		
Notes on the Habits and Distribution of the Rufous-		
Sparrow (Pencara ruficeps). By William Brewster		. 47

RECENT LITERATURE.

Lawrence and Ober on the Birds of Dominica and St. Vincent, 48; Coues's Field-Notes on Birds observed along the Forty-ninth Parallel, 49; Merrill's Notes on the Ornithology of Southern Texas, 50; Jones and Shulze's Illustrations of the Nests and Eggs of the Birds of Ohio, 52; Adams's Notes on the Birds of Alaska, 52; Wilson and Bonaparte's American Ornithology, 53; Coues's Birds of the Colorado Valley, 54.

GENERAL NOTES.

Kirtland's Warbler again in Ohio, 58. — Vireo atricapillus in Texas, 58. — Some Light on the History of a Rare Bird, 59. — Occurrence of the Western Variety of the Yellow Red-poll Warbler in Massachusetts, 60. — The Golden-cheeked Warbler and Black-chinned Humming-Bird in Texas, 60. — Capture of two Rare Birds at Riverdale, N. Y., 60. — The White-bellied Nuthatch concealing Food, 61. — The Great Carolina Wren (Thryothorus ludovicianus) in Connecticut, 61. — Occurrence of several Rare Birds near Sing Sing, N. Y., 61. — Occurrence of Birds rare to the Vicinity of Colum-

bus, O., 62. — The Great White Egret in New Brunswick, 63. — The Stilt Sandpiper (*Micropalama himantopus*) on the New Jersey Coast, 62. — Notes on New England Birds, 63. — The Frigate Pelican in Nova Scotia, 64. — Fulmarus qlacialis on the Massachusetts Coast, 64.

NUMBER IL

HISTORY OF THE EVENING GROSBEAK. By Elliott Coues	65
On the Habits and Nesting of Certain Rare Birds in Texas. By	
William Brewster	10
LATE FALL AND WINTER NOTES ON SOME BIRDS OBSERVED IN THE VICIN-	
ITY OF PRINCETON, N. J. By W. E. D. Scott	81
Notes on the Breeding Habits of the California Pygmy Owl (Glau-	
cidium californicum), WITH A DESCRIPTION OF ITS EGGS. By William	0.0
A. Cooper	
The American Brown Creeper. By T. M Brewer	87
Notes on Birds observed at Twin Lakes, Lake County, Colorado.	
By W. E. D. Scott	90
NEST AND EGGS OF THE GOLDEN-CROWNED KINGLEY (Regulus satrapa). By	
T. M. Brewer	96
NOTES UPON THE DISTRIBUTION, HABITS, AND NESTING OF THE BLACK-	
Capped Vireo (Vireo atricapillus). By William Brewster	99
The Ipswich Sparrow (Passerculus princeps, Maynard). By W. A.	
Jeffries	103
NOTES ON A FEW BIRDS OCCURRING IN THE VICINITY OF PORTLAND, ME.	
By Nathan Clifford Brown	106
STRANGE STORY OF A CALIFORNIA BIRD. By Miss Fannie Miller	

RECENT LITERATURE.

Aughey's Notes on the Food of the Birds of Nebraska, 110. — Langdon's Revised List of Cincinnati Birds, 112;
 A Woman's Work as a Naturalist, 113.
 — Maynard's Birds of Florida, 114.

GENERAL NOTES.

Olive-backed Thrush (Turdus swainsoni) in Texas, 116. — Albinism in the Tufted Titmouse, 116. — Hooded Warbler in Western New York, 116. — Note on Dendræca townsendi, 116. — The Yel'ow-runnped Warbler (Dendræca coronata) wintering in Swampscott, Mass, 118. — Capture of Kirtland's Warbler (Dendræca kirtlandi) in the Bahama Islands, 118. — The Eggs of the Redstart (Setophaga ruticilla), 118. — Rough-winged Swallow in Connecticut, 119. — The Loggerhead Shrike (Collurio ludovicianus) breeding in Northern New England, 119. — Capture of the Loggerhead Shrike in Winter in New Hampshire, 119. — The White-rumped and Loggerhead Shrikes in Ohio, 120. — The Great Northern Shrike in New England, 120. — Ægiothus exilipes in Massachusetts, 121. — Record of the Breeding of Crossbills in Northern Vermont in 1796, 121. — Notes on the Purple Finch, 122. — Nesting of the Black-throated Bunting (Euspiza americana) in Massachusetts, 122 — Rare Birds in Michigan, 123. — The Cow-

Blackbird of Texas and Arizona (Molothrus obscurus), 123. — A Spotted Egg of Empidonax minimus, 124. — Additional Captures of the Curlew Sandpiper in New England, 124; A Second Specimen of the Yellow-crowned Night Heron (Nyctiardea violacea) in Massachusetts, 124. — Additional Notes on the Whistling Swan (Cygnus americanus) in New England, 125. — Occurrence of Ross's Goose (Anser rossii) on the Pacific Coast and Inland, 126. — Note on Bucephala islandica, 126. — Notes on the Sea-Birds of the Grand Banks, 127.

NUMBER III.

ON THE USE OF TRINOMIALS IN ZOÖLOGICAL NOMENCLATURE. By Robe	rt
Ridgway	. 129
REMARKS UPON Turdus pallasi and its Varieties. By II. W. Henshaw	. 134
NOTES ON BIRDS OBSERVED DURING THE SPRING MIGRATION IN WESTER	RN
Missouri, By W. E. D. Scott	. 139
THE ROCKY MOUNTAIN GOLDEN-EYE (Bucephala islandica). By T	И.
Brewer	. 148
Notes on some Minnesota Birds. By T. S. Roberts	. 152
MELOSPIZA MELODA AND ITS ALLIES. By H. W. Henshaw	. 155
A PARTIAL LIST OF THE BIRDS OF FORT KLAMATH, OREGON, COLLECT	ED
BY LIEUTENANT WILLIS WITTICH, U. S. A., WITH ANNOTATIONS AS	SD
Applitions by the Collector. By Edgar A. Mearns	. 161

RECENT LITERATURE.

Belding and Ridgway's Birds of Central California, 167. — Cory on the Birds of the Magdalen Islands, 171. — Roosevelt's Notes on some of the Birds of Oyster Bay, Long Island, 171. — Ingersoll's Nests and Eggs of American Birds, 172. — A Revised List of Birds of Central New York, 172. — Hallock's Sportsman's Gazetteer, 175. — Coues's Bibliography of Ornithology, 175.

GENERAL NOTES.

Odd Behavior of a Robin and a Yellow Warbler, 178. - The Blue-gray Gnatcatcher and Sanderling in Minnesota, 182. - Nest and Eggs of the Gray Titmouse (Lophophanes inornatus), 182. - Nesting of Certhia familiaris, 183. The Carolina Wren (Thryothorus ludovicianus) breeding in New York, 183. - The Great Carolina Wren breeding on Long Island, 184. - Record of Additional Specimens of the White-throated Warbler (Helminthophaga leucobronchialis), 184. - Additional Capture of the Cærulean Warbler in New England, 185. — Another Kirtland's Warbler (Dendræca kirtlandi), 185. — Correction, 186. - Rare Birds in Michigan, 186. - The Loggerhead Shrike breeding in Maine, 186. - Notes on some of the Winter and Early Spring Birds of Fort Sisseton, Dakota, 187. - Capture of a third Specimen of the Flammulated Owl (Scops flammeola) in the United States, and first Discovery of its Nest, 188. - MacFarlane's Gerfalcon (Falco gyrfalco sacer) in Maine, 188. - Nesting of Buteo zonocercus in New Mexico, 189; Capture of the Golden Eagle at Gravesend, L. I., 189. - The Eggs of the Curlew Sandpiper (Tringa subarquata), 190. - Capture of the European Widgeon in North Carolina, 190. - Bonaparte's Gull in Kansas, 190. - The Booby Gannet (Sula fiber) in Massachusetts, 191; A Word in Defence, 191.

NUMBER IV.

Note on the Black-Capped Greenlet, Vireo atricapillus of Woodhouse. (Plate.) By Dr. Elliott Coues, U. S. A
Additions by the Collector. By Edgar A. Mearns
Breeding Habits of the American Brown Creeper (Certhia familiaris
americana). By William Brewster
A PARTIAL LIST OF THE BIRDS OF CHESTER COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.
By Leverett M. Loomis
On a New Species of Peuc.ea from Southern Illinois and Central
Texas. By Robert Ridgivay
Notes on Birds observed at Long Beach, New Jersey. By W. E. D.
Scott
RECENT LITERATURE.
Obituary, 228. — Lawrence on the Birds of the Lesser Antilles, 228. — Elliott's Synopsis of the Trochilida, 230. — Brewer on the Nests and Eggs of the Empidonaces, 232.
GENERAL NOTES.

The Use of Trinomials, 232. — Note on Helminthophaga gunnii, Gibbs, 233. — Helminthophaga leucobronchialis in New York, 234. — Helminthophaga pinus, Oporornis formosa, and Mniotilta varia breeding in Pennsylvania, 234. -Notes on the Occurrence of certain rare or uncommon Birds at Philadelphia and adjacent Localities, 235. - Nesting of the Kentucky Warbler (Oporornis formosa) in Ohio, 236. - Vireo gilvus and Vireo flavifrons in Northern New England, 237. - The Loggerhead Shrike in Central New York, 237. - The Evening Grosbeak in New Mexico, 237. - Note on Hesperiphona vespertina, 237. - Southward Range of Centrophanes lapponica, 238. - Henslow's Bunting (Coturniculus henslowi) near Washington, 238. — The Snowbird (Junco hyemalis) in Southern Michigan in Summer, 238. - Nesting of the Snowbird (Junco hyemalis) in Eastern Tennessee, 238. - Capture of a Fish Crow (Corvus ossifragus) near Seabright, Monmouth County, New Jersey, 239. - The Rocky Mountain Whiskey-Jack (Perisoreus canadensis capitalis), 239. - Another Nest of the Ye:low-bellied Flycatcher (Empidonax flaviventris), 240. - Nesting of the Yellow-bellied Flycatcher (Empidonax flaviventris), 241. - A Correction, 242. - Wilson's Plover on Long Island, N. Y., 242. - The Black Skimmer (Rhynchops nigra) in New England, 242. -The Black Skimmer in Massachusetts, 243. - Occurrence of the Caspian Tern (Sterna caspia) upon the Coast of Virginia, 243. - Note on Alle nigricans, 244.

BULLETIN

OF THE

NUTTALL ORNITHOLOGICAL CLUB.

Vol. IV.

JANUARY, 1879.

No. 1.

REMARKS ON SOME OF THE BIRDS OF LEWIS COUNTY, NORTHERN NEW YORK.

BY C. HART MERRIAM.

(Continued from p. 128, Vol. III.)

Sphyrapicus varius. Yellow-bellied Woodpecker.— This elegant species, second only to the Red-headed Woodpecker in point of beauty, is a common summer resident in Lewis County, frequenting alike the orchards and hard-wood groves of the central district, and the dense evergreen forests, Canadian in Fauna, of the Adirondack region in the eastern, and the Tug Hill range in the western, portion of the county.

The males reach us about the middle of April (April 13, 1878), and are followed by their partners about a week afterwards. They depart during the latter part of August, though a few scattering individuals, chiefly young, may be seen throughout September and even into October. These individuals I believe to be migrants who breed farther north and tarry with us but a day or two during their journey southward. Still it is true that they are most frequently seen about the "food-trees" (to be mentioned farther on), and it may be that a few inexperienced young of our own summer residents remain, reluctant to leave these favorite provision stores, after their parents and brothers are already well on the way to their winter-quarters.

Their breeding habits have been so fully and graphically portrayed (in an early number of this Bulletin*) by the able pen of

^{*} Bull. Nutt. Ornith. Club, Vol. I, No. 3, pp. 63 – 70, September, 1876.

VOL. IV. 1

Mr. William Brewster, that I omit all reference to their nidification, and will only mention such peculiarities of habit as have escaped the observation of others, or which, by their oddity, merit further notice.

In few species can the date of arrival, in spring, be ascertained with such precision as in the bird now under consideration; for, no sooner are they here, and recovered from the fatigue of their northward journey, than the country fairly resounds with their cries and drumming. For two or three weeks after reaching us, and before the migrants have passed farther north, they are extremely abundant, and during this period behave in a very un-Woodpecker-like manner; for, though less conspicuous in plumage, they are even more clamorous and more often seen than their Red-headed cousins. Noisy, rollicking fellows, they are always chasing one another among the trees, screaming meanwhile at the tops of their voices, and when three or four vociferous males alight on the same tree, as often happens, their boisterous cries are truly astonishing. But, not satisfied with these vocal manifestations of their din-making proclivities, and ever desirous of demonstrating their weakness in this direction, these indefatigable creatures take special delight in pounding upon any hard resonant substance which chance may have thrown in their way, and are never more happy than when they discover some tin-roofed dwelling on which to drum. At this season scarcely an hour passes, from daylight till sunset, that one or more cannot be heard drumming with commendable perseverance upon the tin roofs, eave-troughs, or escape-pipes of our house or some of the out-buildings. They strike the tin violently half a dozen or more times, evidently enjoying the sound thus produced, and then rest a few minutes before repeating the performance. Each Woodpecker usually returns to the same spot, and on our roof are several patches, the size of one's hand, from which the paint has been entirely drummed off. On the escape-pipe they sometimes follow around a joint, and by constant and long-continued pounding so loosen the solder that the dependent portion of the pipe falls down. How they manage to cling to these vertical pipes and the nearly perpendicular portions of the roof is a mystery to me. I have seen both sexes at work on our roof, but the female does not often indulge in this pastime, and is rarely observed to take part in the boisterous gambols of the males. In the groves and forests, where tin-roofed buildings do not abound, the Yellow-bellied

Woodpeckers amuse themselves by pounding upon such dry hollow trees and hard resonant limbs as multiply the sound tenfold, so that one can, at a distance, readily distinguish them from other members of the family. Before they have been with us three weeks, however, an inward change takes place, and by the middle of May their manners are so different that one would scarcely recognize the species. The migrants have passed on, and those which remain to breed have already given up their idle frolics, and in comparative silence are preparing for the graver task of rearing offspring.

In the Adirondack region, during the migrations, they outnumber all the other species of the family together, and throughout the entire summer are second in numbers only to the Hairy Woodpecker (Picus villosus). Here they often, in search for insects, strip off the "shag-bark" from the spruce, and it is no uncommon thing, in passing through these primeval forests, to meet with many large trees thus almost completely denuded of their outer bark for nearly the entire length of the trunk. These trees are very conspicuous objects, and never fail to excite the curiosity of strangers, who are much more willing to believe the existing condition "due to the ravages of the Black Cock of the Woods [Hylatomus pileatus] or Porcupine" (Erethizon dorsatus) than to the present innocent-looking species.

In the central district they really do considerable mischief by drilling holes in the bark of apple, thorn-apple, and mountain-ash trees in such a way as to form girdles of punctures, sometimes two feet or more in breadth (up and down), about the trunks and branches. Whether in like manner they affect trees (excepting occasionally a young elm) pertaining to other genera than the one (Pyrus) to which the above belong, I am unable to say; but the fact of their destroying some of these, notably the apple, and especially in the West, has often been recorded. The holes, which are sometimes merely single punctures, and sometimes squarish spaces (multiple punctures) nearly half an inch across, are placed so near together that, not unfrequently, they cover more of the tree than the remaining bark. Hence, more than half of the bark is sometimes removed from the girdled portions, and the balance often dries up and comes off. Therefore it is not surprising that trees which have been extensively girdled generally die, and mountain ash are much more prone to do so than either apple or thorn-apple trees, due, very likely, to their more slender stems.

The motive which induces this species to operate thus upon

young and healthy trees is, I think, but partly understood. It is unquestionably true that they feed, to a certain extent, both upon the inner bark and the fresh sap from these trees, but that the procurement of these two elements of sustenance, gratifying as they doubtless are, is their chief aim in making the punctures I am inclined to dispute. As the sap exudes from the newly made punctures, thousands of flies, "yellow-jackets," and other insects congregate about the place, till the hum of their wings suggests a swarm of bees. If, now, the tree be watched, the Woodpecker will soon be seen to return and alight over that part of the girdle which he has most recently punctured. Here he remains, with motionless body, and feasts upon the choicest species from the host of insects within easy reach. Therefore it is my firm belief that their chief object in making these holes is to secure the insects which gather about them.

Some time ago Mr. C. L. Bagg called my attention to a clump of mountain-ash whose leaves had turned yellow and were fast falling off. Here a pair of these birds, with their young, had established an unfailing food supply, and at almost any time of day several of their dark motionless forms might be seen adhering to the trunks and branches of the young trees. Evidently this had been their headquarters for several seasons, for all the main stems in the cluster were girdled for at least five feet (commencing two or three feet from the ground), and most of the branches of any size were likewise punctured. In making each girdle they work around the trunk, and from below upwards, but they may begin a new girdle below an old one. They make but few holes each day, and after completing two or three remain over the spot for some little time, and as the clear fresh sap exudes and trickles down the bark they place their bill against the dependent drop and suck it in with evident relish, - a habit which has doubtless given rise to the more appropriate than elegant term, "Sap-Sucker," by which they are commonly known in some parts of the country. I have several times watched this performance at a distance of less than ten feet, and all the details of the process were distinctly seen, the bird looking at me, meanwhile, "out of the corner of his eye." When his thirst is satisfied he silently disappears, and as silently returns again, after a few hours, to feast upon the insects that have been attracted to the spot by the escaping sap. This bird, then, by a few strokes of its bill, is enabled to secure both food (animal

and vegetable) and drink in abundance for an entire day; and a single tree, favorably situated, may suffice for a whole season!

To explain the origin of this habit, at first thought so wonderful. is not difficult when we bear in mind the fact that all Woodpeckers are "fitted by nature" for drilling holes in trees. Now let us suppose that one of the ancestors of this species, while pounding off a bit of dead bark from an apple-tree in search for the insects that might lurk beneath it, should, by chance, have struck his bill into an adjoining strip of sound bark. Seeing the crystal drops of sap slowly issuing from the wounded spot, he would naturally enough have tasted it, and, finding it agreeable to his palate, would be led to repeat the experiment. A little of the inner bark, partaking of the same flavor, might also be swallowed. Then, after the lapse of a few hours (during which digestion would be completed and the appetite again become manifest), is it strange that he should return to the spot where, a short time before, his hunger had been so easily satisfied? Here he would find himself surrounded by a swarm of insects, feeding upon the sap which had exuded during his absence, and from among their numbers an unexpected repast would be soon finished. Now, it is not at all likely that the bird would forgot this day's experience, but, on the contrary, he would profit by it, and on the morrow, and day by day thereafter, would repeat the experiment, at first upon the same tree, and afterwards upon others of the same kind, till the habit would become firmly established.

Though the bird's attention was first attracted by the oozing sap, and his first return to the spot was doubtless due to his recollection of its agreeable flavor, yet I cannot but believe that the insects which he then found there served to keep up his interest in the place much more than the few drops of fluid swallowed beforehand, just to prepare the alimentary tract, as it were, for the solid food to come, — as we take a glass of Congress-water a half-hour before breakfast. Hence it is easy to see how a chance stroke of the bill sufficed to establish a habit by which the Yellow-bellied Woodpecker is always enabled, with a minimum amount of labor, to obtain an unlimited supply of the food most pleasing to its taste. And yet some people, who ought to know better, would still call this another example of "that curious instinct" which leads birds and other animals to do those things which are best adapted to their needs.

Before the commencement of the breeding-season they are pre-

eminently a noisy species, filling the woods with their discordant cries, while during and after incubation they are seldom heard, and in the vicinity of the food trees their silence is very remarkable, for never have I heard a note of any description uttered either while in the neighborhood of these trees or in flying to and fro between them and the forests.

Picoides arcticus. BLACK-BACKED THREE-TOED WOODPECKER. — This bird is not an uncommon resident in those portions of Lewis County which pertain to the Canadian Fauna; for they are found both in the Adirondack region and in the coniferous forests bordering Big Alder and Fish Creeks, in the Tug Hill range.

Picoides americanus. Banded Three-toed Woodpecker. — This is also a resident species, but is much less common than the foregoing. For an account of its nesting and a description of its eggs see the last Bulletin (Vol. III, No. 4, October, 1878, p. 200).

Hylatomus pileatus. PILEATED WOODPECKER; BLACK LOG COCK; COCK OF THE WOODS. — This splendid species, commonly known among our hunters as the "Black Cock of the Woods," and, once common, is now becoming rare in Lewis County, although it is still a resident of the deep Canadian forests along our eastern border. A few are killed each year in the Adirondack region, and Mr. Dayan informs me that scarcely a season passes but that two or three specimens are taken in the vicinity of Lyon's Falls, — so near do they approach civilization.

Centurus carolinus. Red-Bellied Woodpecker. — Mr. C. L. Bagg has a mounted specimen of this Woodpecker, which he shot here (Locust Grove, Lewis County) during the winter of 1871 – 2.

Melospiza lincolni. Lincoln's Finch. — In my cabinet is a female specimen of Lincoln's Finch, which I shot here (Locust Grove) May 23, 1873. Mr. Egbert Bagg, Jr., of Utica, on the I3th of June last (1878), took its nest, containing three eggs, at Moose Pond, Hamilton County, N. Y.* (in the Adirondack region, and not many miles distant from Lewis County). As there is no question concerning the identity of this nest (the female parent having been shot and sent to Mr. Robert Ridgway for identification), and since my bird was taken so late as the 23d of May, I think there can be no reasonable doubt of its breeding in Lewis County.

Cistothorus stellaris. Short-billed Marsh Wren. — Mr. Romeyn B. Hough has, in his cabinet, two females of this Wren, which he killed near Lowville, in this county, October 27, 1877.

^{*} Bull. Nutt. Ornith. Club, Vol. III, No. 4, pp. 197, 198, October, 1878.

Myiodioctes mitratus. Hooded Warbler. — On the 9th of September last (1878), at Lowville, an adult male of this species was killed by a cat and brought, while still warm, to Mr. Romeyn B. Hough, who now has the specimen. So far north of its known range it can hardly be considered more than a straggler.

A LIST OF BIRDS OBSERVED AT COOSADA, CENTRAL ALABAMA.

BY NATHAN CLIFFORD BROWN.

(Concluded from p. 174, Vol. III.)

41. Stelgidopteryx serripennis (Aud.) Bd. Rough-winged Swallów.—Rather common summer resident. Arrived March 22; not generally distributed until the first week in April.

42. Progne purpurea (L.) Boic. Purple Martin. — Although abundant in Montgomery, this bird is seen at Coosada only as a "bird of passage." I saw the first specimen on March 13.

43. Ampelis cedrorum (L.) Scl. Cedar-Bird. — Of very irregular occurrence. Seen, at intervals, in flocks of from six to twenty individuals.

44. Vireo olivaceus (L.) Vieill. RED-EYED VIREO. — An uncommon summer resident, generally distributed. Arrived the last of March.

45. Vireo solitarius (Wils.) Vieill. Solitary Vireo. — An uncommon winter visitant. The males began their song on March 6. After this date they were somewhat more numerous, but all had disappeared by March 20.

46. Vireo noveboracensis (Gm.) Bp. WHITE-EYED VIREO.—Arrived March 27, and the following day both sexes were found in abundance, the males in full song. A nest containing four fresh eggs was taken, April 20, in a swampy wood by the roadside.

47. Collurio ludovicianus (L.) Bd. Loggerhead Shrike. — Uncommon up to about April 1, after which none were seen. The song, which I heard but once, is very like that of the Northern Shrike. This bird is well known in Alabama as the "French Mocking-Bird."

48. Carpodacus purpureus (Gm.) Gray. PURPLE FINCH.—Rather uncommon during the winter; most numerous about the middle of March, when the males began to sing; stragglers seen a month later. They generally associated with Goldfinches.

49. Chrysomitris tristis (L.) Bp. Goldfinch. — Of irregular occurrence throughout my stay.

50. Passerculus savanna (Wils.) Bp. Savannah Sparrow. — Very common during the winter and early spring. Last seen about the middle of April. None heard singing.

51. Poœcetes gramineus (Gm.) Bd. Grass Finch. — Common at

the same time as the preceding.

- 52. Coturniculus passerinus (Wils.) Bp. Yellow-winged Sparrow. A single male was captured by Mr. Bond, in an open field bordering the Alabama River, late in the afternoon of March 19.
- 53. Coturniculus henslowi (Aud.) Bp. Henslow's Bunting.— Ten specimens were taken between the dates of February 18 and April 4, inclusive, in old fields of rice and broom-sedge.

In the course of a good deal of varied field experience I do not know that I ever met with a bird more difficult to procure, when found, than this one is. Wonderfully adapted as they are for running and dodging about upon the ground, they cannot be made to fly unless come upon abruptly and unexpectedly; and once under the protecting cover of a patch of bushes, no amount of shouting and thrashing about will avail to get them a-wing. On one occasion Mr. Bond actually trod upon one and caught it alive. Even when once made to fly,—and amongst the luxuriant, tangled grass which they most affect they may hardly be shot except on the wing,—their short, low flight is often scarcely more than a respectable jump over the grass-tops.

There is a general but mistaken supposition that this bird never alights in trees. It does so occasionally, even at quite a distance from the ground.

Neither song nor call-note was heard from any of the specimens taken by Mr. Bond and myself. The ovary of a female killed April 3 was found to be quite undeveloped.

- 54. Coturniculus lecontei (Aud.) Bp. LeConte's Bunting.—
 This beautiful bird, which, if I am not mistaken, has never before been detected east of the Mississippi River, was found to be a rare winter visitant at Coosada. Seven specimens were taken,—three during the latter half of February, four during the first three weeks of March. In habits they were very like the preceding species, except that they intrusted themselves to longer flights and were found more regularly in and about brier-patches and clumps of low bushes.
- 55. **Melospiza** palustris (*Wils.*) *Bd.* Swamp Sparrow.—First seen March 6. Soon became abundant in swampy woods and moist fields. Did not sing.
- 56. Melospiza melodia (Wils.) Bd. Song Sparrow.—Rare during the winter. On February 27 I heard the first song, and within a few days the birds became quite common, but were not seen after the latter part of March.
- 57. Peucæa æstivalis (*Licht.*) Cab. Bachman's Finch.—Apparently resident, but very rare in the winter. Increased in numbers about the first week of March, and finally rather common. But one female was

taken (April 8), and I am confident the birds were not breeding at the time of my departure. Their haunts were exclusively scattering growths of pine. When upon the ground they lie very close, and often baffle all attempts at capture.

The song of the male (first heard March 8) is simple, but passionate and very sweet. It consists of a long-drawn initiatory note, followed by a leisurely trill four tones lower in the scale. A few embellishments are occasionally added, and the singer sometimes varies his strain by beginning upon a low note and rising to the trill. Shy and suspicious as this bird usually is, the singing male is apparently quite oblivious of danger. More than once I have approached an absorbed singer within five or six feet, without exciting the least alarm.

- 58. Junco hyemalis (L.) Scl. Snow-Bird. Seen commonly up to about the middle of April.
- 59. Spizella socialis (Wils.) Bp. Chipping Sparrow. Found in large flocks throughout my stay.
- 60. Spizella pusilla (Wils.) Bp. FIELD SPARROW. Abundant during my stay. On April 24 I found a nest containing four fresh eggs. I am not aware that this bird has previously been known to breed south of Virginia.
- 61. Zonotrichia albicollis (Gm.) Bp. WHITE-TUROATED SPARROW. Rather common during my stay.
- 62. Passerella iliaca (Merrem) Sw. Fox-colored Sparrow.—Rather common winter visitant. Stragglers were seen in an old rice-field until the third week of March.
- 63. Goniaphea cærulea (L.) Bp. Blue Grosbeak. A single female taken April 30, in a thicket bordering a brook.
- 64. Cyanospiza cyanea (L.) Bd. Indigo-Bird. Common in swampy places, after April 6.
- 65. Cardinalis virginianus (Brisson) Bp. CARDINAL REDBIRD. One of the commonest and most conspicuous winter birds, but seldom seen after mating, about February 15. At this time the males began their song, but I did not detect the females singing till a fortnight later. Although the birds paired so early in the season, nearly two months passed before they began to work upon their nests. I found the first eggs on April 29.
- 66. Pipilo erythrophthalmus (L.) Vieill. TOWHEE. Common; apparently resident. First song March 6. A single specimen of var. alleni was taken in a partial clearing, March 28.
- 67. Agelæus phæniceus (L.) Vieill. RED-WINGED BLACKBIRD. A common resident; forming immense flocks in the winter, the sexes usually separated.
- 68. Sturnella magna (L.) Bd. Meadow Lark. Common during my stay. Not less shy than at the North. They began to sing about the 15th of February.

- 69. Icterus spurius (L.) Bp. Orchard Oriole. Arrived April 8, and by the 13th of the month both sexes were found in abundance. I met with them almost everywhere except in the deep woods, but they were most numerous amongst the scattered pine saplings which have sprung up in once cultivated fields. There is a great variation in the musical abilities of different males. Immature birds sing a brief strain which can almost always be distinguished from the more elaborate song of their older brethren; but the most talented old males are by far the finest songsters that I heard in the South. Their melody is gushing and fervid, and often bears a remarkable resemblance to the inimitable outpourings of the Bobolink.
- 70. Scolecophagus ferrugineus (Gm.) Sw. Rusty Grackle. Not very common winter visitant. I was surprised to see them so late as about the middle of April.
- 71. Quiscalus purpureus var. aglæus (Bd.) Cs. FLORIDA GRACKLE. Apparently not very common resident.
- 72. Corvus americanus var. floridanus (Aud.) Bd. FLORIDA CROW. Not very common resident.
- 73. Corvus ossifragus Wils. FISH CROW.—Not uncommon, but apparently not resident, and seen only in their flights from one part of the country to another. They were most extraordinarily shy, and all attempts to secure specimens, either by direct approach or strategically, resulted in failure.
- 74. Cyanurus cristatus (L.) Sw. Blue Jay. Very common resident, and, to one who has known the species only at the North, remarkably tame. I observed them feeding in the streets of Montgomery, and unsuspiciously flying about much after the manner of the domestic pigeons of Northern cities. The obvious reason is, as Dr. Brewer has observed of their kind in the West, that they have not in Alabama been driven to shy and solitary habits by constant persecution and cruelty. I secured a nest with two fresh eggs on April 28.
- 75. **Tyrannus carolinensis** (*L.*) *Temminck*. KINGBIRD. Arrived March 30. Not very common.
- 76. Myiarchus crinitus (L.) Cab. Great-crested Flycatcher. Common after April 8 in all localities.
- 77. Sayornis fuscus (Gm.) Bd. Pewee.—Rather uncommon winter visitor, usually inhabiting deep pine woods.
- 78. Contopus virens (L.) Cab. Wood Pewee. Arrived April 9; rather common thereafter.
- 79. Empidonax acadicus (Gm.) Bd. ACADIAN FLYCATCHER. Common after April 20 in swampy woods. No females were taken. The cry of the male is very like that of Traill's Flycatcher.
- 80. Antrostomus carolinensis (Gm.) Gould. CHUCK-WILL'S-WIDOW. Arrived April 10; became rather common. A fanciful imagination may detect in this bird's cry a resemblance to the syllables of its common name, but the resemblance is certainly very slight. Heard at a

distance the bird distinctly enunciates che qui'bro, che qui'bro, making a brief pause after the first three syllables.

- 81. Chordiles virginianus (Briss.) Bp. Night-Hawk. Apparently common summer resident. First seen about the middle of April. On April 24 I found a deserted egg in a swampy pine grove.
- 82. Chætura pelagica (L.) Bd. Chimney Swallow. Arrived about the last of March. Few seen.
- 83. Trochilus colubris, L. Ruby-throated Hummer. Arrived March 30. Rare.
 - 84. Ceryle alcyon (L.) Boie. KINGFISHER. Uncommon resident.
- 85. Coccyzus americanus (L.) Bp. Yellow-billed Cuckoo. Common summer resident, well known in this locality as "Rain-Crow." Arrived the last week in April.
- 86. **Hylotomus pileatus** (L.) Bd. PILEATED WOODPECKER. Rare resident.
- 87. Picus borealis, Vieill. Red-cockaded Woodpecker. The commonest of its family at Coosada, and one of the most notable birds, being active, social, and always noisy. Its notes resemble those of the Hairy Woodpecker, with the addition of a rattling quality which at once identifies their author. I observed no signs of nest-building.
 - 88. Picus villosus, L. HAIRY WOODPECKER. Uncommon resident.
- 89. Picus pubescens, L. DOWNY WOODPECKER. Rather rare during the winter; common after the first of March.
- 90. Sphyrapicus varius (L.) Bd. Yellow-bellied Woodpecker. Seen rather uncommonly throughout my stay.
- 91. Centurus carolinus (L.) Sw. Red-Bellied Woodpecker.—Rather uncommon throughout my stay, and invariably quite shy. I found it with equal frequency in the pine woods and in the deep swamps. It has a croaking note like that of the following species.
- 92. Melanerpes erythrocephalus (L.) Sw. Red-headed Wood-PECKER. — Arrived April 21, and became at once abundant.
- 93. Colaptes auratus (L.) Sw. Golden-Winged Woodpecker. Abundant resident.

Note. Conurus carolinensis (L.) Kuhl, the Carolina Parrakeet, is well known to most of the older local sportsmen, and is said to have once been common. None have been seen, however, for many years.

At least two species of Owls were resident at Coosada, one of them undoubtedly *Bubo virginianus* (Gm.) Bp., but I secured specimens of neither variety.

- 94. Accipiter cooperi (Bp.) Gray. Cooper's Hawk. Mr. Bond shot a superb male on March 5.
- 95. Buteo lineatus (Gm.) Jard. Red-Shouldered Hawk.—Common resident. Less shy than usual in New England.
- 96. Cathartes aura (L.) Illiger. Turkey Buzzard. Abundant resident.

- 97. Cathartes atratus (Bart.) Less. Black Vulture. About equally common with the preceding. On March 22 I found a bird sitting upon two fresh eggs, in a tangled swamp. The eggs were placed on the ground in an upright, hollow stub. In front of the hole by which the parents had ingress were scattered about bits of broken crockery, bleached bones, etc.
- 98. Ectopistes migratoria (L.) Sw. WILD PIGEON. Said by sportsmen to be occasionally common in autumn.
- 99. Zenædura carolinensis (L.) Bp. CAROLINA DOVE. An abundant resident; very shy until the time of mating, when they became remarkably tame. About the middle of April the large flocks in which they associated during the winter were broken up, and the birds, though still occurring in small flocks, appeared to be mated. The first set of eggs was brought me early on the morning of my departure for the North, May 1.
- 100. Chamæpelia passerina (L.) Sw. Ground Dove. None seen at Coosada. Dr. W. C. Jackson of Montgomery tells me that they are numerous immediately south of that city. I am indebted to him for a specimen in corroboration of his statement.
- 101. Meleagris gallopavo var. americana (Bart.) Cs. WILD TURKEY. Once common, but fast becoming exterminated by pot-hunters.
- 102. Ortyx virginianus (L.) Bp. QUAIL. Resident in great numbers. Seen in bevies throughout my stay.

[Two Coosada specimens, both females, submitted to me for examination by Mr. Brown, differ very slightly from Massachusetts examples. The colors are absolutely identical. In size the Alabama birds are about intermediate between the Northern and Florida forms, but the bill agrees best with that of the former. Although Florida Quails from different localities vary considerably in coloring, the lightest in a large series before me is much darker than either of the Coosada specimens. Compared with var. texanus, Mr. Brown's birds differ as much as do typical northern specimens. In short, they seem to represent a slightly smaller but otherwise typical form of Ortyx virginianus.— W. Brewster.]

- 103. Ægialitis vocifera (L.) Bp. KILLDEER. Of irregular occurrence up to about the first of April; always quite shy. One or two large flocks were seen; usually, however, the birds associated in parties of less than a dozen individuals.
- 104. Philohela minor (Gm.) Gr. WOODCOCK.—A single individual was seen by Mr. Bond about the first of March. Sportsmen consider it very rare.
- 105. Gallinago wilsoni (Temm.) Bp. AMERICAN SNIPE. Abundant during winter and early spring.
- 106. Totanus solitarius (Wils.) Aud. Solitary Sandpiper. Common after March 28. At first they were rather shy, but subsequently became much tamer than I have ever found them elsewhere.

- 107. Tringoides macularius (L.) Gr. Spotted Sandpiper. One or two individuals seen on the banks of the Alabama River, in April.
- 108. Actiturus bartramius (Wils.) Bp. UPLAND PLOVER. Several small flocks seen flying over, between March 22 and 28.
- 109. Ardea herodias L. Great Blue Heron.— Apparently not common. I did not meet with it myself, but sportsmen brought me word of its occurrence at irregular intervals.
- 110. Ardea candidissima (Jacquin) Gm. LITTLE WHITE EGRET.
 Several small White Herons seen at a distance, April 29, were probably of this species. It is said to be very common during summer.
- 111. Ardea cærulea, L. LITTLE BLUE HERON. Another small Heron, of which I obtained no specimens, was quite common during the last two weeks of April. It was apparently this species.
- 112. Fulica americana, Gm. Соот. One of a pair shot in the Alabama River, April 9.
- 113. Branta canadensis (L.) Gr. WILD GOOSE.—A large flock spent the winter in a cornfield, on the banks of the Coosa River, and left for the North about the second week in March.
- 114. Anas boschas, L. MALLARD. Specimens seen in the Montgomery markets. Said to be a common migrant.
- 115. Anas obscura, Gm. BLACK DUCK. Known to sportsmen, but considered very rare.
- 116. Querquedula discors (L.) Steph. Blue-Winged Teal.—Common migrant; arrived about the last of March.
 - 117. Aix sponsa (L.) Boie. WOOD DUCK. Common resident.
- 118. Plotus anhinga, L. Water Turkey. Well known to sportsmen, by whom it is said to be common in summer.
- 119. Colymbus torquatus, Brünn. Loon. A dozen or so seen flying north, in March.

THE TERNS OF THE NEW ENGLAND COAST.

BY WILLIAM BREWSTER.

Among all the sea-birds that with the changing seasons visit our New England shores there are none half so beautiful as the Terns, or Sea-Swallows. Family Laridæ, sub-family Sterninæ, genus Sterna,—thus they are classed in the books. What a pity their names could not have been more aptly chosen! There is much in a name, and Sterna sounds hard and cold. Nor is the English appellation, Tern, a whit more appropriate or beautiful. Why could not these birds of graceful motion and faultless coloring have borne the name

of Aphrodite? Perhaps like her they were evolved from the sea-foam. No sea-foam can be purer than their spotless breasts, and the softest tints of the summer sky are impressed upon their pearly mantles. If ever birds were born of the sea, surely they are these. The delicate rosy blush of at least one species must have been borrowed from some rare shell. But Science, plodding and realistic, frowns upon such imagery, and her solid columns of facts and figures are resistless.

Occurring more or less regularly along the coast of New England, we find eleven species of Terns, all of which, with one possible exception (Sterna caspia), are either summer residents or migratory during the spring or fall months. Of this number five species may be set down as accidental visitors, which are either blown from their course by adverse winds or wander beyond the usual range. The Royal Tern (Sterna maxima), the Marsh Tern (S. anglica), the Sandwich Tern (S. cantiaca), and the Sooty Tern (S. fuliginosa) are stragglers from the South, while the Forster's Tern (S. forsteri), breeding in the interior well up into the fur countries, probably strikes across to the coast and follows its indentations southward. The last-named species, though rare, is of perhaps too regular occurrence to be classed among the accidentals, for one or two specimens are reported nearly every season, usually during the month of September.

The Caspian Tern — all previous statements to the contrary notwithstanding - must be considered a regular visitor every season, and one by no means uncommon. They come down from their northern breeding-grounds during the latter part of September and for several weeks, at least, are to be found in moderate numbers all along our seaboard. I have observed them at various points from Ipswich to Nantucket. At the latter place, upon one occasion, six individuals were seen fishing in the harbor near the town. As to their wintering within New England limits, I can offer only negative evidence, but that points to the inference that they pass farther south with the approach of severe weather. During the first week of May, 1875, I found them quite numerous at Chatham, Mass. They frequented the sand-bars near the shore, and kept apart from the Herring and Black-backed Gulls, the only other species of Laridæ present at the time. The Short-tailed Tern (Hydrochelidon nigra) can likewise no longer be regarded as a rare or accidental visitor. Their numbers vary considerably in different years, but

they are always to be found during the fall migration. At Nantucket they were fairly numerous in August and September of 1878. I know of but one instance of the capture of this Tern in spring.

Four species only out of the whole number accredited to New England are known to breed along its coast. They may be given in the order of their comparative abundance as follows: The Wilson's or Common Tern (S. fluviatilis); the Roseate Tern (S. dougalli); the Arctic Tern (S. macrura) (the choice of precedence between the last two species will vary as different localities are considered); and the Least Tern (S. antillarum). Of these the Roseate and Least Terns are for the most part confined to the waters south of Cape Cod, while the Arctic and Common Terns breed along the entire coast, and range northward to unknown latitudes. Formerly a small colony of Least Terns nested annually upon the Ipswich sand-hills, but they have been entirely driven away by persecution. This point was probably about the extreme limit of their northern range upon the Atlantic coast. I have also upon one occasion found the Roseate Tern as far north as Casco Bay, Maine, where a small flock was observed upon the Green Islands. They certainly were not nesting there, though the date, July 20, renders it not impossible that they had eggs or young on some of the neighboring islands.

Spring comes over the sea later than upon the land, and fewer tokens are given of its presence. There is no freshening grass; no budding foliage, nor springing up of green things in sheltered places. Summer may be close at hand, but as yet the sea gives no sign. When the wind is from the north, the waves in the bay have that steely glint that they have borne all winter. The sand drifts drearily over the wind-swept beach-ridges, and the marshes are bleak and brown, while in the interior Robins may be hopping about upon green lawns, and violets blooming in every woodland nook. The Ducks and Geese, it is true, are marshalling their cohorts and stretching out in long lines northward, but the breath of ocean is still chill and cold. Indeed, the season is commonly far advanced, and the apple-orchards in bloom inland, ere the winter Gulls are gone to their distant breeding-grounds. Scarcely has the rear-guard of their legions departed, when the Terns begin to appear. And what a fitness is there in the change with the changing season! The larger Gulls, that enliven our shores through the colder months, seem born to breast the fiercest gusts of winter and to wrest a living from icy seas. Bold, hardy, vigorous, they delight in the cold, and their every motion bespeaks conscious power and strength. The Terns, on the other hand, are characterized by a delicate perfection of outline and a swift grace of movement, that seems ill-adapted to stern, pitiless surroundings. They are like swift yachts that winter in southern seas, and come back to us on the first warm breezes of summer. Yet the significance is perhaps only local, after all, for both Gulls and Terns herald the opening summer to the inhabitant of Labrador or Greenland.

The Least Terns, although the smallest and seemingly the most delicate of their tribe, arrive first. By the middle of May they appear in certain favored spots, — for they are not anywhere very numerous, — and small colonies of from ten to fifty pairs are soon formed at various points along the shores of Cape Cod and upon some of the more sandy islands in the Vineyard Sound.

A few days after the advent of the "Little Strikers," as the Least Terns are called by the 'longshoremen of Virginia, the Wilson's and Roseate Terns begin to appear. They are already paired, but, judging by the occasional bickerings and jealousies that arise, even the more sedate females are not above a little harmless flirtation. It is a pretty sight to see the mated birds sitting side by side upon some long sand-spit, all with their breasts turned to the soft morning breeze, and each little glossy black cap glistening in the sunlight. Forty or fifty there may be altogether, with others continually arriving from the distant fishing-grounds. As the incoming birds settle among their fellows, a low murmur of welcome runs through the assembled throng, and fifty pairs of wings are simultaneously raised above their owners' backs. It is like the greeting offered by men to one whom they delight to honor, save that among these simple sea-birds even the humblest are rarely neglected. Those individuals occupying the higher portion of the bar are squatted on the warm sand, or lying with wings partially extended to the grateful rays of the sun, while along the water's edge many are washing and pluming themselves, scattering the salt spray in every direction, or toying with the lapping waves. As the rising tide encroaches on their domain, numbers of the more careless are floated off their feet, when they take wing and alight again among the rest. In this way the area continually narrows, until the birds are massed in a compact body upon the highest point. When this at length becomes submerged they all take wing and remove to some other spot. The same bar is apt to be resorted to daily, and if sufficiently elevated to be beyond the reach of the tides, it is all the more likely to be chosen.

About the middle of June—the time varying somewhat with different localities — the Terns repair to their breeding-grounds and begin to deposit their eggs. Muskegat, the outermost of a group of low, sandy islands that with Nantucket form the breakwater of the Vinevard Sound, is, and has been since time immemorial, the largest breeding station of the Terns on the New England coast. It is crescentic in shape, three miles long by one across at the broadest part, and uninhabited. The beach along the eastern shore is steep and bold, and in the calmest summer weather the heavy surges from the open ocean break upon the shifting sands with an incessant sullen roar. Upon the Sound side shallows and sand-bars extend for miles in every direction, and it is said that at low tide one may wade across to Tuckernuck, more than a mile distant. The interior of the island rises in rolling sand-hills, which are sparsely clothed with beachgrass and a stunted growth of poison ivy, while a few scattered clumps of bayberry-bushes afford the nearest approach to arboreal vegetation. Were it not for man, - who, alas! must be ranked as the greatest of all destroyers, — the Terns would here find an asylum sufficiently secure from all foes. But season after season the poor birds are daily robbed of their eggs by the fishermen, while frequent yachting parties invade their stronghold and shoot them by hundreds, either in wanton sport or for their wings, which are presented to fair companions. Then the graceful vessel spreads her snowy sails and glides blithely away through the summer seas. All is gayety and merriment on board, but among the barren sand-hills, fast fading in the distance, many a poor bird is seeking its missing mate; many a downy little orphan is crying for the food its dead mother can no longer supply; many a pretty speckled egg lies cold and deserted. Buzzing flies settle upon the bloody bodies, and the tender young pine away and die. A graceful pearl-tinted wing surmounts'a jaunty hat for a brief season, and then is cast aside, and Muskegat lies forgotten, with the bones of the mother and her offspring bleaching on the white sand. This is no fancy sketch; all over the world the sad destruction goes on. It is indeed the price of blood that is paid for nodding plumes. Science may be, nay, certainly is, cruel at times, but not one tithe of the suffering is caused by her disciples that the votaries of the fickle goddess Fashion yearly sanction.

My first visit to Muskegat was in 1870. It was about the 25th of June when we landed on the island, and three days were spent in investigating its fauna. Although the fishermen told us that the Terns had been diminishing for years, their numbers at that time, nevertheless, were astonishing. The Arctic Terns were breeding apart in a separate colony, on a long, narrow strip of sand, while the Common and Roseate Terns intermingled freely, oftentimes placing their nests side by side. Little preference seemed to be accorded by the last two species to any given locality. Their eggs were as often laid upon the windrows of sea-weed at high-water mark, as among the ivy-vines on the sand-hills. Indeed, they were scattered everywhere, and the birds that were breeding there must have been numbered by hundreds of thousands. The sight was a novel and impressive one. Overhead, at varying heights, swarms of Terns were passing and repassing, crossing each other's flight in mazy lines. From the birds just skimming the crests of the sand-hills to the white specks floating thousands of feet above the earth in the blue sky, the air was filled with their countless numbers. Hundreds were continually rising from their nests and making out to sea, or returning from the fishing-grounds, each with a small fish held crossways in its bill.

On one occasion that I remember, a black thunder-cloud rose out of the sea, in the north, and the white birds hovering over the island were brought out in striking contrast to the dark background. It was as if the air were filled with snowflakes. The noise was simply deafening, especially when the birds became aware of our presence. As we advanced, their sitting mates rose from the nests in clouds, swelling the throng of anxions parents over our heads, each bird adding its shrill voice to the general din. Yet amid all this confusion they took good care to keep beyond gun range. Occasionally, however, an exception to this occurred, and a daring bird darted down into our very faces. High overhead all the time a number of Black-headed Gulls (Larus atricilla) floated in graceful circles, adding their shrill demoniac langhter to the weird chorus.

If a Tern were shot, the effect was instantaneous and startling. Every voice was at once hushed, hundreds of long narrow wings were set, and troops of gliding arrowy forms swept down in silence to the fallen victim. From the sky above, from every nook and corner of the neighboring sand-hills, they came hurrying to the spot. Then, as if at a given signal, every bird burst out afresh in cries of

rage, protest, and despair. The effect was indescribable. As the graceful birds came whirling down in perfect silence, they seemed like dread avengers seeking to bear away their dead comrade and to overwhelm his destroyer. If another bird were killed, the tumult continued and the excitement became even more intense; but if no further molestation were offered, they gradually departed one by one. This habit of hovering over their slain companions, though undoubtedly prompted by sympathy and social affection, is a most unfortunate one, as it is constantly taken advantage of, and dozens are frequently killed at a time.

Upon Muskegat the Terns have, or had at the time of which I write, another enemy, which, though second in importance to man, nevertheless destroyed large numbers of these birds. This was the Short-eared Owl (Brachyotus palustris). A small colony of these birds had established itself upon a certain elevated part of the island, spending the day in a tract of densely matted grass. Scattered about in this retreat were the remains of at least a hundred Terns, that they had killed and eaten. Many of these were fresh, while others were in every stage of decomposition, or dried by the sun and wind. In each case the breast had been picked clean, but in no instance was any other portion disturbed. Every day, at a certain time, these Owls sallied forth in search of fresh prey. We used regularly to see them about sunset, sailing in circles over the island or beating along the crests of the sand-hills. They were invariably followed by vast mobs of enraged Terns, which dived angrily down over the spot where the Owl had alighted, or strung out in the wake of his flight like the tail of a comet. The Owl commonly paid little attention to this unbidden following, and apparently never tried to seize his persecutors while on the wing, but on several occasions we saw a sitting bird pounced upon and borne off. Sometimes in the middle of the night a great outcry among the Terns told where a tragedy was being enacted.

I found the Terns sadly diminished in numbers when I last visited Muskegat, in July, 1874. Their persecutors were ravishing their stronghold more relentlessly than ever, and nearly every day fishermen came from far and near to collect their eggs. So cleanly had they swept the island that we could find scarcely a nest with eggs, and at that comparatively late date not a single young bird was to be seen. In fact, the poor Terns were kept laying like hens through the whole summer. We were told by the fishermen that

quite as many eggs were obtained by them in August as in June. It is doubtful if one pair in a hundred succeeded in raising offspring that year. Under such conditions the result is inevitable. If prompt legislation be not brought to bear on the matter, the time is near at hand when the waters of the Vineyard Sound will no longer be enlivened by these innocent birds. The inconsiderable destruction of small fishes, a reason that has been given for withholding protection, is of little moment, and those barren sandy shores can ill afford to lose the presence of the graceful Sea-swallow.

Of the eggs of the three species of Terns which breed upon Muskegat, little need be said save that they vary to an almost endless degree, and cannot specifically be distinguished. The Wilson's and Roseate Terns usually build nests, some of which are quite bulky, with a lining of dry grasses, upon a foundation of coarse twigs or sea-weed. In many cases, however, the eggs were simply laid in a slight depression in the sand. We fancied that the Roseate Terns built more substantial domiciles than the other species, but the difficulty of satisfactorily identifying any considerable number of nests rendered a positive conclusion hopeless. The Arctic Terns, as before stated, bred apart from the others, and laid their eggs upon the bare sand.

The notes of the Wilson's and Arctic Terns vary, if at all, only slightly in modulation. The ordinary cry of anger or protest is a harsh vibrating te-ar-r-r, that of contentment or recognition a soft chick. They utter various other sounds, all more or less discordant. The usual note of the Roseate Tern is a soft mellow hew-it, repeated at frequent intervals. It has, in addition, when excited or angry, a cry which can be closely imitated by forcibly tearing a strong piece of cotton cloth.

One who has never held in his hand a freshly killed Tern can scarcely imagine its wonderful beauty. The delicate faultless outlines; the long, slender, graceful wings; the pearly blue-gray back; the soft tinting beneath, set off by the bright coral red of the feet and bill, all go to make up a whole that must satisfy the most æsthetic eye. The delicate blush that suffuses the breast of the Roseate Tern can only be seen in its perfection for a brief period after death, for either it fades altogether, or turns to a dull salmon tint before the bird becomes cold. Like an ethereal grace, it shrinks and perishes before the gaze of vulgar eyes.

When the cares of incubation are over, — and sad, unprofitable

cares they must be in most cases for these poor birds, — the Terns resort again to the sand-bars nearest their chosen fishing-grounds. The waters about Nantucket are a favorite haunt, and through the month of September they swarm about every bay and cove that indents the shore. Their movements, however, depend largely upon those of the blue-fish. These voracious creatures prey upon the smaller fishes, and, hunting always in schools, by their combined action drive the feeble fry to the surface, when they are seized by the Terns. The fishermen rely almost wholly upon the actions of the latter to discover the presence of fish in the Sound, and when a flock of Terns is seen hovering over a certain spot, a school of blue-fish is pretty sure to be at work beneath.

It is an interesting sight to watch the birds collect. A moment before, perhaps only a few were to be seen, leisurely winnowing their way along the shore; but in an incredibly short space of time the lucky discoverer of a school is surrounded by hundreds of his fellows, and a perfect swarm of eager, hungry birds poises over the spot. Dozens dash down at once, cleaving the water like darts, and, rising again into the air, shake the salt spray from their feathers by a single energetic movement, and make ready for a fresh plunge. Every bird among them is screaming his shrillest, and the excitement waxes fast and furious. Beneath, the blue-fish are making the water boil by their savage rushes, and there is fun and profit for all save the unfortunate prey. Their position is perhaps the best exemplification of the "frying-pan and the fire" that can be found in pature.

The descent of a Tern upon its victim is performed with inimitable ease and grace. The bird frequently disappears entirely beneath the surface, and occasionally even swims a short distance under water before reappearing. The flight of the Roseate Tern is especially dashing and beautiful, with the long cleft tail streaming out behind, or inclining, rudder-like, to either side, as the bird suddenly changes its course. I have seen the Wilson's Tern picking up floating garbage from the surface in the manner of a Gull, but the food is ordinarily small fishes, which are taken alive.

In clear calm weather in September few Terns will be seen along shore. They probably wander farther out to sea at such times, or congregate upon the sand-bars to rest and plume themselves. The cleanliness of these birds is remarkable. Not only is the plumage invariably spotless, but I have on more than one occasion seen a

wounded one, which had been taken into the boat, begin to arrange its disordered feathers, and its feeble efforts to remove the bloodstains from its fresh wounds were truly touching.

When the wind blows hard the Terns spend much of their time on the wing, and then display great restlessness and activity. They seem to exult with the freshening breeze, like ships that have been becalmed. At such times I have seen them play for many minutes with a fish which one of their number had captured. The holder would drop it, evidently by design, and the whole troop go sweeping down in pursuit. The foremost was sure to seize it before it reached the water, when it was taken up into the air and again dropped. In this manner the prize would be in turn passed from one to another. The game was apparently well understood by all, as no attempt was made by any of them to devour the fish. Swallow swill frequently play with a feather in a similar manner.

The ease with which sea-birds find their way through the densest fog is as astonishing as it is inexplicable. I have seen the Terns passing between the fishing-grounds and Muskegat when it was impossible for human eyes to discern an object many yards away, and yet their course was as direct and decided as in the clearest weather. Indeed, at such times the fishermen are often guided by their flight.

The Least Terns usually leave for the south in the latter part of August, and the Short-tailed species commonly departs before the close of the succeeding month. But the Wilson's, the Roseate, and the Arctic Terns linger about Nantucket through the first half of October. After that their numbers thin rapidly, and by the 25th all are gone. The fishermen say that they follow the blue-fish in their southward migration. However that may be, when the chilling blasts of early November sweep across the sea, the Herring and Black-backed Gulls have taken their places upon the sand-bars about Nantucket; the Eider Duck, the Scoter, the Whistler, and the Sheldrake flock to fish among the Muskegat "tide-rips"; and troops of Snow-Buntings whirl over the bleak sand-hills.

[4]

ON THE COLORATION OF EGGS.

BY S. D. OSBORNE.

A short time ago my attention was called to the peculiar appearance presented in the markings of certain eggs. I allude more particularly to the purple marks on the eggs of Uria grylle, which have the appearance, mentioned in several descriptions, of being laid on under the surface; and the idea occurred to me that the purple shade was just such a color as the dark markings of the egg would produce if they were covered with a coating of white, and that therefore it was possible that the bird was provided with only one shade of coloring matter, the varied appearance being given by the manner in which it was deposited. The determination of this point seemed easy: so, taking a knife, and choosing one of the most distinct of the purple marks, I began carefully to scrape it, and in a very short time had reduced the spot to the color of the darker markings on the egg; thus showing that instead of two distinct pigments, the glands of the oviduct deposit only one, namely, a peculiar blackish-brown.

Of course, in working up a question of this sort, the first thing to do is to make the observations as general as possible; and, in the present case, the only way to accomplish this end was by continued experiment. Accordingly, I began with the intention of proceeding with the investigation through all the different orders. The second experiment was with an egg of Alca torda, which I chose as being most similar to that of Uria grylle, and one in which the same result would be most likely to be obtained. As I expected, the apparently purple markings became blackish-brown. I then made a slight deviation and took an egg of Sterna fuliginosa, which has a reddish-cream ground-color, over which are spots of a distinct purple, and also of a beautiful shade of reddish-chocolate. It was with some misgivings as to the result that I began to scrape the shell over one of the purple marks, but the effect was instantaneous, and by a very little work I could have made all the markings conform to one color, namely, the chocolate. then took eggs of Larus argentatus and Sterna macrura, as they were easy to work upon. In both cases the dark purple changed to dark brown.

These examples made me pretty certain that the law applied at least to the Natatores, but I had still the other orders to investigate, and, beginning with the Raptores, I made two experiments: one with an egg of Cathartes aura, in which purple marks changed to reddish-brown, and the other an egg of Accipiter fuscus, in which a very deep purple blotch became a distinct chocolate-brown, similar to the majority of the markings on the egg. Next turning to the Grallatores, I first took an egg of Rallus crepitans, and worked at one of the purple dots until it became a brown similar to the darker dots on the specimen. In an egg of Ibis alba, purple changed to light brown, and in those of Egialitis meloda and Tringoides macularius, lilac and purple became dark brown. The Insessores alone now remained for me to work upon, and here the great difficulty was in being able to scrape the shell in such a way that, while the outer layer of calcareous matter should be removed, the shell should yet remain unbroken. In the case of Corvus americanus this was easy, and light purple became light brown without any difficulty, but when I came to experiment upon the smaller eggs, it was no easy matter to persuade the shell to stay together long enough to give the desired result; but after quite a number of disasters I obtained very satisfactory results in the cases of Tyranaus carolinensis, where all the markings became chocolate-brown, in Ampelis cedrorum, where the peculiar purple marks turned to dark brown, and in Agelæus phæniceus, in which purple became almost black.

These are all the experiments which I have thus far been able to make, and as they comprise all orders of birds, and as the result was uniform in every instance, it is fair to suppose that, at least, the purple, lilac, and lavender marks on eggs are not the results of corresponding pigments in the oviduct, but are formed merely by the darker pigments covered by a layer of calcareous matter.

In regard to the brown markings of different shades which occur in very many eggs, the same experiments bring about a rather different result; for, while the darker shades seem more fixed, a very little scraping will cause the lighter ones to disappear altogether, showing that where the color is light, the layer of coloring matter is thin, and where the color is dark there is always a large deposit; and I have never seen an egg in which the different shades of brown were not such as a greater or less quantity of the same pigment could produce.

, This appears to cover all cases, and, unless something different is shown, it seems to me to be fair to consider that, in regard to the spotting pigments, a single bird has but one color, which may be varied according to the way in which it is deposited on the shell,—either with respect to the thickness or position in depth from surface of the deposit,—and even may itself vary temporarily among birds of the same species, owing to a temporary condition of the system.

In regard to the ground-tint of the egg, which in many cases is colored, it can hardly be accounted for on the same principle. Of course those eggs which have a white or even a soiled ground-color offer no objection; neither do those which have a colored ground but are unspotted, as we might justly say that the remarks in regard to there being but one coloring matter still apply. But there are certain eggs which are spotted on a colored ground, and which make it necessary to account for the ground-color in some different way, or else to widen the theory, and to allow these few cases to enter as exceptions. As to which of these two hypotheses is more apt to be the correct one, I am not as yet prepared to hazard an opinion, but am at present pursuing a course of chemical experiments by which I hope to settle the question.

NEST AND EGGS OF THE CERULEAN WARBLER.

BY J. A. ALLEN.

The Museum of Comparative Zoölogy has recently received a nest and four eggs of the Cerulean Warbler (Dendræca cærulea), collected at East Penfield, Munroe County, N. Y., June 7, 1878, by Mr. P. S. Fuller. The female was shot as she left the eggs, which were nearly fresh. The nest was placed in the fork of a small ashtree, about twenty-five feet from the ground. It is neatly and compactly built, consisting externally of fine dry grasses of an ashen tint, bound firmly together with spider's silk, to which are affixed a few bits of whitish lichen; it is lined with strips of bark and fine grasses, of a reddish-brown color. The nest is thus gray externally and brown within. It measures as follows: inside diameter, 2

inches; outside diameter, 2.50 inches; depth inside, 1.40; external depth, 1.75. The eggs vary little in size or color, and mainly in respect to the size of the blotches. The ground-color is dull creamywhite, thickly covered with rather heavy blotches of reddish-brown. In one egg the blotches are coarse and cover the greater part of the surface; in another the markings are finer, quite evenly diffused, and of a lighter tint; in the other two about two thirds of the surface is covered by the markings. The eggs measure $.60 \times .47$ of an inch.

The Museum has also two other nests of this species. One was taken, with one egg, at Drummondsville, Ontario, in June, 1873, and, with the egg, was soon after described by Dr. Brewer (Hist. N. Amer. Birds, Vol. III, p. 505). The other nest was taken at Mount Carmel, Ill., May 16, 1878, by Mr. William Bryant of Boston. It contained four eggs, which are now in his collection. The nest described by Dr. Brewer differs from the Penfield nest in no essential point, except that it is rather slighter, and has a more nearly continuous covering of lichens, with which are mixed small pieces of hornet's nest. The bottom of the nest shows that it was built in the fork of a small branch. The Mount Carmel nest differs from the others in having somewhat thicker walls, thus giving to the structure greater bulk and firmness. Like the others, it is partly covered externally with lichens, which enclose some of the smaller twigs amidst which it is fixed to the upper surface of a small branch. These nests agree as closely in their general structure, as well as in the material of their composition, as three nests of the same species are often found to do, and differ quite widely from the nests of any other species of the genus known to me. The Penfield and Mount Carmel nests were placed respectively twenty and twenty-five feet from the ground, and the Drummondsville nest at a height of fifty feet.

Audubon describes the nest of the Cerulean Warbler as placed in the forks of a low tree or bush, and as being partly pensile, and the eggs as being pure white, with a few reddish spots about the larger end. In the light of present information, Audubon's description is evidently erroneous in nearly every particular. The only other description of the nest and eggs of this species is that given by Dr. Brewer, as already stated.

Dr. Brewer describes the egg as somewhat similar in its general appearance to the eggs of the Yellow Warbler (D. æstiva), but as be-

ing smaller, with the ground-color of a different shade of greenishwhite. On calling Dr. Brewer's attention to the discrepancy between his description and the set of eggs above described, he was led to re-examine the subject, and also to compare his egg with the set obtained by Mr. Bryant. As a result, he writes me that his egg corresponds exactly with those obtained at Mount Carmel. He further states that while they seem to resemble the eggs of D. æstiva, a comparison shows that while the spots on the eggs of the lastnamed species are "olivaceous-brown," those on the eggs of D. carulea are "decidedly red-brown." He also still further observes. "In my egg and in Mr. Bryant's the ground-color is very conspicuous, the spots sparse. In yours the spots are large and confluent, obscuring all the ground-color." In the eggs collected at Penfield the blotches are probably exceptionally large and heavy, but the differences between these eggs and the others are not greater than occur not uncommonly between different sets of eggs in most species of birds that lay spotted eggs. There consequently appears to be no reason for doubting the authenticity of either of the sets of eggs here attributed to D. carulea, which in two of the instances at least were identified by the capture of the parent bird.

ADDITIONAL CASES OF ALBINISM AND MELANISM IN NORTH AMERICAN BIRDS.

BY RUTHVEN DEANE.

In Volume I (pp. 20-24) of this Bulletin I gave a list of sixty species which were affected by albinism, either partial or complete, and five species representing a melanistic phase of plumage. Since then I have been enabled to add twenty-seven species to the list of albinistic birds, and one case of melanism.

In March, 1878, Mr. N. C. Brown of Portland, Me., saw a pair of pure white Mocking-Birds confined in a cage at Coosada, Ala.; they had been taken from the nest, and retained the snowy whiteness of their plumage. Mr. George A. Boardman of St. Stephens, N. B., writes me that he has in his possession a specimen of the Mocking-Bird which is nearly white, which he shot at St. Augustine, Fla.

Mr. C. J. Maynard has in his possession a Black-capped Titmouse

with the two middle tail-feathers white. This is the only instance of albinism occurring among the *Paridæ* of which I have heard.

I have recently procured an immature specimen of the Catbird from Mr. H. K. Coale of Chicago, Ill., which was shot at Hyde Park, Ill., the 21st of July, 1878. Dr. Charles C. Abbott informs me that a pure white bird of this species with pink eyes was captured alive on his grounds at Trenton, N. J., and sent to the Museum of Biology at Princeton, N. J.

I have recently obtained from Mr. W. H. Collins of Detroit, Mich., a strange-looking specimen of the Shore Lark. The under parts are white, the upper parts being slightly washed with a rusty brown. The feathers are much worn, and the bird has a sickly appearance.

Mr. Charles E. Aiken of Colorado Springs has kindly presented me with a specimen of Audubon's Warbler which he collected at Camp Apache, Arizona, September 23, 1876, with albinism represented by a distinct white ring around the neck; the feathers being only tipped with white. Under date of the 25th of July, 1878, Mr. Charles A. Allen of Nicasio, Cal., writes me: "I had a fine specimen of Audubon's Warbler that I shot in the Sierras this spring. It was a male, and had a white collar extending around the back of the neck and on each side of the neck and shoulders, meeting in a large white patch on each side; otherwise the bird was in its ordinary plumage." It is a little odd that two specimens of the species should have been taken showing the freaks of albinism-in such a similar way.

A white specimen of the American Goldfinch is in the collection of Mr. J. B. Gilbert of Penn Yan, N. Y. Mr. John Akhurst of Brooklyn, N. Y., writes me that he once shot a Savannah Sparrow pure white with the exception of the head and neck, which had a creamy tint.

Mr. George A. Boardman has in his fine collection, in which so many albino birds are represented, a pure white Purple Finch; and through the kindness of Mr. H. Herrick of New York I have in my collection a dull cream-colored bird of this species which he shot at Umbagog Lake, Me., some years ago. I am indebted to Mr. N. C. Brown for a specimen of a male Sharp-tailed Finch, which he collected at Scarborough, Me., October 19, 1877, showing slight traces of albinism. A few white feathers may be seen over each superciliary stripe and also on the secondaries and coverts of one

wing. An albino Swamp Sparrow is in Mr. Boardman's collection, taken at St. Stephens, N. B. On the 30th of November, 1878, Mr. William Brewster saw a pure white Black Snow-Bird in his garden, in Cambridge, Mass. It was in company with a flock of the same species, but unfortunately he was unable to secure it. Mr. S. Palmer of Ipswich informs me that he has an albino Indigo-Bird.

A mottled Rusty Blackbird has been mounted by Mr. W. H. Collins of Detroit, Mich., and Dr. J. G. Cooper of Haywood, Cal., writes me that he has seen a partial albino specimen of Brewer's Blackbird.

In a letter from Mr. Charles E. Aiken, this gentleman states that his friend, Mr. C. N. Holden of Chicago, Ill., has a perfect albino Wood Pewee.

The only instance of albinism occurring in the Chimney-Swift, which has come to my notice, is a fine pure white specimen in the collection of Mr. Jesse Warren of West Newton, Mass. In Volume III, page 192 of this Bulletin, Mr. C. A. Allen gives a description of partial albinism in the Anna Humming-Bird.

Mr. Boardman informs me of a partially white Belted Kingfisher which he saw at Halifax, N. S., and Mr. Collins writes me that there is a male specimen at the Museum of the Detroit Scientific Association which was taken near that city in 1872. It is of a creamy-white color, though the natural markings of the plumage can be seen. Through the kindness of Mr. N. C. Brown I have been enabled to procure a most beautiful specimen of the Black-billed Cuckoo; the plumage is of immaculate whiteness; the bill and feet are dull white, and the collector said that the eyes were pink. It was taken in the vicinity of Portland, Me.

Mr. Robert R. McLeod of Houlton, Me., has generously presented me with a fine specimen of the female Spruce Partridge, which was shot in the vicinity of that town. The tail is pure white, a few primaries and secondaries of each wing are white, while on the upper and lower parts the white feathers are quite evenly interspersed with the black and buff plumage, and present a beautifully marbled appearance.

I have in my collection a skin of the Semipalmated Sandpiper, which was found in Quincy Market, Boston, by Mr. J. T. Heftye of Christiania, Norway, in October, 1876, who kindly presented it to me. The head, neck, and upper parts are of a uniform light gray, and it lacks the buff on the breast and sides. In the Museum at

Detroit is a specimen of the Coot (Fulica americana) which is white, marked with dark blotches. It was shot at Detroit, Mich., in 1873, and was mounted by Mr. Collins. Mr. Aiken informs me that he once saw a beautiful white specimen of this species in the Calumet Marshes in Indiana, but failed to procure it.

I have in my possession a wing of the American Bittern with one secondary quill pure white. Mr. R. L. Newcomb of Salem, Mass., who shot the bird, states that the rest of its plumage was normal.

In Mr. Boardman's collection is a Lesser Scaup Duck in white plumage. Mr. John Akhurst informs me that he has seen an albino specimen of the Surf Duck.

The only instance of albinism detected among the Laridae which has come to my notice is in a specimen of Heermann's Whiteheaded Gull, which Dr. Cooper informs me he shot in California. He writes: "I never shot but one albinistic specimen in California, a Larus heermanni, with a white patch about three inches square across the secondary quills. It looked very pretty in the air." Dr. Cooper also says that he has seen a pale and mottled specimen of the Fulvous Tree Duck.

The only additional example of melanism which I can add to the previous list is represented by the Carolina Rail, for which I am indebted to Mr. Gilman W. Brown of West Newbury, Mass., who presented me with the specimen. It was one of about sixty of this species shot by Mr. Brown on the shores of the Merrimack River at West Newbury, September 1, 1877. At a short distance the bird looks almost black. The upper parts are black with a tinge of rufous, more especially on the scapulars, which are only tipped with this color. The throat, sides of the neck, and breast are dull brown, belly and under tail-coverts black. There is a white ring around each eye, and a small patch of white behind each eye on the occiput.

As I remarked in my previous list, it is strange that albinism should occur so frequently in some families and be of such rare occurrence in others; and it would be interesting to learn from any of the readers of the Bulletin of any instances which may have come to their notice of this abnormal plumage in such families as the Troglodytidæ, Vireonidæ, or subfamily Icterinæ.

LIST OF BIRDS OBSERVED IN THE NAVAL HOSPITAL GROUNDS, IN BROOKLYN CITY.*

BY GEORGE HUGHES COUES.

THE Hospital enclosure contains about twenty acres, occupied by several public buildings and private residences; the land is divided into ornamental grounds with large shade-trees, a cemetery, a kitchen-garden, and a cultivated field. Contiguous to the enclosure is a tract of marshy land containing five or six acres, overflowed at times by tide-water. The neighborhood is a populous one, and full of manufacturing establishments, the gaseous emanations from which are very noticeable.

- 1. Falco columbarius. Pigeon Hawk. Very common.
- 2. Falco sparverius. Sparrow Hawk. I have seen three individuals.
 - 3. Buteo lineatus. RED-SHOULDERED HAWK. Quite common.
- 4. Syrnium nebulosum. BARRED OWL. One seen in the immediate vicinity.
- 5. Picus villosus. HAIRY WOODPECKER. Common; but no instance of its breeding observed.
 - 6. Picus pubescens. Downy Woodpecker. Common; breeds.
- 7. Melanerpes erythrocephalus. Red-Headed Woodpecker. Common during summer.
- 8. Colaptes auratus. Golden-Winged Woodpecker. Common; breeds.
- 9. Trochilus colubris. Ruby-throated Humming-Bird. Common.
 - 10. Chætura pelagica. CHIMNEY SWALLOW. Common; breeds.
 - 11. Chordiles popetue. NIGHT-HAWK. Common.
 - 12. Ceryle alcyon. Belted Kingfisher. Common.
 - 13. Tyrannus carolinensis. Kingbird, Common; breeds.
- 14. Empidonax minimus. Least Flycatcher. Common; breeds.
 - 15. Turdus fuscescens. TAWNY THRUSH. Very common.
 - 16. Turdus migratorius. Robin. Very common; breeds.

^{*} This list, prepared at my request by my nephew, who has proved himself a close observer, is of interest as showing how many birds may be found in the very heart of a great city. Others than those here given doubtless occur, the present list being restricted to those actually observed, and identified beyond question. — E. C.

- 17. Harporhynchus rufus. Brown Thrush. Common; breeds.
- 18. Mimus polyglottus. Mocking-Bird. One specimen seen in 1877, which I unfortunately could not secure.
 - 19. Mimus carolinensis. CATBIRD. Common; breeds.
 - 20. Sialia sialis. BLUEBIRD. Common; breeds.
- 21. Regulus calendula. Ruby-crested Wren. Common in spring and autumn.
 - 22. Parus atricapillus. CHICKADEE. Common.
 - 23. Sitta carolinensis. White-bellied Nuthatch. Quite rare.
 - 24. Certhia familiaris. Brown Creeper. Common.
- 25. Cistothorus palustris. Long-billed Marsh-Wren. Very rare.
 - 26. Troglodytes aëdon. House Wren. Common; breeds.
 - 27. Mniotilta varia. Black-and-White Creeper.—Very common.
 - 28. Dendræca coronata. Yellow-rumped Warbler. Common.
- 29. Dendræca pennsylvanica. Chestnut-sided Warbler. Ouite rare.
 - 30. Dendrœca æstiva. Yellow Warbler, Common; breeds.
 - 31. Pyranga rubra. Scarlet Tanager. An occasional visitor.
 - 32. Hirundo horreorum. BARN SWALLOW. Common; breeds.
 - 33. Ampelis cedrorum. CEDAR-BIRD. Common.
 - 34. Vireo olivaceus. RED-EYED VIREO. Common; breeds.
 - 35. Passer domesticus. English Sparrow. Very abundant.
 - 36. Carpodacus purpureus. Purple Finch. Quite common.
- 37. Plectrophanes nivalis. Snow-Bunting. Common at times in winter.
 - 38. Junco hyemalis. Snow-BIRD. Common.
 - 39. Spizella socialis. Chipping Sparrow.— Very common; breeds.
 - 40. Melospiza melodia. Song Sparrow. Common; breeds.
 - 41. Melospiza palustris. SWAMP SPARROW. Once seen.
- 42. Passerella iliaca. Fox-colored Sparrow. Quite common. 43. Guiraca ludoviciana. Rose-breasted Grosbeak. One specimen secured.
 - 44. Pipilo erythrophthalmus. Chewink. Very abundant.
 - 45. Dolichonyx oryzivorus. Bobolink.—Three specimens secured.
 - 46. Molothrus ater. Cowbird. Once seen.
- 47. Agelæus phæniceus. Red-winged Blackbird. Seen only occasionally.
 - 48. Icterus baltimore. Baltimore Oriole. Very common.
 - 49. Quiscalus versicolor. Crow Blackbird. Seen occasionally.
 - 50. Corvus americanus. Crow. Seen occasionally.
 - 51. Cyanurus cristatus. Blue Jay. One specimen secured.
 - 52. Botaurus lentiginosus. BITTERN. One specimen seen.
 - 53. Ægialitis semipalmata. RING-NECK. Seen occasionally.
 - 54. Tringa minutilla. Least Sandpiper. Quite common.

- 55. Totanus melanoleucus. Greater Telltale. Seen occasionally.
 - 56. Totanus flavipes. LESSER TELLTALE.
 - 57. Bucephala albeola. Buffle-Head Duck.
 - 58. Anas obscura. Dusky Duck. One specimen seen.
- 59. Querquedula discors. Blue-Winged Teal. Five specimens seen.
 - 60. Graculus carbo. Cormorant. One pair seen.

NOTES ON SOME OF THE LESS HARDY WINTER RESIDENTS IN THE HUDSON RIVER VALLEY.

BY EDGAR A. MEARNS.

- 1. Turdus migratorius. Robin. A rather scarce winter resident throughout the Hudson Valley; occurring at least as far north as the northern limit of the red cedar (Juniperus virginiana), perhaps much farther. In the Highlands sizable flocks of Robins generally remain all winter amongst the cedars, in sheltered situations, near the Hudson River. I have found them quite as common in cold as in warm winters. Very few scarcely any were seen during the winter of 1877 78, which was the mildest I have ever experienced. The wintering birds affect only certain favorite spots, where they subsist mainly upon the berries of the sumach ($R\psi us typhina$) and red cedar.
- 2. Turdus pallasi. HERMIT THRUSH. A few sometimes winter along the Hudson. In the Highlands, during the severe winter of 1874 - 75, when birds of the Canadian Fauna, such as Pinicola enucleator, Loxia leucoptera, L. curvirostra var. americana, Ægiothus linaria, Chrysomitris pinus, and Plectrophanes nivalis, were very numerous, the Hermit Thrushes were frequently observed or shot. They were found only in the cedar groves by the river, and were very shy and silent; only occasionally uttering, in a low tone, their customary chuck. These wintering birds remained until the middle of March, and the regular migrants began to arrive April 2. No Hermits were seen here during the past extremely mild winter (1877 - 78); but "Mr. A. J. Huyler, a competent observer, told Mr. Ernest Ingersoll, on several occasions, that he had seen Turdus pallasi at Tenafly, N. J. [opposite Riverdale, N. Y.], during each month of the past winter, — of course not in any great numbers."* Mr. Huyler since writes me that he "took a specimen of T. pallasi during the past winter, about the middle of February." Mr. Eugene P. Bicknell, of Riverdale, N. Y. (to whom I am indebted for many valuable notes on our winter birds), writes

3

^{*} E. P. Bicknell fide Ernest Ingersoll.

- me: "The extremes of early arrival and late departure of this species are April 11 and November 20, both dates being in 1875; though on February 28 (same year) I saw one in a grove of pine-trees, feeding on the dried berries of sumach (*Rhus*), and appearing quite at home. I again observed it (doubtless the same bird) at the same place, on March 28." * I have observed a great irregularity in the migrations of this species, both as to abundance and time of arrival.
- 3. Sialia sialis. BLUEBIRD. The winter range of the Bluebird is about co-extensive with that of the Robin. In the Highlands they are seen every winter, and are generally abundant when the weather is mild; but there are often long periods when none are seen. They were present but not numerous in the winter of 1874 75. During the past winter they were very abundant throughout; they warbled their pleasant notes even in January; fed upon cedar and sumach berries.
- 4. Lophophanes bicolor. Tufted Titmouse.— This species is to be included among our winter birds on the recent authority of Mr. Eugene P. Bicknell, † who observed one on November 29, 1874, in a piece of open woodland, near his residence, at Riverdale, N. Y. For several weeks thereafter this bird was occasionally noticed about the same spot, and without doubt remained during the winter, as he felt certain of having heard it in January, and the following March it was often seen or heard about the same woods, being then in full song. It disappeared after March 28. As long ago as 1844 Dr. DeKay wrote: ‡ "This lively and noisy bird appears in the southern counties of our State about the first of May, and remains with us until very late in the autumn, and indeed may be said to be a constant resident." It has never been observed in the Highlands.
- 5. Anorthura troglodytes var. hyemalis. WINTER WREN.—The Winter Wren is found in winter in the Hudson Valley at least as far north as Rhinebeck. Mr. Bicknell writes me that "it is somewhat irregular as a winter resident," but does "not consider it unusual to see it any time between October and May (May 4, 1877). It is, however, most abundant in the fall (October)." In the Highlands it is generally common all winter, but is somewhat irregular. It has been abundant during the severest winters, and uncommon, at times, in mild ones. Not plentiful last winter. It is often found in the rushes of the salt marshes beside the Hudson River; feeds, at such times, upon small mollusks.
- 6. Dendrœca coronata. Yellow-rumped Warbler. The Yellow-rumps generally stay with us in autumn till November; a few remain-

^{*} There is a notice in "Forest and Stream" newspaper of the occurrence of a Hermit Thrush, at St. John, N. B., on January 11, 1878; and there are numerous records of its capture, in winter, in Southern New England.

[†] See this Bulletin, Vol. III, p. 129.

[†] New York Fauna, Part II, p. 59.

ing among the cedars, in sunny places, till the early part of the following month, after which all depart to a more genial climate farther south. But during the past winter (1877-78) they remained in considerable numbers throughout that section of the Hudson Valley known as the Highlands. Their food consists mainly of the berries of the red cedar. The following notes are extracted from my journal: "November 26, 1877. Still abundant, flying about uttering a loud chip, and feeding on the berries of the red cedar. December 19. Yellow-rumps still here; have been steadily abundant up to this time. January 8, 1878. Still present; weather intensely cold; ground covered with snow. January 18. Quite numerous. They are flying about in the orchards, and inhabit bushy places near the river, or among the cedars. They seem to be quite contented so long as the ground is bare; but after a long snow-storm they are seen flying restlessly about, seeking with great alacrity any bare spot of ground. Numbers were seen during the last storm about the woodpile, in company with Parus atricapillus. February 12. Very numerous among the eedars and all along the way; feeding on cedar berries, in company with the Robins; just beginning to acquire the summer plumage, as are also the Yellow-Birds (Chrysomitris tristis). This change, as in the Yellow-Birds, is confined, at this season, to a few feathers of the rump and erown. March 8. One small flock seen; still in winter plumage. March 18. Numerous at Rhinebeek, Duchess Co., N. Y. April 3. Several seen. One that I shot was in the midst of the spring moult; the new feathers being those of the nuptial dress."

From the above notes it will be seen that the Yellow-rumps were steadily present in considerable numbers throughout the past winter. They were always gentle and familiar; uttered a sprightly chip, — the solitary expression of their various emotions, — and were very agreeable winter companions. Later in the spring they favor us with a very pleasant little song.

- 7. Carpodacus purpureus. Purple Finch. These beautiful birds and sweet songsters are regular winter residents. In winter there is always a great preponderance of females, almost as marked as was noted in the ease of Pinicola enucleator and Egiothus linaria, in the early part of the winter of 1874. Even the females are heard singing during the coldest weather; this is of common occurrence in early winter. They are gregarious, often assembling in very large flocks. On such occasions they are quite wild, and, on being approached, all rise at once on wing, with a loud, rushing noise, accompanied by certain peculiar wild notes, which produces quite a startling effect. They feed upon seeds, chiefly those of the iron-wood (Ostrya virginica), and red cedar berries.
- 8. **Melospiza melodia.** Song Sparrow. A regular winter resident, throughout the severest winters, in favorable situations; its abundance and dispersion depend on the character of the winter.
 - 9. Melospiza palustris. SWAMP SPARROW. I have an impression

that a few sometimes remain, even in the Highlands, throughout the milder winters. I remember one bird that seemed to be permanently established in a pile of lumber and débris on Consook Island, in the Hudson River; but it was unfortunately shot on the 19th of November, 1874. Mr. Bieknell writes me: "The only instance which has come to my knowledge of M. palustris wintering was in the severe winter of 1874 – 75, when a single individual remained during the winter about a roadside drain, which, owing to a continual inflow of water, was not often frozen. The water was supplied through a small passageway passing beneath the road, in which the bird doubtless found a desirable and effectual retreat in severe weather, as I several times started it from within the opening of this passageway, where the water was quite shallow. Other than the above, the latest record I have is November 30, 1876, when two were noted."

- 10. Zonotrichia albicollis. WHITE-THROATED SPARROW. Mr. Bieknell writes me: "A regular winter resident here (Riverdale) is Zonotrichia albicollis. I rarely find it, however, in winter, except in the vicinity of private residences, where an abundance of spruce-trees and other evergreens affords it a suitable shelter. At that season it often approaches familiarly about the kitchen doorstep, in company with Junco hyemalis and Spizella monticola."
- 11. Passerella iliaca. Fox Sparrow. Possibly a few may stay in sunny sheltered situations all winter. I found them as late as December 8, 1877, and as early as February 28, 1878. Mr. Bicknell furnishes the following dates: "December 4 (1874 and 1876); February 24, 1874 (a warm day, temperature 74°!)"
- 12. Sturnella magna. Meadow Lark. They remained at Fishkill, Duchess Co., N. Y., during the winter of 1874 75. Found at various points along the Hudson in winter.
- 13. Ceryle alcyon. Belted Kingfisher. None of the collectors seem to have found this bird wintering, though it might easily have done so during the past winter. My latest capture was November 4, 1874; also saw two November 30, 1878. It probably occurs on the lower part of the river in winter.
- 14. Sphyrapicus varius. Yellow-bellied Woodpecker. Frequently observed in the Highlands during the severest winter weather. Mr. Bicknell gives the following record from Riverdale: "November 24, 1872; December 3, 1874; and January 22, 1876. On the latter date one was shot while feeding on some decayed apples that still hung on the branches of a tree, close to the house." Another was taken the same month, also feeding on decayed apples. Mr. A. J. Huyler states that "the Yellow-bellied Woodpeckers stayed at Tenafly, N. J., until the last of December, 1877; and that they were more abundant than they had been for a number of years."
- 15. Melanerpes erythrocephalus. Red-Headed Woodpecker. Mr. Huyler says the Red-headed Woodpeckers stay at Tenafly all winter.

They occur at that season about Peekskill; and I observed them in abundance at Locust Grove, Lewis County, Northern New York, during the last of December and early part of January, 1878. Several adult specimens that I shot had the ventral surface strongly discolored with red, doubtless derived from the oak-trees, though my friend, Mr. C. Hart Merriam, assured me that no oak-trees grow in that region.

- 16. Colaptes auratus. Yellow-shafted Flicker.— The "Highhold" is occasional, but of somewhat rare occurrence, in winter, in the Highlands and at Peekskill. Mr. Bicknell speaks of it as "rare in winter; only occasionally seen at that season."
- 17. Circus cyaneus var. hudsonius. Marsh Hawk. Observed during every winter; particularly numerous in that of 1874-75, when numbers were observed in the bluish plumage, on the meadows near Constitution Island.
- 18. Accipiter fuscus. Sharp-skinned Hawk. Of quite frequent occurrence all winter in the Highlands; observed from Rhinebeck to Riverdale at that season. Mr. Huyler states that it is plentiful at Tenafly, where it builds in the ledges of the Palisades near by, and is called "Rock-Hawk" by some.
- 19. Ectopistes migratorius. WILD PIGEON. Of unusual occurrence in winter; generally in very mild weather, when the ground is bare; observed in the Highlands on several occasions.
- 20. Zenædura carolinensis. Carolina Dove.—The following record is from my journal: "January 12, 1878. A Carolina Dove flew down from a ledge above the Garrison's Tunnel, and alighted upon the railroad, close beside me, where it hopped about, looking askance at me, finally hopping on to the rail, where it sat ogling me till a train came along, when it flew on to the ledge. The flagman at the station told me that they were occasionally present throughout the milder winters, feeding on the grain that drops from the cars.

BREEDING OF THE WINTER WREN AT HOULTON, ME.

BY RUTHVEN DEANE.

The finding of the nests of many of our ground-building species is considered by many as a matter of luck; but a thorough acquaintance with the woods and fields in which one is collecting, and a habit of perseverance, often leads to success, and these accomplishments must indeed be attributed to any one who has had the good fortune to discover three nests of the Winter Wren in one season.

About the middle of June, 1878, while collecting at Houlton, Me., Mr. James Bradbury, a resident of the town, showed me a nest of this species partly finished in a little clearing of thick woods on the banks of the Meduxnekeag River. He had previously noticed the bird in the same locality with small twigs in its bill, and after secreting himself at a short distance saw the Wren disappear under the roots of a fallen tree, where it remained for a time, and upon investigation he found the entrance of the nest. It was imbedded in the earth which remained attached to the roots, and it could only be detected by crawling under the thick brush which surrounded the tree; and on looking up, all that could be seen was a small aperture just large enough to admit the tiny birds. The nest was unfortunately deserted, for on the 22d of June we again visited it and it showed no further signs of completion. It was apparently almost finished, but lacked the fine lining of moss and feathers. The external breadth and depth of the nest was 4.50 inches, the internal depth 2.50 inches. It was composed of hemlock twigs, moss, and a few bits of lichens compactly woven together.

Early in June Mr. Bradbury found a nest containing six young a few days old. This nest was sunk into the thick moss which enveloped the trunk of a fallen tree. A bunch of ferns grew out of the moss near the entrance of the nest, and one of the parent birds suddenly flying from the ferns enabled him to discover its little home.

On the 8th of August, 1878, Mr. Bradbury took a third nest of the Winter Wren, which contained four eggs; and I am indebted to my friend, Mr. R. R. McLeod, who visited the locality before it was removed, for the following description: "The nest was in a place which does justice to the name Troglodytes, for it was away under an upturned cedar-root in the dark. The tree had blown over somewhat, and in the roof made by the earth and roots she had excavated a hole and made her nest, where but the least glimpse of light could have reached it. A little spring flowed over the rocks beneath, on which the tree stood, and only by watching the bird with a feather in her mouth was the nest discovered. Mr. Bradbury put his head and shoulders under the roots and the Wren fluttered past his face, and diligent search revealed the treasure."

The nest, which is in the possession of Mr. H. A. Purdie, is now before me, and presents a beautiful bit of bird architecture. It differs from the one already described by having the top open, similar to that of *Sayornis fuscus*, though possibly the bird had some natu-

ral crevice through which to pass before reaching the nest. It is composed mainly of very compact green moss, with a few hemlock twigs interwoven, and is lined profusely with feathers of the Canada Jay, Blue Jay, and other species, which arch over the eggs so as to almost conceal them. The average measurement of these eggs is .65 by .49 of an inch. The ground-color is pure white, and marked with fine spots of reddish-brown and a few blotches of a darker shade. In one specimen the markings are very small and faint, and free from any blotches. This was undoubtedly a second brood, and one egg was far advanced in incubation.

DESCRIPTIONS OF THE FIRST PLUMAGE IN VARIOUS SPECIES OF NORTH AMERICAN BIRDS.

BY WILLIAM BREWSTER.

V.*

99. Cinclus mexicanus.

First plumage. Above clear plumbeous-ashy, not darker on the head or neck; primaries and secondaries tipped with white; greater wing-coverts edged with pale rufous. Beneath pale whitish-fulvous, strongly tinged with purplish brown on the crissum; throat immaculate; rest of under parts transversely barred with obscure plumbeous. Bill and feet (in the dried specimen) brownish-yellow. From a specimen in my cabinet taken by Mr. C. A. Allen in Blue Cañon, Sierra Nevada Mountains, Cal., June 10, 1878.

100. Lophophanes inornatus.

First plumage. Above similar to the adult, but with a decided brownish tinge, especially on the interscapular region. Beneath dull ashywhite, only slightly darker upon the crissum and across the breast. From a specimen in my collection taken by Mr. C. A. Allen at Oakdale, Cal., June 17, 1878.

101. Parus carolinensis.

First plumage: female. Similar to the adult, but with the black of the crown and throat less glossy, the back more strongly tinged with olive,

^{*} For parts I, II, III, and IV, see Volume III, pp. 15-23, 56-64, 115-123, 175-182. Part V may be regarded as an appendix to the four that precede it. With the few species that still remained to be described are included a number which have been received since the former papers were prepared, and too late for insertion in their proper places. To avoid confusion, and for greater convenience, the numbering of species is continued in the order in which they occur.

and the sides of the body slightly washed with pinkish-salmon. Distinguishable from atricapillus of the same age by the deeper, more glossy black of the erown and throat, by the absence of white margining on the secondaries, and by the sharper defined and more convex posterior outline of the black throat. From a specimen in my cabinet, collected at Mount Carmel, Ill., May 8, 1878. This bird, though apparently fully feathered, was taken from a brood of five young that had not left the nest.

102. Helminthophaga celata lutescens.

Autumnal plumage: young. Above intense olive-green, brightest on the rump, and obscured on the interscapular region by a washing of a neutral tint. Beneath greenish-yellow, tinged with obscure olive on the sides. No trace of rufous on the crown. From a specimen in my collection taken by Mr. C. A. Allen at Nicasio, Cal., August 2, 1878. Mr. Ridgway, in proposing the name obscura for a dark form of this species from the Southern States, says (B. B. & R., Birds of N. Amer., Vol. I, p. 202), that all the specimens before him from Georgia and Florida "lack any trace whatever of orange on the crown." I think his specimens must all have been females or immature birds, as an adult male before me, collected at St. Mary's, Ga., April 7, 1877, has the crown patch of exceptionally bright orange-rufous.

103. Myiodioctes pusillus pileolatus.

Autumnal plumage: young female (?). Similar to the adult, but with the black pileum nearly obscured by a greenish-olive wash and the coloring generally even more intense. From a specimen in my cabinet collected by Mr. C. A. Allen at Nicasio, Cal., August 3, 1878. Another young bird (Nicasio, Cal., August 1, 1876), which apparently still retains portions of the first plumage, has the entire under parts pale yellow.

104. Poœcetes gramineus.

First plumage: male. Above reddish-brown, the feathers everywhere streaked with dark brown. Upon the nape and the anterior portion of the back much whitish mottling appears, for the most part upon the margins of the feathers. Shoulder and wing anteriorly, nearly as in the adult. Secondaries and all the rectrices except the outer pair (which are marked with white like the adults), bright reddish-brown. Beneath ashy-white, slightly tinged with brownish-yellow. Throat, breast, and sides thickly and broadly streaked with brownish-black. Upon the sides of the chin and throat these streaks are run together, forming a short but continuous stripe. From a specimen in my collection obtained by Mr. N. C. Brown at Portland, Me., July 26, 1877.

105. Peucæa ruficeps.

First plumage. Above pale brown-olive streaked with dull reddishbrown, most thickly on the crown. Feathers of the interseapular region with dark brown centres. Wing-bands pale fulvous. Secondaries edged externally with reddish-brown. Beneath pale brownish-yellow, thickly but finely streaked upon the breast and sides with dark reddish-brown. Superciliary lines and sides of neck bright greenish-olive. Auriculars dusky. Chin stripes dark brown. From a specimen (sex not ascertained) in my cabinet collected by C. A. Allen at Nicasio, Cal., July 11, 1878. Two others in first plumage collected at the same time and place are essentially similar.

106. Euspiza americana.

Autumnal plumage: young. Crown, shoulder, and rump, with sides of head and neck, light olive-brown, the centres of the feathers slightly darker; a little concealed chestnut on the shoulders. Feathers of the interscapular region with dull black centres and brownish-fulvous edges. Wing-bands, with outer margins of wing-coverts and secondaries, dull brownish-fulvous. Superciliary line brownish-yellow, fading anteriorly to brownish-white. Throat, central area of the abdomen, and the crissum, pale brownish-white. Sides of breast and body brownish-olive, with dark brown streaking on the flanks. Breast dull reddish-orange, streaked with dark brown, and washed with obscure ashy-white. From a specimen in the collection of Dr. J. M. Wheaton obtained at Circleville, O., August, 1878.

107. Goniaphea melanocephala.

First plumage: male (?). Generally similar to the adult female, but with the cinnamon of the under parts stronger; the sides of the throat and body, with the breast, profusely spotted with dull black. Crown black, with median stripe of brownish-yellow. Rest of upper parts brownish-cinnamon, each feather centrally blotched with dull black. Wing-bands and tips of secondaries yellowish or brownish-white. Superciliary line, chin, and sides of the throat ashy-white. Throat, jugulum, and breast, with sides of body and crissum, buffy-cinnamon, palest on the latter, and profusely sprinkled with dull black tear-shaped spots on the sides of throat, breast, and abdomen. May be distinguished from G. ludovicianu of same age (which it generally resembles) by the bright gamboge-yellow axillars and under wing-coverts. From a specimen in my cabinet obtained by Mr. Allen at Nicasio, Cal., June 26, 1878.

108. Pipilo fuscus crissalis.

First plumage: male. Wings and tail dark clove-brown, the wingbands reddish-fulvous, and the secondaries edged with rusty. Rump bright reddish-brown. Rest of upper parts uniform dull reddish-brown. Beneath light rufous, deepest on crissum, scarcely paler across the breast. Pectoral region and sides anteriorly, faintly spotted with dark reddish-brown. From a specimen in my cabinet taken by Mr. C. A. Allen at Nicasio, Cal., July 2, 1878.

109. Dolichonyx oryzivorus.

First plumage. Top and sides of head, with the neck behind, buffy-cinnamon. A post-ocular stripe and two lateral ones on the crown dark-brown; a few of the feathers with lighter edgings. Rest of upper parts, including the tips of the wing-coverts and the outer margins of the primaries and secondaries, brownish-yellow. All the feathers of the interscapular region with broad dark-brown centres. Under parts warm reddish-buff, deepest on breast and throat. A band of faint dusky spots across the breast, and a few nearly obsolete streaks along the sides. From a specimen in the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy, collected by Mr. C. J. Maynard, at Newtonville, Mass., June 26, 1878. The autumnal plumage of this species seems to be very early acquired.

110. Tyrannus verticalis.

First plumage: male. Above similar to the adult, but with the crown patch entirely wanting, the ash-gray of that part washed with brown, and the back uniform grayish-olive. The wing-coverts are also tipped with brownish-fulvous and the secondaries margined with greenish-yellow. The outer webs of the outer rectrices are yellowish-white. Throat ashy-white; rest of under parts similar to adult, with the yellow of a deeper shade and extending higher up on the breast. From a specimen in my cabinet collected by Mr. C. A. Allen at Nicasio, Cal., July 8, 1878.

111. Myiarchus cinerascens.

First plumage. Above olive-gray tinged with brown on the crown. Wing-bands brownish-white. Outer edges of the primaries and most of the secondaries chestnut-brown. Tail-feathers reddish-chestnut, with a dull black longitudinal band next the shaft on both webs of the central pair, and on the outer web of all the rest. Beneath similar to the adult, the ashy on the breast perhaps a trifle deeper. From a specimen in my cabinet taken by Mr. C. A. Allen at Nicasio, Cal., August 26, 1878.

112. Sayornis nigricans.

First plumage: male. Head, and neck all around, sooty black, darker than in the adult. Wing-bands and bend of wings rusty, the tips of the primaries, secondaries, and rectrices, and the feathers of the interscapular region, rump, and crissum, with the posterior margin of the black on the breast, more or less strongly washed with brownish or rusty-fulvous. Otherwise similar to the adult. From a specimen in my cabinet taken by Mr. C. A. Allen at Nicasio, Cal., June 29, 1878.

113. Glaucidium passerinum californicum.

Autumnal plumage: young. Similar to adult male, but with the whole top and sides of head and the neck behind ashy-plumbeous, tinged with olive-brown, and entirely unspotted. The band across the throat also dif-

fers from that of the adult in being much darker, and the tail is conspicuously tipped with white, and crossed by six bands of white spots. From a specimen in my collection obtained by Mr. C. A. Allen at Nicasio, Cal., August 3, 1878. This bird was thought by Mr. Allen to be in first plumage. Its feathering, however, is so perfect, and the coloring so nearly like that of the adult, that I am inclined to consider it as in the second or autumnal plumage. The iris was yellow; the bill pale green; the feet greenish-yellow.

114. Ictinia mississippiensis.

Autumnal plumage: * young male. Head and neck ashy-white, each feather centrally streaked with dark plumbeous. Rest of upper parts dull black, with a parrow terminal rusty edging upon most of the feathers. Primaries and secondaries, with a few of the primary coverts, broadly tipped with white. Lores and eyelids black, as in the adult. Cheeks black, slightly streaked with white. Throat yellowish-white, with fine longitudinal plumbeous pencillings. Rest of under parts deep rich salmon, fading to yellowish-white on the abdomen, each feather with a medial, longitudinal, ovate spot of chestnut, which in most eases is laterally bordered by dark brown. Tail black, crossed beneath by three white bands (formed by angular spots on the inner webs), with corresponding ashy ones above, very faintly but more continuously defined. From a specimen in my cabinet collected by Mr. G. H. Ragsdale at Gainesville, Tex., September 5, 1878. A young female of apparently about the same age as my bird, described by Mr. Ridgway (B. B. & R., Hist. N. Am. Birds, Vol. III, p. 204), seems to differ in several important respects.

115. Tetrao canadensis.

Downy stage: chick about a week old. General ground-color buff-yellow. Central area of crown bright rufons, with a marginal lining of black. A spot of black on the forehead and on each lore, with three nearly confluent ones over the auriculars. Rump yellowish-rufous. Back and wings fulvous, the primaries, secondaries, wing-coverts, and scapulars barred with brownish-black. Under parts immaculate. From a specimen in my collection obtained with the female parent at Upton, Me., June, 1873.

First plumage: female. Ground-color above bright reddish-brown, becoming reddish-chestnut on the crown. The feathers are marked everywhere with black blotches of angular outlines and irregular shapes. Upon the neck and rump they form transverse bars: they are broadest

^{*} It is possible that, like certain of the Owls, this species may pass through two preparatory stages, viz. a downy one and a distinctive first plumage. In this case the plumage above described would represent a first plumage corresponding with that of most Passerine birds. The better known members of this family, however, change directly from their downy dress into the regular autumnal plumage.

and most conspicuous on the scapulars, where they restrict the groundcolor to a narrow central lining along the shaft of the feathers, and a few irregular outlying spots. Primaries and secondaries edged and tipped with pale fulvous. Tail crossed by eight distinct, continuous black bars. Auriculars spotted with dusky black. Throat and a broad superciliary line pale buff. (It should be stated that these parts are covered with what seems to be the still unchanged feathering of the chick.) Breast and sides bright rufous-orange, each feather with a pair of black spots on the outer webs. Upon the breast these spots are small and nearly round, but along the sides they become broader until about the anal region they form transverse bars. Central, abdominal, and anal regions immaculate buffy-white. From a specimen in my collection obtained at Upton, Me., July 28, 1874. Among the series of young males before me there are none in strictly first plumage. The moult begins early in August and proceeds very gradually, a few of the feathers dropping out at a time, as they are replaced by the more permanent fall plumage. The wing and tail feathers are invariably moulted; thus through the last half of August and nearly the whole of September the plumage presents a curiously patched appearance. In this condition the young male may be distinguished from the female by the black feathers which begin to appear in patches on the breast. The sexes are otherwise quite similar at this age. In both, the throat, cheeks, and sides of the neek are profusely but rather finely spotted with black upon a yellowish — in some examples ashy — white ground. This is unquestionably a remnant of the first plumage, which in the young female previously described had not replaced the down. The feathers upon the throat and erown are apparently the last to go, as they are not replaced in any of the specimens before me until the succeeding plumage is nearly complete. Both sexes acquire their full plumage during October, and by the latter part of that month, adults of either sex can only be distinguished with the greatest difficulty from birds of the year.

116. Ægialitis meloda.

Autumnal plumage: female. Differs from the adult in having the black frontal crescent entirely wanting, the feathers upon the crown and back with ashy-brown centres, a broad white collar around the neek behind, and the band across the breast brownish or ashy-plumbeous, instead of black. From a specimen in my collection obtained at Nantucket, Mass., September 22, 1875. Mr. Ridgway's western variety of this species cannot possibly be maintained. A large proportion of our New England Coast specimens have the breast band continuous, and in several that I have examined it is as broadly so as in the supposed variety circumcincta.

117. Philohela minor.

Downy stage: chick a few days old. General ground-color warm buff, tinged above with ashy. Large areas of rich seal-brown occur upon the

erown, back, and sides of the breast, while spots, blotches, and angular stripes of a lighter shade of the same color diversify most of the remaining surface. The throat and central portions of the breast and abdomen are, however, immaculate. From a specimen in my collection taken at Lexington, Mass., May, 1869.

First plumage: male. Ground-color above brownish-ashy; forehead and broad band around the neck behind immaculate. Crown brownish-black, crossed by two narrow transverse bands of fulvous. A few of the scapulars and the feathers of the back generally, with very dark brown centres. Chin brownish-yellow. Throat and sides of neck brownish-ashy, paler than that on the upper parts. Rest of the under surface yellowish-rufous, palest on the breast and body anteriorly, much richer and redder on the lower abdominal and anal regions. From a specimen in my cabinet shot at Cambridge, Mass., July 3, 1872. The plumage above described is the characteristic one of the young bird in summer. It is worn up to about the middle of August, when the moult — which with this species is unusually protracted — takes place. Adults and young moult about the same time, and with both the wing and tail feathers are changed with the rest of the plumage. Autumnal specimens are much more richly colored than spring adults.

118. Rallus virginianus.

Downy stage: chick about a week old. Entirely clothed in long, rather coarse, glossy, or blue-black down. Bill nearly straight, .72 inches long, yellowish at tip and base, crossed in the middle by a broad black band. From two specimens in my cabinet collected by Mr. D. C. French, at Concord, Mass., June, 1870.

First plumage: female. Top and sides of head, neck behind, back anteriorly, rump, breast, and sides, dull dead black. Interscapular region black, with a few of the feathers margined with brownish-olive. Wingcoverts and wings nearly as in adult, a little duller and darker perhaps. Superciliary line obscure ashy. Throat ashy-white, finely spotted with black. Central region of lower breast and abdomen, with a few of the feathers on the sides, tinged with white. Anal region and crissum dull reddish-chestnut. In my cabinet, from Cambridge, Mass., August, 1875. Several other specimens of corresponding ages agree closely with the one above described. A male, however (Cambridge, August 9, 1875), differs in having a faint reddish wash over the white on the breast and abdomen.

This species, as previously stated, passes through a regular first plumage, which precedes the downy stage, and is in turn succeeded by the regular autumnal plumage. The first moult occurs in the latter part of August. The autumnal plumage is a little brighter than that of the adult in spring, but does not otherwise materially differ.

119. Porzana carolina.

Downy stage: chick a few days old. Bill short, exceedingly depressed, high at base, rapidly tapering, the tip deflected. The whole body densely covered with dull black down, beyond which are produced abundant long, glossy, black hair-like filaments. Upon the throat is a tuft of stiff, coarse, bristle-like feathers of a bright orange-color. These are directed forward, and give the bird a most singular appearance. From a specimen in my cabinet collected at Cambridge, Mass., June 24, 1874. This bird, although the only specimen of the kind now at hand, is one of a large brood which was attended by the female parent. Several of the others were distinctly seen and closely examined at the time. All had a similar orange tuft upon the throat.

Notwithstanding the close relationship of this species to the preceding one, I am inclined to think that it has no distinctive first plumage, and that the down is worn until the feathers of the fall dress begin to appear. Negative evidence tending to strengthen this belief is afforded by a good number of specimens shot during the summer months. The autumnal plumage is too well known to merit description. The plumage of the adult varies but slightly at the different seasons.

SUPPLEMENTARY. — Tachycineta bicolor. In my remarks upon the development of the plumage of young birds of this species (Vol. III, No. 2, p. 63), I stated that the first plumage was worn "much longer than in most birds." From investigation of material collected during the past season, I find that the change takes place from about the middle to the last of September. Six specimens shot at Concord, Mass., October 16, 1878, have all acquired the full autumnal dress. The young differ from the adults only in having an exceedingly faint brownish-ashy wash on the breast and throat, and also in the shade of the metallic lustre of the back, which is of a greener and less steely east. Both adults and young possess the conspicuous white tipping on the secondaries.

Under the head of Coturniculus henslowi (Vol. III, No. 3, p. 118), I made the generalization that, "with the single exception of Chrysomitris tristis, this is the only species of the Fringillidæ, so far as I am aware, in which the young in first plumage are entirely immaculate beneath." That statement I now find must be considerably modified. At the time of writing it entirely escaped my notice that I had previously described a third unspotted species (Pinicola enucleator), and that still a fourth, namely, Pyrrhuloxia sinuata, remarked upon in the same paper as in transitional condition, was also plain. Mr. Ridgway has since informed me that the following additional North American species are, in the first plumage, entirely immaculate beneath: Hesperiphona vespertina, Chrysomitris psaltria, Chrysomitris psaltria mexicana, Spizella atrigularis, Cardinalis virginianus, Pipilo aberti, Pipilo fuscus mesoleucus, Pipilo fuscus albigula, Pleetrophanes nivalis, Leucosticte griseinucha, Goniaphea cærulea, Cyanospiza ciris.

NOTES ON THE HABITS AND DISTRIBUTION OF THE RUFOUS-CROWNED SPARROW (PEUCÆA RUFICEPS).

BY WILLIAM BREWSTER.

For the following notes, which are of interest as tending to throw some light upon the distribution and habits of a bird previously but little known, I am indebted to Mr. Charles A. Allen of Nicasio, Cal., a collector whose energetic as well as carefully conducted labors in Californian field ornithology have produced results too well known to need mention here.

Although the Rufous-crowned Sparrow was found abundantly near the Calaveras River by Heermann, and in small numbers upon Catalina Island by Dr. Cooper, it was not detected by Mr. Henshaw in any of that portion of California explored by the Wheeler Expedition in 1876. It is probably somewhat local in its distribution, and the peculiar character of its chosen haunts renders it the more likely to be overlooked. Mr. Allen writes me that the Rufous-crowned Finch arrives in Marin County, Cal., about the 20th of March. They come singly or in pairs, and are found in considerable numbers every season on all the mountains about Nicasio. Black Mountain, however, seems to be their stronghold. It is destitute of forests, and the exceedingly steep, rocky sides are abundantly clothed with "wild oats" and a bush very like the sweetscented southern-wood. Another shrub, called by the hunters the "spit bush," is also characteristic of the locality, which is otherwise dry, and barren to a degree. The males sing from the tops of these low bushes: their notes are very sweet, and bear considerable resemblance to those of the Lazuli Finch (Cyanospiza amana), but the difference can readily be distinguished. Both sexes are very shy, and to secure any considerable number of specimens is a task of extreme difficulty. If approached from above, they drop to the ground, run like mice through the grass and bushes, and are next seen flying down the mountain-side a hundred yards or more away. The best plan is to work upward. They are then more easily seen against the sky, and are less apt to take wing, as they always prefer to fly down hill. They apparently breed early in April, as a female, shot by Mr. Allen on April 7, 1878, had two fully developed eggs in the ovaries and a third, which would have been laid in a few

hours, in the oviduct. The latter was unfortunately broken by a shot; the fragments of the shell were pure white and unspotted. The nest * is placed on the ground in a slight hollow scratched by the birds. It is exceedingly hard to find, as the sitting female when approached steals silently away under cover of the surrounding vegetation, and if seen at all is likely to be mistaken for a startled mouse. The occurrence of two nests with young in July, 1878, near Point Reves, communicated to Mr. Allen by Mr. T. H. Estey, seems to indicate that a second brood may be sometimes raised. The food of these Sparrows apparently consists largely of grubs and a certain green worm that infests the bushes among which they live. At least, this is the case during the breeding season, when Mr. Allen has repeatedly seen the parent birds carrying these worms to their young. In the autumn the Rufous-crowned Sparrows are to be found in straggling groups composed of two or three individuals. They all depart for the south before the winter season sets in.

Recent Literature.

LAWRENCE AND OBER ON THE BIRDS OF DOMINICA AND ST. VINCENT. — The important explorations by Mr. F. A. Ober in some of the smaller West India Islands (Lesser Antilles) have been rich in interesting results relating to birds. The collections and observations made by Mr. Ober have been made the basis of several recent papers by Mr. George N. Lawrence, in which, no less than fourteen species supposed to be new have been described.† Mr. Lawrence has also prepared special lists of the birds obtained by Mr. Ober at two of the principal localities ex-

^{*} For a description of the nest and eggs of this species see Vol. II, p. 37, of this Bulletin. Mr. Allen assures me that all uncertainty as to the correct identification of the specimens there described has been removed by his subsequent investigations in the same locality. Not only have similar nests been found, but *Peucwa ruficeps* is ascertained to be the only bird of any species that breeds on that portion of Black Mountain.

^{† 1.} Descriptions of New Species of Birds from the Island of Dominica. By George N. Lawrence. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., Vol. I, pp. 46-49. (Spp. nov. Thryotherus rufescens, Dendræca plumbea, Myiarchus oberi.)

Descriptions of Seven New Species of Birds from the Island of St. Vincent, West Indies. By George N. Lawrence. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., Vol. I, pp. 147-153. June, 1878. (Spp. nov. Turdus nigrirostris, Myiad stes sibilans,

plored, namely, the islands of Dominica * and Saint Vincent.† Introductory to these lists are several pages descriptive of the islands in question, and of his experiences there, by Mr. Ober, and copious notes by the same gentleman relating to the habits of the various species observed, and giving measurements, color of eyes, etc., from the fresh specimens. The Dominican list numbers fifty-six species, of which rather more than one third are North American, but the greater part of these are wading and swimming birds. Six species are mentioned that are not scientifically determined. To the insular form of the Barn Owl (Strix flammea), occurring here, Mr. Lawrence gives the varietal name nigrescens. The Saint Vincent list numbers fifty-nine species (including three undetermined), one half of which are common also to the Island of Dominica, and nearly one half to North America. About one fifth of the whole number are semicosmopolitan Grallæ. The characteristic species, as would be expected, belong to genera almost wholly tropical in distribution. Mr. Ober notes especially the paucity of species as well as of individuals, and the total absence of Woodpeckers, although the islands are well forested.

Mr. Ober also made collections at the islands of Grenada, Antigua, and Barbuda. Those obtained at the two islands last-named he left to be forwarded at the earliest opportunity, but they appear to have not yet reached this country. Although Mr. Ober's work was several times interrupted by severe illness, the results attained are of the highest importance, and relate not only to ornithology but to other departments of science.—
J. A. A.

Coues's Field-Notes on Birds observed along the Fortyninth Parallel. — In an article of one hundred and sixteen pages.,†

Thryothorus musicus, Certhiola atrata, C. saccharina, Leucopeza bishopi, Calliste versicolor.)

^{3.} Descriptions of Supposed New Species of Birds from the Islands of Grenada and Dominica, West Indies. By George N. Lawrence. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., Vol. I, pp. 160-163. July, 1878. (Spp. nov. Turdus caribbœus, Thryothorus grenadensis, Blacicus brunneicapillus, Quiscalus luminosus.)

^{*} Catalogue of the Birds of Dominiea, from Collections made for the Smithsonian Institution by Frederick A. Ober, together with his Notes and Observations. By George N. Lawrence. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., Vol. I, pp. 48-69. 1878.

[†] Catalogue of the Birds of St. Vincent, from Collections made by Mr. Frederick A. Ober, under the Directions of the Smithsonian Institution, with his Notes thereon. By George N. Lawrence. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., Vol. I, pp. 185-198. 1878.

[‡] Field-Notes on Birds observed in Dakota and Montana along the Fortyninth Parallel during the Seasons of 1873 and 1874. By Dr. Elliott Coues, U. S. A., late Surgeon and Naturalist U. S. Northern Boundary Commission. Bull. U. S. Geol. Survey of the Territories, Vol. IV, No. 3, pp. 545-661. July 29, 1878.

Dr. Coues gives us the results of his field-work during the seasons of 1873 and 1874 in Northern Dakota and Montana, while acting as naturalist and surgeon to the United States Northern Boundary Commission. The observations relate mainly to the country immediately bordering the fortyninth parallel, which was surveyed from Pembina, on the Red River, to the Rocky Mountains, or for a distance of about eight hundred and fifty miles. Dr. Coues, in his preliminary remarks, divides the country traversed into three regions, which he terms respectively the "Red River Region," the "Missouri Region," and the "Rocky Mountain Region." The physical and zoölogical characteristics of these regions are briefly detailed, to which is added a tabular enumeration of some of the more conspicuous birds of the three regions. Then follows a copiously annotated list of all the species observed, with lists of the specimens obtained, accompanied frequently with measurements. The Missouri Skylark (Neocorys spraquei) very naturally comes in for an extended notice, as do also two species of Longspur (Plectrophanes ornatus and P. maccowni) and Baird's Bunting, relating especially, however, to their respective areas of distribution. At page 648 we notice interesting general remarks on the breeding range of our Geese and Ducks, and concerning the great numbers of individuals that sometimes assemble about the prairie sloughs and mountain pools. It is also noted that partly grown young of the Canvas-back Duck were secured at Turtle Mountain, while young of the Harlequin and Rocky Mountain Golden-eye (Bucephala islandica) were obtained at Chief Mountain Lake. Respecting this latter species Dr. Coues says: "This is, I believe, the first recorded instance of the occurrence of this species during the breeding-season in the United States." Hence it may be worth while to here record that eggs of this species, in the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy, were obtained in Middle Park, Colorado, by Mr. Edwin Carter, June 3, 1877. The paper concludes with a bibliographical appendix of nearly three pages, in which are entered the titles of nearly forty works and papers relating to the ornithology of portions of country adjacent to the forty-ninth parallel. — J. A. A.

Merrill's Notes on the Ornithology of Southern Texas.—Attention has already been called through the pages of the Bulletin to Mr. Sennett's "Notes on the Ornithology of the Lower Rio Grande of Texas." That interesting locality now receives a still more complete overhauling at the hands of Dr. J. C. Merrill, U. S. A.,* who has been stationed for several years past at Brownsville, Texas. Although to some degree anticipated by Mr. Sennett's list, as well as by various previously published announcements on the part of the author himself, this paper comes to us

^{*} Notes on the Ornithology of Southern Texas. Being a List of Birds observed in the Vicinity of Fort Brown, Texas, from February, 1876, to June, 1878. By James C. Merrill, Assistant Surgeon U. S. Army. Proceedings of United States National Museum, pp. 118-173.

teeming with fresh matter of no ordinary interest. A little of the cream has perhaps already been skimmed, but the milk that remains is none the less palatable on that account. The pamphlet comprises about fifty pages, and is illustrated by three fine woodcuts, which acceptably present the characters of some of the rarer forms. Dr. Merrill's investigations were mainly conducted in the immediate vicinity of Fort Brown, on the Rio Grande, about eighteen miles inland from the Gulf Coast. They were proscented unremittingly through a period of a little over two years, and the results bear abundant testimony to the thoroughness and reliability of his work. Two hundred and fifty-two species and varieties are given in all, and the character of their presence is in most cases satisfactorily defined. While it is manifest that Dr. Merrill has devoted his researches largely to the department of oölogy, the general subject has, nevertheless, been by no means neglected. The habits of such rare and little-known species as Molothrus aneus, Nyctidromus albicollis, Chordiles acutipennis texensis, Falco fusco-carulescens, Buteo albicaudatus, Æchmoptila albifrons, Ortalida vetula, Plegadis quarauna, and Dendrocygna autumnalis are treated at considerable length, and the intrinsic interest of the subject is well set forth by the clear, able style in which these biographies are written. Besides the addition of about a dozen Mexican forms new to our avi-fauna, Dr. Merrill has contributed much useful information relating to the geographical range of many North American species otherwise well known. As before stated, the nests, eggs, and breeding habits of Texan birds receive the larger share of attention, and much of the matter pertaining thereto is as valuable as it is new. The probable nidification upon our southern border of such northern species as the Carolina Rail and the Turnstone is indicated, while the Caspian Tern (Sterna caspia imperator) is unqualifiedly given as breeding on Padre Island in the Gulf. In view of the unusual interest attaching to this last announcement, it is to be regretted that the facts upon which the statement is made are not fully given. We understand, however, that they are unimpeachable. Numerous notes by Mr. Ridgway and Dr. Brewer occur throughout the paper and greatly enhance its value. The former gentleman contributes the synonymatic tables and diagnoses which are appended to many of the rarer species, and in one instance (that of Myiarchus erythrocercus cooperi) certain changes of nomenclature are adopted for which the author's reasons are given at some length.

In a few details of arrangement the paper is open to adverse criticism. The initials "R. R," after Mr. Ridgway's contributions, are in several cases omitted, and nothing appears to show that the entire text relating to those species was not written by Dr. Merrill himself. But still more unfortunate is the citation of references in parentheses after the annotations. As the list is printed, the matter which precedes each reference impresses the reader at first sight as a quotation, with its authority following. Had these references been placed under the headings in the form of

synonyms, and brackets made use of to enclose Mr. Ridgway's notes, much needless confusion would have been avoided.* Altogether, however, the paper is a most excellent one, and its contents supply a fund of information the lack of which has been long felt. — W. B.

Jones and Shulze's Illustrations of the Nests and Eggs of THE BIRDS OF OHIO. - Too late for the fuller notice we may hope to give hereafter comes to us the first number of a very beautiful work, which we sincerely hope may be successfully completed according to the announced design of the lady authors. Part I contains figures of the nests and eggs of Icterus baltimore, Turdus mustelinus, and Coccygus erythrophthalmus, of life size, colored by hand, printed on Whatman's antiquarian drawing-paper, and forming three of the most exquisite pictures of birdhomes we have ever seen. Each plate has its sheet of letterpress, which fitly illustrates these artistic plates. The work is to appear, if it meets with sufficient support, in parts of three plates each, to be completed in about thirty parts; it is published by subscription, at \$5.00 for colored, and \$ 2.00 for uncolored, impressions. The objects are represented of life size, in their natural surroundings, calling for the large folio form in which the work appears. To judge by the first Part, the work is one of very unusual merit, deserving that hearty recognition and support which we trust will be accorded by all who can appreciate the combination of great artistic excellence and fidelity to nature. The authors are to be congratulated upon their taste and evident ability; we hope in due time to be able to felicitate them upon the complete success of their undertaking. - E. C.

ADAMS'S NOTES ON THE BIRDS OF ALASKA. — Some twenty-eight years ago (October, 1850) Mr. Edward Adams, a Surgeon in the British navy, and at the time only twenty-five years of age, having the post of Assistant-Surgeon and Naturalist on board the "Enterprise," in an expedition fitted out in search of Franklin, was sent to the Redoubt of Michalaski, on the shores of Norton Sound, Alaska. He remained there until late in the following June, and made some very interesting and valuable notes on the birds of that region. His collections were given to the

^{*} We understand, however, that the author had not the opportunity of revising the proof-sheets himself. He also informs us that the following corrections should be made:—

Page 128. Embernagra should have the *.

[&]quot; 131. After "habitat" add initials "R. R."

[&]quot; 133. Sturnella mayna should not have the asterisk; this belongs to S. mexicana, on the next page.

[&]quot; 138. Myjarchus crythrocercus var. cooperi should have the *.

[&]quot; 156. After "measurements" add initials "R. R."

^{&#}x27; 164. Under Herodias egretta the reference to "the preceding species" applies to Plegadis guarauna, not to Ardea herodias.

British Musenm, to Mr. John Gould, and to the late Mr. G. R. Gray. The latter dedicated to him the *Colymbus adamsi*. Unfortunately this youthful explorer and already accomplished ornithologist died in 1856 at Sierra Leone, at the early age of thirty-two. His papers have recently been placed by his family in the hands of Mr. H. Stevenson, and have been published in the "Ibis" for October of this year.* Much that he observed has been anticipated by the notes of Messrs. Dall and Bannister. Yet there are several of his observations at once new and interesting. The most noticeable of these is his procuring on the 5th of June, near the redoubt, a specimen of the Blue-throated Warbler (*Cyanecula suecica* Linn.). There were seven in the flock. This is the only instance of the procuring of this well-known Palæarctic species in North America.

So too Motacilla flava, the Yellow Wagtail, another well-known Palæaretic bird, was found by him quite common at Michalaski. He first met with them on the 5th of June, and found their nests on the 12th. Mr. Bannister has since found these birds breeding in the neighboring island of St. Michael's.

The Short-cared Owls eame there in the middle of May, and were quite common. Mr. Adams's notes on the Snow Goose, Gambel's Goose, the White-fronted Goose, Painted Goose (Chlæphaga canagica), the Black Brant, Hutchins's Goose, the American Scoter, the Blue-eyed Duck (Lampronetta fischeri), the Black-throated Eider, Pacific Eider (S. v-nigrum), etc., are full of new and valuable information. So too are his observations concerning the American Dunlin, the Least Sandpiper, the Hudsonian Godwit, Sabine's Gull, and the Colymbus adamsi, believed by many to be a valid species and not a mere form of the Northern Diver.

These early observations of Alaskan species, which, had they appeared at the time they were made, would have anticipated so much of what has only recently appeared, have both a melancholy and their own intrinsic interest, and are well worthy of attention. — T. M. B.

WILSON AND BONAPARTE'S AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGY. — A new and handsome octavo reprint of Wilson and Bonaparte's "Ornithology" has been issued by Porter and Coates of Philadelphia.† It claims to be an exact reproduction, minus the atlas of colored plates, of the \$100, three-volume edition issued by the same firm some years ago. At the beginning of the present book are bound in a large number of illustrations of birds, reduced from the original plates of Wilson and Bonaparte. They are not bad cuts, for the most part, but are of very little importance or

^{*} Ibis, 4th Ser. Vol. II, pp. 420 - 442, October, 1878.

[†] American Ornithology; or, The Natural History of the Birds of the United States. Illustrated with plates engraved from drawings from Nature. By Alexander Wilson and Charles Lucian Bonaparte. Popular edition. Philadelphia: Porter and Coates. Three volumes in one.

artistic value in their present position. Between this collection of plates and the text is inserted Ord's biography of Wilson.

No one can help rejoicing at any effort to disseminate more widely an acquaintance with Alexander Wilson and his charming and painstaking work. Happy the young ornithologist whose first draughts are from this fountain. But simply to reprint Wilson, even with Bonaparte added, at \$7.50, pointing out none of the errors, nor supplementing the shortcomings, is, to say the least, utterly unnecessary to the advancement of the science. What would be welcome is an edition of Wilson at moderate price, prepared under the direction of a competent ornithologist, which should be a commentary on the splendid work of the Father of American Ornithology, and should indicate in a brief and graphic way the progress in the science since his death. Such a work would be of great value to the ordinary man of culture as well as to the specialist; and to fail to do this, as in the present ease, simply represents a grand opportunity thrown away. This is the more to be regretted since the publishers seem to have had an inkling of the truth, and made a faint effort toward it by including Baird's Catalogue, which was a fair nominal list at the time of the former reprint, but is now obsolete in all particulars, and is thus worse than useless as an addition to Wilson's volume. - E. I.

Cours's Birds of the Colorado Valley. - Judging by the volume now at hand,* the "Birds of the Colorado Valley" will leave far in the shade the same author's very useful and justly popular hand-book of the "Birds of the Northwest," to which this work is designed as a complementary treatise. It has a much wider scope, treating exhaustively the technicalities of the general subject of North American Ornithology, especially its bibliographical phases. The biographical portion of the work is limited to the species inhabiting the Colorado Basin. This constitutes the chief part of the text, and is evidently written to meet the wants and tastes of the general public. It is accordingly couched in well-turned periods, and displays the graceful diction, the facility of expression, and the telling ways of putting things that so strongly mark Dr. Coues's attempts at a popular presentation of natural history subjects, and which give to his style an attractiveness few writers are able to command. The plan of the work, we are glad to see, so far departs from that followed in the "Birds of the Northwest" as to include descriptions of the species. These have evidently been drawn up with special regard to conciseness and precision, and of course render the work a convenient hand-book of the birds of the region specially treated.

^{*} Birds of the Colorado Valley. A Repository of Scientific and Popular Information concerning North American Ornithology. By Elliott Coues. Part First. Passeres to Laniidæ. Bibliographical Appendix. Seventy illustrations (woodcuts). 8vo. pp. xvi, 807. Washington, Government Printing Office, 1878. "Miscellaneous Publications, No. 11" of the United States Geological Survey of the Territories, F. V. Hayden, U. S. Geologist-in-Charge.

As regards the technicalities of the subject, the bibliography and synonvmy of each species, not only of the region in question but of the whole continent north of Mexico, is exhaustively presented,* no reference of importance to any of the species being apparently omitted, while not unfrequently, and especially in ease of the rarer and little known species, the locality to which the reference relates is stated, or the general nature of the information specified is indicated. Notwithstanding the fact that titles are abridged to the minimum, † the tables of reference usually range in length from half a page to a page and a half, and embrace from fifty to one hundred, and sometimes more than two hundred, references. While some of them may be valueless, we feel assured that the work of compilation has been so thoroughly done, - especially as we are informed that all the references have been personally verified by the author, - that no one need go over the same ground again. In all cases of complicated synonymy the matter is sifted to the bottom, and where specific names have been based on figures or descriptions by non-systematic writers, the exact basis of such names is distinctly stated, and their claims to priority or other recognition judicially presented. In short, the evidence of tireless research and unquestionable thoroughness marks especially this intricate portion of the author's work. The outcome of all this labor does not, fortunately, often disturb commonly accepted names, although elucidating many interesting points of synonymy. The first one hundred and ninety-two pages of the work, it appears, were electrotyped in 1876, or two years before the remainder of the work was put in type. In the later portion of the work generic synonymy is given as well as specific, and in the later chapters the etymology of various scientific and vernacular names receives special attention, -features lacking in the earlier portion. Under the head of Swallows is given also a résumé of the principal writings relating to the supposed hibernation of these birds, with an extensive bibliography of the subject.

As indicated in the title-page already quoted, this part of the work treats the Perching Birds as far as the Shrikes (including the latter), or that portion of the order sometimes termed *Dentirostral Passeres*. The geographical area embraced is "the whole region drained by the Colorado River of the West and its tributaries, as far south as the present Mexican boundary of the United States." It hence includes "Arizona, much of

^{*} We miss, however, all reference to Regulus cuvicri, and the bibliography of Sitta pusilla and of Parus atricapillus, excepting that relating to var. septentrionalis.

⁺ The abridgment of titles is carried to such an extreme that while intelligible at sight to the advanced specialist, they must in many cases be useless to the less experienced, as many by no means novices in ornithology might be at a loss for an interpretation of "Bp. CGL.," "Bp. CR.," "Bp. CA.," or "Cab. MH.," or even "C. & S. NHWT."

New Mexico, Utah, and Nevada, a part of the State of Colorado, and some of Southern California." The surface of the region is greatly varied, and the climate presents extremes equalled in no other area of similar extent in the United States. The region is not only walled in by high mountain ranges, but embraces chains and peaks that nearly reach the line of perpetual snow; yet the greater portion is low, and includes some of the hottest and most arid portions of the continent. The influence of such highly diverse conditions leaves its impress upon animal and vegetable life, so that here are developed among the birds modifications of coloration and structure of special interest. Here, too, the birds "find their summer and winter homes, and perform their migrations rather according to 'the lay of the land' than with reference to degrees of latitude."

The two hundred and eighteen pages of "Bibliographical Appendix" with which the work closes is by no means the least important part of the volume. Although so extended, embracing about fifteen hundred (though the author incorrectly says "nearly or about one thousand") titles, it is restricted to a "List of Faunal Publications relating to North American Ornithology," being "the North American section of the Faunal Publications' series" of a general "Bibliography of Ornithology," upon which the author has been for some years engaged. The scope and plan of the present instalment of the work is explicitly stated by the author to include "titles and digests of works and papers relating solely to Birds of North America indiscriminately, collectively, or in general. In short, the titles are those that relate to the Birds of North America as such, - not as components of any genus or family." Hence are excluded all monographs, all general treatises on birds of larger areas, even if including North Ameriea, and all general works on ornithology. "By this means," the author adds, "the scope of the present article is conveniently narrowed and rendered perfectly definite; and only in a few instances, for one or another particular reason, is the rigidity of the rule of exclusion relaxed." The bulk of the titles hence consists of "local lists" and articles of an allied eharacter, but embraces a range of publications from the works of Wilson or Audubon down to the "least note" on the subject, with also the reviews and notices that relate to them. A few titles are included upon arbitrary grounds, but perhaps come as naturally here as elsewhere. Contrary, however, to what one might expect in a list of faunal publications, records of the capture of single species, as, for example, the Lark Fineh or the Lark Bunting in Massachusetts, do not here find a place. Although an inconvenient omission, the explanation is obvious, when we reflect that this list of titles is only one division of a general work, in which the titles are systematically classified under perhaps a hundred or more different heads, and where references to single species, whatever the character of the reference, are entered under family headings; the instances eited hence coming under "Fringillida" in the general scheme of arrangement, although strictly faunal in character. On the other hand, a paper chronicling the occurrence of the Blue-winged Yellow Warbler and the Clapper Rail in New England would be entered here.

Having explained the scope of this piece of bibliography, we may now turn to its general character. On this point we will let the author explain. "There is little to be said," he says, "of the way in which the work has been done; for if it cannot speak for itself, the less said the better. It should be stated, however, that the compiler has habitually regarded THE TITLE as a thing no more to be mutilated than a man's name; and that he has taken the utmost pains to secure transcription of titles verbatim, literatim, et punctuatim. It may be added that, excepting in certain specified cases, no title in this Bibliography has been taken at second hand." The titles are generally followed by remarks, explanatory rather than critical, but in certain cases tersely stating the merits or demerits of the work to which they refer. A short résumé is given of all the more general and important works, with a list of the species or genera newly described, named, or figured in them. The titles are presented in chronological order, with a secondary alphabetized arrangement under each year. Facility of reference, however, is afforded by means of duplicate indexes, the first relating to authors and the second to localities. The indexes, besides affording ready access to any title, are really secondary bibliographies, showing at a glance, first, a list of the papers referred to in the bibliography any author has published, and secondly, a complete list of the papers that relate to particular geographical areas, the two indexes alone occupying nearly forty pages.

In point of completeness, mode of execution, and general usefulness, the bibliography here under notice far excels any natural history bibliography known to us, and deserves to rank with the best bibliographies of any department of literature, and may well serve as a model for future workers in similar fields. While we regret that it does not cover quite the whole field of North American Ornithology, ornithologists cannot be too deeply grateful to Dr. Coues for erecting so elaborate a guide-board to the literature of the subject. We shall certainly await with impatience the completion of the arduous task he has so resolutely and energetically undertaken, and trust that finally his general "Bibliography of Ornithology" will be supplemented by a special and complete bibliography for the Ornithology of North America, — though this may be unnecessary to any one having access to the general work, which we understand is already about half compiled.

As regards the general work, or the "Birds of the Colorado Valley" as a whole, no more important contribution to the subject of North American Ornithology than this promises to be has for a long time appeared, and none covering all points of the field here taken; and the speedy publication of the remaining parts of the work must be looked for with equal eagerness both by specialists and those who simply love and admire birds, and can appreciate a pleasant rehearsal of the habits and traits that render them objects of such universal interest. — J. A. A.

General Notes.

Kirtland's Warbler again in Ohio. — I have been informed by Mr. R. K. Winslow and other ornithologists of Cleveland, O., that two specimens of Dendræca kirtlandi were taken at Rockport, Cuyahoga County, O., by William and John Hall, during the past season. One of these is a female, the first of the sex taken. Both were captured within two miles of the spot where the original specimen was taken by Dr. Kirtland. A third specimen is said to have been taken in that vicinity about the same time, but I was unable to obtain any definite information concerning it. — J. M. Wheaton, Columbus, O.

VIREO ATRICAPILLUS IN TEXAS. — The acquaintance with this beautiful little Vireo has been so limited that any remarks or dates of additional capture cannot fail to be of interest. We find, in Baird, Brewer, and Ridgway's "North American Birds," that but three or four specimens of this Vireo have been previously recorded. One specimen, "probably a female," was obtained at Mazatlan, on the western coast of Mexico, in April, by Colonel Grayson." It was "first met with by Dr. Woodhouse, on the 26th May, 1851, in Western Texas. This was on the Rio San Pedro, within ten miles of its source." Dr. Woodhouse obtained two males and "Mr. John H. Clark, the naturalist of the Mexican Boundary Commission, likewise found this species in Texas, and not far from the same locality in which it was discovered by Dr. Woodhouse." Mr. Clark shot a single specimen in June.

Since the above citations I believe there has been no record made of further captures. The following is an abstract from a letter received by me from Mr. George H. Ragsdale, to whom I am indebted for information regarding his recent experience with the Black-headed Vireo:—

"On the 20th of April, 1878, while collecting some birds at Camp Verde, in the northern part of Medina County, Texas, my friend, Mr. W. Norris, who accompanied me, shot a male of this species, shooting the bird at random, not knowing its rarity. On the 2d of May, 1878, I collected a female in Comanche County, about one hundred and fifty miles northeast of Camp Verde. The specimen, like the former, was found in post oak woods on upland. On the 3d of May, 1878, I shot a second male, while singing, in the northeastern part of Earth County. The song resembled that of Virco belli, only weaker. Both specimens which I shot were exceedingly shy, darting into thick bushes at sight. I am convinced as to the breeding of this species on the borders of the Red River in Cook County. In 1876 a Vireo's nest which contained one egg was shown me by a person who declared the bird had a black head. I watched the nest for some days, but the parent did not return, and the egg was lost. The locality in which the nest was found was identical with that in which I had collected the birds, and I have never found Bell's Vireo breeding in such a locality."

One of the above males is now in the collection of Mr. W. Brewster, and the other is in my own cabinet; the female is in the collection of Mr. Greene Smith of Peterboro', N. Y. — RUTHVEN DEANE, Cambridge, Mass.

Some Light on the History of a Rare Bird.—In the Bulletin for January, 1878, I mentioned the fact that a third specimen of the White-throated Warbler (Helminthophaga leucobronchialis, Brewster) had been found in the collection of the Philadelphia Academy of Natural Sciences. In the paper I stated that there was no label attached to the bird, designating its species, sex, or the locality where it was procured; but that on the bottom of its stand was written, "J. C., 20 Oct., 1862," and also what I made out to be, "Not from Bell," which was much blurred. Further, the history of the specimen was involved in obscurity.

I wrote to Mr. N. T. Lawrence of New York, concerning the bird, and shortly afterwards, having called on Mr. Bell, the well-known ornithologist, Mr. Lawrence wrote me as follows:—

"I asked Mr. Bell if he remembered at any time procuring a specimen of H. chrysoptera which differed in any way from the normal specimens. He said that when his attention was called to your last article in the Bulletin, he recalled the fact that somewhere about 1832, in the spring of that year, at Rockland, N. Y., he shot, as he supposed, a young male Golden-wing, but at the time remarked to his brother, who was collecting with him, that the bird was highly plumaged, but lacked the black of the throat, hence he took it to be a young male. His attention was first attracted to the bird by a note he had never heard before, and one of Bell's specialties in his younger days was his accuracy in determining different species by their notes. He also said he kept the specimen a long time, thinking it an unusual form of the Golden-wing, and finally sold it to a man in Philadelphia; therefore, I think in all probability the bird you found at the Academy is the identical one Bell procured over forty years ago. He said he intended to question his brother the next time he saw him, in regard to it; so if I hear anything more on the subject I will let you know."

From the above extract from Mr. Lawrence's letter, it seems there is strong reason for believing that the bird discovered in the Academy's collection is the self-same one shot by Bell at Rockland, N. Y., in 1832; and on the almost obliterated words, "Not from Bell," or, as Mr. George N. Lawrence says might be, "Note from Bell," hung the history of the specimen. The sentence, "J. C., 20 Oct., 1862," is, I think, explainable. Mr. Cassin, having charge of the Academy's ornithological collections, like Mr. Bell supposed the bird to be an abnormal form of H. chrysoptera, wrote his initials on the bottom of its stand, and also the date of deposit in the Academy, and set it aside among the specimens of that species. I think this fact is of much interest, as throwing light on a specimen of a species as rare as H. leucobronchialis, and also as showing its close relation to the Golden-winged Warbler (H. chrysoptera). — Spencer Trotter, Philadelphia, Pa.

OCCURRENCE OF THE WESTERN VARIETY OF THE YELLOW REDPOLL WARBLER IN MASSACHUSETTS. — The first instance of the capture of Mr. Ridgway's interesting variety, Dendræca palmarum hypochrysea, in Massachusetts has recently come to light, a specimen having been shot by Mr. Arthur Smith at Brookline, about the middle of October, 1878. Mr. William Brewster has compared the bird with specimens in his collection, and, although the bird is in autumnal plumage, he says it is very typical of the form in question. — RUTHVEN DEANE, Cambridge, Mass.

The Golden-Cheeked Warbler and Black-Chinned Humming-Bird in Texas.—In a letter just received from Mr. George H. Ragsdale, of Gainesville, Texas, he writes: "You may mention in the January Bulletin my taking in Bosque County, last April, a male Dendræca chrysoparia, now in the Smithsonian Institution, and two male Trochilus alexandri, in Gillespie and San Saba counties, during the same month. One of the latter is in the Museum of Greene Smith, Peterboro', N. Y., the other I have."

The few specimens * known of the above Warbler are from the highlands of Vera Paz, Guatemala, and one specimen was procured on the Medina River, near San Antonio, Texas, in early spring, about 1864, which till now was its only United States record. The species of Hummer has not, I believe, been before observed east of Arizona and Utah, and Mr. Ragsdale's success in securing in his State two examples, and also a rare Dendræca, is worth noting.—H. A. Purdie, Boston, Mass.

CAPTURE OF TWO RARE BIRDS AT RIVERDALE, N. Y. — Among the rare and accidental avian visitors which have come under my observation as having occurred at Riverdale, N. Y., it may be well to note the following:—

Tyrannus verticalis. Arkansas Flycatcher. — A young male, in somewhat worn plumage, taken on October 19, 1875, furnishes the third extra-limital eastern record of the species, and the first for New York State. The bird was first observed on the afternoon of the day previous to its capture, pursuing its avocation of insect-hunting from the topmost branches of some tall trees near a private residence, and the following day was again found about the same spot and without much difficulty secured.

^{*} Rowley's Ornith. Misc. Part III, January, 1876, pp. 181-184, is devoted entirely to the history of this species, a beautiful colored plate being also given. Mr. Salvin, the author of the article and the discoverer of the species, corrects an error made by Dr. Brewer in Hist. N. A. B., I, p. 161, respecting the original specimens procured by Salvin in Vera Paz. He states that he never procured more than two specimens, instead of the three Dr. Brewer speaks of, these two, with Dresser's Texas one, being all that were known when he wrote. If none have been discovered meanwhile, Mr. Ragsdale's is the fourth known one. — E. C.

I's stomach contained parts of a small beetle and partially digested berries of Ampelopsis quinquefolia, the latter also often forming the principal food supply of its congeneric species, T. carolinensis, during the last few days of its northern stay.

Helminthophaga celata. ORANGE-CROWNED WARBLER. — A female was taken on October 9, 1876, and a second specimen seen on the 29th of the same month. The former bird was shot while gleaning among the withering blossoms of a patch of golden-rods (*Solidago*), while the latter was hopping about in a clump of leafless briers and shrubbery quite unsuspiciously, allowing an approach of a few feet. — E. P. BICKNELL, Riverdale, N. Y.

The White-bellied Nuthateh concealing Food. — While collecting in Waltham, in November, I observed a Sitta carolinensis feeding on a small dead locust-tree. It finally went to the end of a broken limb and took therefrom quite a large larva, which it tucked into a crevice, bent the bark upon it, gave a few light raps over the place, and then proceeded to do the same with two more larvæ. — W. B. Dowse, Boston, Mass.

THE GREAT CAROLINA WREN (Thryothorus ludovicianus) IN CONNECTICUT. — Dr. Brewer recorded in the last issue of the Bulletin (Vol. III, p. 193) the first known capture of this Wren in Massachusetts and New England. I now give a second instance, which is also its first Connecticut record. A line from Mr. J. II. Clark informs me that he obtained a fine specimen at Saybrook, November 25, 1878. — H. A. PURDIE, Boston, Mass.

OCCURRENCE OF SEVERAL RARE BIRDS NEAR SING SING, N. Y. — The capture of the following birds is of some interest, as they are comparatively rare in this locality; also the time of year in which some of them were taken is unusual.

- 1. Oporornis agilis. Connecticut Warbler. I shot a male September 19, 1878, in a clump of bushes, while I was looking for a *Myiodiocles mitratus*, which I had seen a few minutes previous, and had failed to shoot.
- 2. Collurio. June 16, 1877, I met a boy who had a young Shrike which was able to fly only a few yards. He would not part with it, although I made him a liberal offer for it. I afterwards learned that it got away from him, or he let it go, the same day. I was unable to tell whether it was C. ludovicianus or the var. excubitoroides. At all events the bird must have been raised in the vicinity.
- 3. Strix flammea var. americana. BARN OWL.— A farmer, a mile or so north of the village of Sing Sing, found in January, 1873, a fine specimen on the open barn floor. It was frozen stiff, and hardly a feather on it was displaced. He took it to Dr. G. J. Fisher for identification, to whom he afterwards gave it. The Doctor had it mounted, and it is now in his collection.

- 4. Ardea egretta. Great White Egret. A specimen was shot, early in September, 1870, on a broad marshy flat a short distance north of this village, where the Croton River joins the Hudson. The bird was taken to Dr. Fisher, but the warm weather spoiled it before it was preserved.
- 5. Graculus dilophus. Double-crested Cormorant. On June 22, 1876, a specimen was found in a fyke in the Croton River. It must have dived after a fish, and getting entangled in the netting was drowned. Mr. George Ayles got it from the fisherman, and gave it to me. It was a male. A. K. Fisher, Sing Sing, N. Y.

Occurrence of Birds rare to the Vicinity of Columbus, O. 1. Loxia curvirostra. Red Crossbill. — On the 18th of June last Mr. Charles Hinman killed one of these birds out of a flock of eight or ten which visited the coniferous trees in his garden in this city. The specimen which came into my possession by the kindness of Mr. Oliver Davie was a male, not in full plumage. I have since learned that the Red Crossbill has remained during the season in the vicinity of Cleveland in considerable numbers, and it is reported to have nested there.

- 2. Elanoides forficatus. Swallow-tailed Kite. This bird, which has not been recorded from Ohio for over twenty-five years, was taken in Licking County, near the town of Pataskala, seventeen miles east of Columbus, August 22, 1878. It is reported to have been killed when in the act of pursuing chickens. On being brought to the town of Pataskala, it excited considerable remark, no one being acquainted with it. It was finally decided to be a Bald Eagle escaped from Barnum's Show, and thrown away. It was discovered and identified by Rev. C. H. Permort, who carefully removed the skin from the decomposed remains and presented it to me. It is in high plumage, the dark area iridescent with purple-bronze and green.
- 3. Strix flammea var. americana. Barn Owl. Mr. Oliver Davie of this city has a specimen of this bird killed in this immediate vicinity November 2, 1878. This is its northernmost appearance in the interior, except on one occasion recorded by Mr. E. W. Nelson (Bull. Ess. Ins., 1876, Vol. VIII, p. 116) of two taken in a trap near Chicago. Dr. Howard E. Jones informs me that he killed a specimen twenty-five miles south of Columbus, near Circleville, in the summer of 1873, which is now in the Museum of Hobart College, Geneva, N. Y. Mr. Dury reports several specimens taken in the vicinity of Cineinnati.
- 4. Cupidonia cupido. PINNATED GROUSE. A male Pinnated Grouse was killed by a gunner, seven miles west of Columbus, November 16, 1868. By the kindness of Mr. A. Stevenson, who purchased the bird, the skin is now in my collection. As long ago as 1838 Dr. Kirtland wrote (Ohio Geolog. Surv.): "The Prairie Hen is found in considerable numbers in the northwestern parts of the State." It is now very rare, though

a' few remain in the vicinity of Toledo, and in Erie, Ottawa, Crawford, and Marion counties. Mr. R. E. Neil informs me that a few years since a few remained at Radnon, Delaware Co. — J. M. WHEATON, Columbus, O.

The Great White Egret in New Brunswick. — Mr. C. J. Maynard has informed me of the capture of an immature specimen of Ardea egretta, which he examined in the flesh, shot at Whitehead, Island of Grand Menan, on the 3d November, 1878. It is a singular fact that so many instances have occurred in late years of southern species having wandered north to New England and more northern localities in the fall and winter months. Besides a bird of this species recorded for Nova Scotia by Mr. J. Matthew Jones of Halifax, this is, I think, the most northern locality in which this bird has been detected. — Ruthven Deane, Cambridge, Mass.

The Stilt Sandpiper (Micropalama himantopus) on the New Jersey Coast. — During my stay at Squam Beach, N. J., last summer, from July 15 to September 15, ten Stilt Sandpipers were shot there. Nearly all were killed about September 1, and, excepting a flock of three, all were single birds. Six are now in my possession. I believe the Stilt Sandpiper has been rarely taken in New Jersey, as I know of but one other recent capture.

This species will now have been recorded, in numbers, all along the Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Long Island, and New Jersey coasts, at suitable places from Portland, Me.. to Squam Beach, N. J., showing not only that it is a regular migrant, but also that there is every probability of its being taken farther north and farther south. It would now seem that it can hardly be regarded as a rare straggler on that part of the Atlantic coast from Maine to New Jersey. The question arises, Has the Stilt Sandpiper been much overlooked, or has it, of late years, increased in abundance? — J. Dwight, Jr., Cambridge, Mass.

NOTES ON NEW ENGLAND BIRDS. — Mr. George H. Mackay furnishes me with the following memoranda, which are not without interest.

- 1. Gallinula galeata. FLORIDA GALLINULE. An immature bird was shot late in the autumn of 1872, probably in October, near the north end of Hummock Pond, Nantucket. The specimen is now in the collection of the Boston Society of Natural History.
- 2. Micropalama himantopus. Stilt Sandpiper. A single specimen, in company with one Gambetta flavipes, was shot July 25, 1878, at Nantucket. The capture is interesting on account of locality and its early date. [See this Bulletin, Vol. III, p. 148.]
- 3. Gallinago wilsoni. Wilson's Snipe. Obtained August 29, at Nantucket.
- 4. Ægialitis meloda. Piping Plover. Taken at Nantucket as early as April 14, 1878.

5. Mimus polyglottus. Mocking-Bird. — A young male, evidently a wild bird, was shot by Mr. Mackay at Nantucket, October 8, 1878.

Besides the above I have to record: -

- 6. Macrorhamphus scolopaceus, Lawr. A female was shot at Eastham by Mr. Frank L. Tileston, November 2, 1878. Without presuming to decide whether this is entitled to rank even as a variety, the fact remains that this bird was in a very different form from the common M. griseus and was shot at a period much later than the latter bird has been known to appear.
- 7. Limosa hudsonica. Hudsonian Godwit. A female was shot by Mr. Tileston on Cape Cod, November 2, 1878; also late in the season for this species. T. M. Brewer, *Boston*, *Mass*.

THE FRIGATE PELICAN IN NOVA SCOTIA. — The occurrence of Tachypetes aquilà so far from its usual range is a note of much interest, the only instance previously recorded of its capture as far north even as New England being a specimen taken at Faulkner's Island, Long Island, in 1859.*

Mr. Andrew Downs of Halifax, N. S., to whom I am indebted for the following information concerning its eapture, writes me: "The Frigate Bird which I sent to Boston was shot October 16, 1876, outside of Halifax Harbor. It is the only one which has ever been seen here, and was driven here by a strong southwest gale. It was very warm weather for the time of year." The specimen, which is a fine adult male, is in the possession of Mr. Charles J. Maynard of Newtonville, Mass. — RUTHVEN DEANE, Cambridge, Mass.

FULMARUS GLACIALIS ON THE MASSACHUSETTS COAST. — In my Catalogue of the Birds of New England this bird is spoken of as generally supposed to be found off our coast, but as unsupported by fact. This can be said of it no longer. On Monday, November 4, 1878, I saw a living specimen of it in the yard of Mr. George O. Welch of Lynn, to whom it had been sent to be mounted for the Smithsonian Institution. Mr. James W. Milner writes me that this specimen of the Fulmar Petrel was taken by Captain William Sweet of the fishing-schooner Grace C. Hadley, "on a cod-hook, on the eastern part of George's Bank, which is a very little south of east of Boston, and certainly belongs to the New England coast. It was taken October 28, 1878." — T. M. Brewer, 233 Beacon Street, Boston, Mass.

^{*} American Naturalist, Vol. 1X, p. 470, August, 1875.

BULLETIN

OF THE

NUTTALL ORNITHOLOGICAL CLUB.

Vol. IV.

APRIL, 1879.

No. 2.

HISTORY OF THE EVENING GROSBEAK.*

BY ELLIOTT COUES.

A bird of the most distinguished appearance, indeed, is the Evening Grosbeak,† whose very name of the "Vesper-voiced" suggests

* By permission, from advance copy of "Birds of the Colorado Valley," Vol. II. — ED.

† Hesperiphona vespertina.

Fringilla vespertina, Cooper, Ann. Lyc. N. Y. I, Pt. II, 1825, 220 (descr. orig. Saute Ste. Marie, Michigan). — Coop., Annals of Philos. XI, 1826, 135. — Less., Féruss. Bull. 2° Sect. VII, 1826, 110. — Bp., Ann. Lyc. N. Y. II, 1826, 113, No. 188. — Less., Féruss. Bull. 2° Sect. XII, 1827, 267. — Bp., Zoöl. Journ. IV, 1828, 2. — Bp., Am. Orn. II, 1828, 75, pl. 15, f. 1. — Nutt., Man. I, 1832, 594. — Cooper, Isis, XXV, 1832, 1073. — Aud., O. B. IV, 1838, 515, pls. 373, 425 Q. — Towns., Journ. Phila. Acad. VIII, 1839, 154.

Coccothraustes vespertina, Sw. & Rich., F. B. A. II, 1831, 269, pl. 68. — Bp., C. & G. L. 1838, 30. — Aud., Syn. 1839, 134. — Aud., B. A. III, 1841, 217, pl. 207. — Henry, Pr. Phila. Acad. 1855, 312 (New Mexico). — Cottle, Canad. Journ. III, 1855, 287 (Canada; historical and descriptive).

Coccothraustes vespertinus, GAMB., Journ. Phila. Acad. I, 1847, 49. — Scl., P. Z. S. 1860, 251 (Orizaba). — Scl., Cat. Am. Birds, 1862, 123. — RIDGW., Ann. Lyc. N. Y. X, 1874, 371 (Illinois).

Coeoborus vespertinus, Hoy, Pr. Phila. Acad. 1853, 383 (Wisconsin).

Hesperiphona vespertina, Вр., С. R. XXXI, 1850, 424; С. A. I, 1850, 505. — Вр., В. N. A. 1858, 409. — НЕNRY, Pr. Phila. Acad. 1859, 107 (N. Mexico). — Соор. & Suckl., Р. R. R. Rep. XII, Pt. II, 1859, 196. — Кіктіалр, Оһіо Farmer, ІХ, of Mar. 24, 1860 (Оһіо). — Wheaton, Оһіо Agr. Rep. for 1860, 1861. — ВІАКІЗТ., ІЪіѕ, 1862, 5; 1863, 69 (Fort Carlton). — Coues, Pr. Phila. Acad. 1866, 80 (Arizona). — Мсіімкаїтн, Pr. Essex Inst. V. 1866, 88 (Woodstock, Canada). — Іамк., Ann. Lyc. N. Y. VIII, 1866, 289 (near New York City). — Coues, Pr. Essex Inst. V, 1868, 280, 312 (Canada and New York —

VOL. IV.

at once the far-away land of the dipping sun, and the tuneful romance which the wild bird throws around the fading light of day. Clothed in the most striking color-contrasts of black, white, and gold, he seems to represent the allegory of diurnal transmutations; for his sable pinions close around the brightness of his vesture, just as the night encompasses the golden hues of the sunset; while the clear white space enfolded in these tints foretells the dawn of the morrow.

not New England). — Sumich., Mem. Bost. Soc. I, 1869, 550 (near City of Mex.). — ?Coop., Am. Nat. III, 1869, 75 (Montana). — Coop., B. Cal. I, 1870 174. — Coues, Key, 1872, 127. — A1K., Pr. Bost. Soc. XV, 1872, 199 (Wyoming). — Ames, Bull. Minnesota Acad. 1874, 58. — ?Coop., Am. Nat. VIII, 1874, 17. — Coues, B. N. W. 1874, 104. — B. B. & R., N. A. B. I. 1874, 449, pl. 22, f. 1. — Hensh., Rep. Orn. Specs. 1874, 108 (Arizona). — Hensh., List B. Ariz. 1875, 158. — Hensh., Zoöl. Expl. W. 100 Merid. 1875, 239. — Brewer, Pr. Bost. Soc. XVII, 1875, 451 (Essex Co., N. Y., in winter). — Snow, B. Kans. 3d ed. 1875, 6 (Kansas, in November; common). — Tiffany, Amer. Nat. XII, July, 1878, 471 (Minneapolis, Minn.; habits).

Hesperiphona vespertina var. montana, Ridg., apud B. B. & R., N. A. B. I. 1874, 449, pl. 22, f. 4. — Ridgw., Bull. Essex Inst. V, 1873, 181 (Colorado). Coccothraustes bonapartii, Less., "Illust. de Zoöl. 1834, pl. 34 (Q, Melville Isl.)."

Loxia bonapartii, Less., "Bull. Sc. pl. XXV."

 σ adult. General color sordid yellow, overlaid with a sooty-olive shade, deepest on the fore parts, the crown becoming quite black, clearest on the under parts behind. A frontal patch prolonged into a short streak over each eye, the scapulars, and rump, quite pure yellow. Wings and tail black; several of the inner secondaries, with the inner half of the series of greater wing-coverts, white. Lining of wings partly black, partly yellow. A narrow black line around base of upper mandible. Tibiæ black. Bill greenish-yellow. Feet apparently dusky flesh-color. Length, $7\frac{1}{2} - 8\frac{1}{2}$ inches; wing, $4 - 4\frac{1}{2}$; tail, $2\frac{1}{2} - 3$; bill, $\frac{2}{4}$ long, $\frac{2}{3}$ deep, and $\frac{2}{3}$ broad at the base.

φ adult. Brownish ash-color, paler below, and whitening on the belly, irregularly mixed or patched with yellowish. Lining of wings and axillars bright yellow. White speculum on the wing incomplete, the feathers being partly black, and sometimes having the white part tinged with yellow; the primaries, which are entirely black on the male, having also large white areas on the inner webs, and being sometimes tipped with white.

The adult males differ much in the shade of the yellow, and degree to which it is obscured by the sooty-olive. Taking age and sex also into account, the range of variation in color is wide, but the remarkable species cannot be mistaken for any other.

Specimens from the Southern Rocky Mountain region and southward are said to have the bill less turgid, the yellow frontlet narrower, and less white on the wings. Such constitute Mr. Ridgway's variety montana, a typical example of which I have seen from Illinois.

Once seen, the Evening Grosbeak will not likely be forgotten. even though we only glance at what was once a brilliant denizen of the maple groves, and is now but a bunch of feathers stuffed with tow; for there is no bird of our country that in the least resembles this striking likeness of a sunset. They say he has a near relative in the land of Montezuma,* but he is otherwise quite an isolated magnificence, his closest kinship being with the Hawfinch of Europe (Coccothraustes vulgaris), whom he resembles in his stature and proportions, though not at all in coloration. Nor has he the curious construction of the wing-feathers that the typical Hawfinch displays, these quills being as simple in form as they are in any other member of the extensive family of the Finches. No very distant relatives among our Fringillide are such species as the Blue, the Cardinal, the Rose-breasted, and other Grosbeaks, as well as those, like the Pine, with which we have grown accustomed to see him associated in our books and catalogues.

He is rather a late aspirant to the questionable honors of our literature, having remained unknown to fame all through the Wilsonian period, and until brought to our notice by Mr. William Cooper, whose letter of introduction, originally published in the "Annals of the New York Lyceum of Natural History," was soon extensively copied by the editors of other periodicals.† The bird thus

* Hesperiphona abeillii (Less.) Bp.

Guiraea abeillii, Less., "Rev. Zoöl. 1839, 41 (Mexico)."

Hesperiphona abeillii, Вр., С. А. I, 1850, 505. — Sumich., Mem. Bost. Soc. I, Pt. IV, 1869, 550. — В. В. & R., Hist. N. A. В., I, 1874, 449.

Coecothraustes abeillii, Scl. & Salv., Ibis, I, 1859, 19.—Scl., P. Z. S. 1859, 365.—Scl., Cat. A. B., 1862, 123.

Hesperiphona vespertina, Jun. ex Mex., Bp., C. A. I, 1850, 505.

Coecothraustes maculipennis, Scl., P. Z. S. 1860, 251, pl. 163 (Vera Cruz).—Scl. & Salv., P. Z. S. 1860, 398.

+ Special papers on Hesperiphona vespertina: —

1825. COOPER, W. Description of a New Species of Grosbeak [Fringilla vespertina, p. 220], inhabiting the Northwestern Territory of the United States. Ann. Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, I, Pt. II, 1825, pp. 219-222. (Read January 10, 1825.)

This is the original description of the species, from the Schoolcraft specimen, Saute Ste. Marie, Michigan, April, 1823.

1826. COOPER, W. Description of a New Species of Grosbeak [Fringilla vespertina, p. 136], inhabiting the Northwestern Territory of the United States. Thomson's Ann. of Philos. (new series), XI, 1826, pp. 134-136.

Reproduced from Ann. Lyc. Nat. Hist. New York, I, 1825, pp. 219 – 222. 1826. Less[on, R. P.]. Description d'une espèce nouvelle de Gros-bec

speedily became known to ornithologists of all parts of the world. The actual discovery of so welcome an addition to our recognized Fauna was made by Mr. Schoolcraft, who secured the original example of the species in April of the year 1823, at or near the Saute Sainte Marie, Michigan. This individual, upon its presentation to the Lyceum just named, became the basis of Mr. Cooper's communication, and was supposed for a little while to be the only representative of the species known to naturalists; but other specimens soon became available for the purposes of science. Thus Bonaparte, who first figured the interesting acquisition, in 1828, states that at this date he had examined two other specimens, besides Mr. Schoolcraft's, which had been shot early in the spring on Lake Athabasca, and were preserved in the Leadbeater collection in London; one of them serving him for the elaborate description which he gives in his "American Ornithology." Soon after this, we find Sir John Richardson's allusion to specimens sent to the authors of the "Fauna Boreali-Americana" by Mr. Prudens, Chief Trader at Carlton House: and this author remarks that the bird is a common inhabitant of the maple groves of the Saskatchewan region, —a circumstance from which its Cree Indian name Seesebasquit-pethaysish, or Sugar-Bird, is derived.* A very characteristic likeness of the male bird of natural

[Fringilla vespertina, Coop.], etc., habitant le territoire N.-O. des États-Unis; par William Cooper..... Féruss. Bull. 2° sect. VII, 1826, p. 110.

Extrait des Anuales du Lycée d'Hist. Nat. de New York, I, 1825, pp. 219 – 222.

1827. Lesson, R. P. Description d'une espèce nouvelle de gros-bec [Fringilla vespertina, Coop.], habitant la partie nord-ouest des États-Unis; par William Cooper. . . . Féruss. Bull. 2° sect. XII, 1827, pp. 267, 268.

Tiré des Annal. de Philos. XI, 1826, pp. 134-136.

1832. COOPER, W. Neue Gattung Kernbeisser [Fringilla vespertina]. Oken's Isis, Bd. XXV, 1832, p. 1073.

Auszug aus d. Ann. Lyc. Nat. Hist. New York, I, 1825, pp. 219 - 222.

1855. COTTLE, T. Coccothraustes respertina. — Evening Grosbeak. Canad. Journ. III, 1855, p. 287.

Historical and descriptive; occurrence of the species in Canada.

1869. Kirtland, J. P. [Occurrence of Hesperiphona vespertina in Ohio.] Ohio Farmer, IX, March 24, 1860.

The original ascription of the species to Ohio; but it had been observed in that State in 1847.

* The accompanying descriptions are of a male killed on the Saskatchewan in 1829, and of a supposed female from some locality not stated; the latter is, however, the immature male; for Bouaparte, in stating that the female scarcely

size, drawn and colored in William Swainson's well-known style, accompanies the notice to which I refer; the remainder of the account in the work just named consisting of the junior author's fanciful speculations on the quinary affinities of this remarkable Grosbeak. His ingenuity brings him to the sage conclusion that the bird is related to certain tenuirostral types, notwithstanding that it has one of the largest, stoutest, stockiest bills to be found in the whole Fringilline assemblage.

It is sometimes interesting, and it may not seldom become edifying, to look back through the perspective of time and see how the heaviest artillery of the systematists may turn to Quaker guns, when thus viewed through the telescope reversed. It is no less profitable to ponder how the disputes of the schools arise in particular ways of looking at things that never change, and are fostered by the varying idiosyncrasies of individuals who aspire to solve the silent, persistent, unending mysteries that Nature will never fully reveal to man's unaided understanding." We play a game of chess with brilliant pieces of natural workmanship, each on a checkered field of his individual experiences, all too small for the full development of the game, yet quite too large for us to cover successfully; and the most we may indulge a hope of, is the barren victory of a perpetual stale-mate. We shift and shift positions, but can never extricate ourselves. Thus Bonaparte wrote in 1828: "The Evening Grosbeak is . . . , so precisely similar in form to the Hawfinch-type of the group, as to defy the attempts of the most determined innovators to separate them"; and in 1850 he established a genus Hesperiphona upon a basis which he had thus declared not to exist. We seem to be no wiser after than before such events as these, in anything that pertains to our actual knowledge of the Evening Grosbeak.

Let us turn another page of written history respecting the subject of the present notice. The statements of fact I have made are all staple accounts, copied by each successive compiler with no less scrupulous exactitude than I have myself exhibited. Quite a fresh

differs from the male, spoke from insufficient evidence, and Richardson, making note of this inadvertence, committed another error. The subject was not rectified until Audubon described and figured the female from specimens and information furnished him by Townsend. The female obtained by Audubon from Townsend was marked "Black Hills, June 3, 1824," and therefore missed being the earliest specimen of which we have any account by only one year.

and interesting chapter was added by J. K. Townsend, who contributed his observations to Audubon's work, under date of "Columbia River, May 27, 1836." He corrected two grave errors which had already cropped out, namely, respecting the sexual similarity in plumage, and concerning the wrong notion that the bird sings only at evening, as implied in the term *vespertina*. His notice is worth transcribing, even at this late day, so little further information have we acquired respecting the habits of the Evening Grosbeak.

"The Evening Grosbeak," says Townsend, " is very numerous in the pine-woods at this time. You can scarcely enter a grove of pines at any hour in the day without seeing numbers of them. They are very unsuspicious and tame, and I have, in consequence, been enabled to procure a fine suite of specimens. The accounts that have been published respecting them by the only two authors to whom I have access, Mr. Nuttall and Prince Bonaparte, are, I think, in many respects, incorrect. In the first place, it is stated that they are retiring and silent during the day, and sing only on the approach of evening. Here they are remarkably noisy during the whole of the day, from sunrise to sunset. They then retire quietly to their roosts in the summits of the tall pines, and are not aronsed until daylight streaks the east, when they come forth to feed as before. Thus I have observed them here, but will not say but that at other seasons and in other situations their habits may be different. They are now, however, very near the season of breeding, as the organs of the specimens I examined sufficiently indicate. They appear fond of going in large bodies, and it is rare to see one alone in a tree. They feed upon the seeds of the pine and other trees, alighting upon large limbs, and proceeding by a succession of hops to the very extremities of the branches. They eat, as well as seeds, a considerable quantity of the larvæ of the large black ant, and it is probable that it is to procure this food that they are not uncommonly seen in the tops of the low oaks which here skirt the forests. Their ordinary voice, when they are engaged in procuring food, consists of a single rather screaming note, which from its tone I at first supposed to be one of alarm, but soon discovered my error. At other times, particularly about midday, the male sometimes selects a lofty pine branch, and there attempts a song; but it is a miserable failure, and he seems conscious of it, for he frequently pauses and looks discontented, then remains silent sometimes for some minutes, and tries it again, but with no

better success. The note is a single warbling call, exceedingly like the early part of the Robin's song, but not so sweet, and checked as though the performer were out of breath. The song, if it may be so called, is to me a most wearisome one: I am constantly listening to hear the stave continued, and am as constantly disappointed. Another error of the books is this—they both state that the female is similar to the male in plumage. Now, this is entirely a mistake: she is so very different in color and markings that were it not for the size and color of the bill, and its peculiar physiognomy, one might be induced to suppose it another species."*

The nest and eggs of this clegant Grosbeak have not been discovered yet; nor have we, in fact, gained much further insight into the bird's mode of life than Townsend's note affords. I was greatly disappointed in my expectations of making the personal acquaintance of the "Sugar-Bird" in the solitudes of the Saskatchewan region; for my search was never rewarded with a glimpse of the fugitive among the lowering pines of northernmost Montana, nor has it ever been my fortune to see him in the mountains of Colorado, New Mexico, or Arizona, where we are informed he is to be found. But, before mapping what we have learned of the geographical distribution of the species, I may continue with the observations of others who have watched the course of the bird in his native baunts.

A fresh glimpse of the Evening Grosbeak was lately given (Am. Nat. XII, July, 1878, p. 471) by Mr. W. L. Tiffany, of Minneapolis, Minn., where the interesting bird is said to have resided during the winters of the past few years. The Grosbeaks were seldom seen except in each other's company, the flocks sometimes numbering scores of individuals. They frequented usually the groves of the sugar-maple; and the buds of these trees, together with the seeds of the box-elder, formed their principal food. They were very familiar in their demeanor, appearing even less suspicious of man than the confiding Bohemian Waxwings seemed to be, for they established their headquarters in the town itself, among the shadetrees, and were sometimes seen to ramble over house-tops and porches like so many Wrens. Their notes are called by Mr. Tiffany

^{*} A nominal species had, in fact, already been named by the French ornithologist, R. P. Lesson, who, in 1834, described the female or young male Evening Grosbeak as Coccothraustes bonapartii. (See antea, p. 66.)

"strangely ejaculatory as well as harshly piping," and thus scarcely to be considered musical; still, the birds seemed to be fond of such performances, and occupied much of their leisure in practising both as soloists and as choristers. The writer adds that his female specimens usually showed whitish edgings of the inner webs of the tailfeathers, apparently overlooked by some of our standard authorities.

Dr. J. G. Cooper has recorded the Evening Grosbeak as a common resident of the forests of Washington Territory, where the bird's habit of keeping in the summits of the tall trees screened him to a degree from observation. In January, 1854, he obtained several specimens from a flock that had descended during a snow-storm to some bushes about Vancouver; and he subsequently observed it flying high among poplar-trees, or feeding upon the seeds, and uttering a loud, shrill call-note. In later years he was enabled to make further observations in various portions of California. Thus, he speaks of one flock of about a dozen individuals which wintered near Santa Cruz, remaining until the end of April. "Their favorite resort was a small grove of alders and willows, close to the town, where their loud call-note could be heard at all hours of the day, though I never heard them sing. When the herbage began to grow in spring, their favorite food was the young leaves of various annual weeds that sprouted up under the shade of the trees. They then fed on the buds of the 'box-elder' (Negundo), and frequented the large pear-trees in the old mission garden, probably to eat their buds. They were generally very tame, allowing an approach to within a few vards of them when feeding."

The annual movements of the Evening Grosbeak within the area of its usual dispersion have not been well determined. It is a migratory bird in one sense, but does not appear to be subjected to the impulse of migration with periodical regularity, as a strict and proper migrant should be. It is certainly able to endure a very rigorous climate, for its presence during the most inclement weather of winter along our Northern border, and even in British America, is sufficiently attested. Thus it appears, from Captain Blakiston's article in the "Ibis," that the Evening Grosbeak occurs in the inhospitable region of the Saskatchewan between the months of November and April, when birds of this kind were seen feeding on the ash-leaved maples in company with the very boreal Pine Grosbeaks. Mr. Tiffany's note, already quoted, shows that they endure a Minnesota winter, which is not a thing to be lightly dis-

regarded. On the other hand, we have witnesses to their occurrence and probable residence on the table-lands of Mexico, not far from the capital city of that country, where Sumichrast observed them in the pine woods of Monte Celto, in May, 1857. Mr. Henshaw considers the species to be "doubtless a rare resident" in Arizona, in which Territory he secured a specimen in September, near Camp Apache. As I have intimated, our rather meagre records do not furnish the data for the full solution of the question; and they are in some respects so conflicting apparently, as well as fragmentary, that we feel our doubts rather increased than removed when we compare them. It would appear in present light, however, that the bird is scarcely a true migrant, but rather a wanderer according to exigencies of food supply, to some extent resembling the Bohemian Waxwing, the Pine Grosbeak, Red-poll Linnet, Crossbill, and species of Plectrophanes. Its general habits, and some traits of its character, especially its sociability, familiarity with man, and ways of feeding, are those of Crossbills, Red-polls, and certain other northerly Fringillide, rather than of such species as the Rose-breasted, Black-headed, Cardinal, and Blue Grosbeaks, with which it seems to be nevertheless related in some technical characters

The erratic movements just intimated to be probably chargeable to this singular bird bring it at times to localities remote from its usual centres of abundance. I shall conclude with consideration of this point, in sketching the geographical distribution of the species. Our early accounts, as I have presented them, indicated a range along the northern border of the United States from Michigan westward to the ocean, and Richardson ascribed to the bird a northward extension to latitude 56° N. But since those days it has been traced much farther south and east. Being a bird of woodland, it will not be found on the great plains; but, aside from any matters of local distribution resulting from surface-conditions of the country, this Grosbeak may be said to inhabit the United States from the outliers of the Rocky Mountains to the Pacific. It is thus essentially a Western species; but in the region of the Great Lakes, and for some little distance thence southerly, it stretches far to the eastward, not in solitary and fortuitous instances, but regularly, or at any rate frequently. Its normal range cannot well be short of Canada, in different localities in which Dominion specimens have not seldom been secured. Thus Mr. McIlwraith states, in the paper

above cited, that he had heard of its presence near Hamilton, Canada West, and that a few years previously several had been shot by Mr. T. I. Cottle at Woodstock, where they were "quite numerous for a day or two during the month of May."

Respecting the Evening Grosbeak's presence in the States of Wisconsin and Illinois, Dr. Brewer presents the following paragraph in the "History of North American Birds" (p. 452): "On February 14, 1871, Mr. Kumlein, while out in the woods with his son, saw a small flock of these birds in Dane County, Wisconsin. There were six of them, but, having no gun, he did not procure any. Later in the season he again met with and secured specimens. In the following March Dr. Hov of Racine also obtained several near that city. He also informs me that during the winter of 1870 - 71 there were large flocks of these birds near Freeport, Ill. One person procured twenty-four specimens. One season we noticed them as late as May. They frequent the maple woods, and feed on the seeds fallen on the ground. They also eat the buds of the wild cherry. Their visits are made at irregular intervals. In some years not a single individual can be seen, while in others they make their appearance in December and continue through the whole winter."

The Evening Grosbeak has also long been known to occur in Ohio. The original announcement of the fact was made by the late Professor J. P. Kirtland, in the "Ohio Farmer" of March 24, 1860. "Those of your readers," says the Professor to the editor, "who are interested in the natural sciences will no doubt be gratified to learn that so rare a bird as the Evening Grosbeak has made its appearance in these parts. Early last week a beautiful female was secured by Charles Pease, Jr., and on the next day I saw several others of this species. It is known among ornithologists as the Hesperiphona vespertina, and has never before, I believe, been discovered east of Lake Michigan." But this last statement seems to be not strictly accurate; for Dr. J. M. Wheaton, in transcribing this paragraph into his excellent "Catalogue of the Birds of Ohio," adds that Mr. William Kent informed him that he obtained a specimen in the vicinity of Columbus, Ohio, in 1847.

But we have the evidence that the Evening Grosbeak occasionally strays still further eastward. It has occurred in New York State, and is liable to be found even in New England. Its presence near New York City is attested by Mr. George N. Lawrence, who, however, gives no particulars of the case. Dr. Brewer asserts that the

Rev. Dr. Cutting of Brooklyn saw one in the winter of 1875, at Elizabethtown, Essex County, New York. Dr. Brewer also incorrectly makes me out to have "hypothetically" included the Evening Grosbeak among the birds of New England; but I beg to remind him that I never did so. The species is not included in my "List of New England Birds," hypothetically or in any other way. The name of the bird occurs in two places in my text. On page 280 of the "Proceedings of the Essex Institute," Vol. V, 1868, I make this simple remark: "Mr. McIlwraith records the capture of the Evening Grosbeak, Hesperiphona vespertina, Bon., at Woodstock, Canada." page 312 I say again: "Add to the record of this species at Woodstock, Canada, Mr. Lawrence's notice of its occurrence near New York. Stragglers will probably in time be found in New England." In offering me one of those side-thrusts which he has become notably overfond of giving to any one who may chance to differ with him, Dr. Brewer nevertheless goes on to say in substance precisely what I had remarked. His words are: "So far there is no positive evidence to corroborate this claim [i. e. my alleged claim, which I never made], yet its presence [i. e. the bird's, not the claim's] as a straggler may be looked for as possible in Vermont or New Hampshire"

I have thus endeavored to faithfully reflect all that we have learned respecting the life-history of this engaging bird. Notes illustrating its distribution — particularly the manner and occasion of its movements, and its breeding-places — will long continue to be acceptable contributions; while the fortunate discoverer of the nest and eggs will supply what still remains—one of the special desiderata in North American ornithology.

ON THE HABITS AND NESTING OF CERTAIN RARE BIRDS IN TEXAS.

BY WILLIAM BREWSTER.

I RECENTLY have had the pleasure of examining a superb collection of birds and eggs obtained by Mr. W. H. Werner in Comal County, Texas, during the months of April and May, 1878. Among the specimens represented are many of great rarity, and several of

the eggs are believed to be entirely new to science. An inspection of the fine collection of Mr. Edmund Ricksecker, of Nazareth, Penn., has also afforded much valuable information, bearing upon the ornithology of the same locality, for, as elsewhere explained in my account of the Black-capped Vireo, in this number of the Bulletin, Mr. Ricksecker's cabinet includes many eggs and nests from Comal County. The following notes are based entirely upon material contained in these collections, and my grateful thanks are due Messrs. Werner and Ricksecker, not only for the many courteous attentions extended to me during my visit, but also for the opportunity afforded me of measuring and examining the rare specimens. All their notes relating to the subject under consideration were also freely placed at my disposal. Readers of the Bulletin may expect in some future number a more detailed account by Mr. Werner of some of the rarer species, which are here but briefly treated:—

- 1. Parus carolinensis. Carolina Titmouse. Although the probable occurrence in Texas of this diminutive Titmouse has been already hinted at, I believe there is no previous record of its actual capture in that State. Mr. Werner, however, ascertained it to be a rare resident in Comal County. Two pairs only were observed. A male and female shot near Bow Creek are in the collection, and appear to be considerably smaller than more northern specimens.
- 2. Lophophanes atricristatus. Black-crested Titmouse. A common resident in Comal County. Mr. Werner examined several nests, all of which were placed in natural cavities of hollow limbs. In every instance pieces of snake-skins or their separate scales were included among the other material composing the nest. Mr. Sennett, in his description * of a nest obtained at Lomita Ranche, in Southern Texas, mentions the same peculiarity, and it would appear that this habit may be characteristic of the species. Our previous knowledge of the eggs of this Titmouse rests solely upon the account by Mr. Sennett of a single example found in the Lomita nest above referred to. Mr. Ricksecker's cabinet contains a set of the eggs of this species which were taken in Comal County, April 5, 1878. These eggs measure, respectively, .74 × .58; .78 × .57; .76 × .59, being thus considerably larger than Mr. Sennett's specimen, the measurements of which are given as $.60 \times .48$. They are regularly ovoid in shape, and handsomely marked with reddish-brown upon a clear white ground. Over the general surface these markings are distributed in fine spots, but about the larger end bold, strongly defined blotches occur, forming a nearly confluent ring. Four eggs of a set in Mr. Werner's collection are nearly similar in shape and general appearance,

^{*} Science News, Vol. I, No. 4, p. 57.

but the markings are finer and the ring of color about the larger end less apparent. This nest, together with the eggs and parent birds, is beautifully preserved in a section of the limb in which it was found. The entrance hole is of large size, and the entire cavity is apparently a natural one. The nest proper is placed about six inches below the exterior opening.

3. Dendrœca chrysoparia. Golden-Cheeked Warbler.—In the Bulletin for January, 1879, the fourth known specimen of this rare Warbler was recorded by Mr. Purdie, and the past history of the species fully given. The original specimens were procured by Mr. Salvin in Vera Paz, Guatemala. Since that time, with the exception of a male obtained by Mr. Dresser, near San Antonio, Texas, about 1864, no additional ones have apparently been taken. The specimen mentioned by Mr. Purdie was taken by George H. Ragsdale in Bosque County, Texas, April, 1878.

In view of these facts the following account, kindly furnished by Mr. Werner, can scarcely fail to prove of great interest. Of the habits of the Golden-cheeked Warbler Mr. Werner writes:—

"While on a collecting tour in the mountainous districts of Comal County, Texas, I noticed these Warblers, and after studying their habits and different attitudes I shot one, which proved to be a male. Their habits were similar to those of D. virens; they were very active, always on the alert for insects, examining almost every limb, and now and then darting after them while on the wing. The male uttered soft notes at intervals, which sounded, as nearly as I can express it, like tsrr weasy-weasy tweah. I found them invariably in cedar timber, or 'cedar brakes,' as the ranch men call them. I was not fortunate enough to find a nest until the 13th of May. About eight days prior to that date I noticed a female bird with building material flying in a certain direction, but it gave me a good deal of trouble before I traced her through underbrush and thickets to a cedar brake, where I found new difficulties. The trees were numerous and standing near together, and a large patch at that; so I came to the conclusion that if I wanted the nest I must examine each tree separately. Accordingly I waited till the 13th, and then commenced in good earnest on my first tree. In about an hour's time, to my great joy, I found the nest, containing three eggs, and also one of the Cow Bunting. I am inclined to think that they generally lay their eggs earlier in the season, as I had, a few days previous to this, found a brood of young ones following their parents (with young Cow Buntings in their wake), clamoring for food.

"I also found in the immediate neighborhood another nest, but it was abandoned; I think it belonged to the same pair of which I found the eggs. This would account for finding them so late with fresh eggs. On the 14th of the same month I found two more nests vacant, and by examining them found that young ones had been hatched, and had already left the nest.

"The four nests that I have found were similar in construction, and

were built in forks of perpendicular limbs of the Juniperus virginiana, from ten to eighteen feet from the ground. The outside is composed of the inner bark of the above-mentioned tree, interspersed with spider-webs, well fastened to the limb, and in color resembling the bark of the tree on which it is built, so that from a little distance it is difficult to detect the nest."

I have had the pleasure of examining two of the nests above referred to by Mr. Werner. They are so nearly identical in every respect that one description will answer for both, and accordingly I will take for my type a fine specimen which, with an adult male bird, Mr. Werner has generously contributed to my collection. The original position of this nest is well shown, as it is preserved with a section of the limb upon which it was found. It is placed in a nearly upright fork of a red cedar, between two stout branches, to which it is firmly attached. Although a large, deep structure, it by no means belongs to either the bulky, or loosely woven class of bird domiciles, but is, on the contrary, very closely and compactly felted. In general character and appearance it closely resembles the average nest of the Black-throated Green Warbler (Dendræca virens). It is, however, of nearly double the size, in fact, larger than any Wood Warbler's nest (excepting perhaps that of D. coronata) with which I am acquainted. It measures as follows: external diameter, 3.50; external depth, 3.45; internal diameter, 1.60; internal depth, 2.00. The exterior is mainly composed of strips of cedar bark, with a slight admixture of fine grassstems, rootlets, and hemp-like fibres, the whole being kept in place by an occasional wrapping of spider-webs. The interior is beautifully lined with the hair of different quadrupeds and numerous feathers; among the latter, several conspicuous scarlet ones from the Cardinal Grosbeak. The outer surface of the whole presents a grayish, inconspicuous appearance, and from the nature of the component materials is well calculated to escape observation. Indeed, it must depend for concealment upon this protective coloring, as it is in no way sheltered by any surrounding foliage. The nest just described is that spoken of by Mr. Werner as the one probably first constructed by the pair whose nest and eggs he found on May 13. A direct comparison between these two specimens presents few differences worthy of comment. Mr. Werner's nest is placed in a precisely similar cedar fork; the outer walls are of felted strips of cedar bark, and a few brilliant cardinal feathers are mingled with the hair lining. The eggs belonging with this nest are similar in shape, all being of a regular but somewhat rounded oval form; their ground-color is clear white. Two are thinly and evenly covered with fine but distinct spots of light reddish-brown, while the third is so very faintly marked with the same color that at a little distance it appears nearly immaculate. Their measurements, as taken for me by Mr. Werner, are, $.75 \times .57$; $.77 \times .56$; $.76 \times .58$. In size and general appearance they are unlike any Warbler's eggs that I have ever seen, and most closely resemble faintly spotted examples of those of the Tufted Titmouse. Mr. Werner is of the opinion that they are exceptional in being

so finely spotted, as the broken shells found in the deserted nest exhibited much heavier markings, and in that respect agreed closely with two eggs in Mr. Ricksecker's cabinet, collected in Comal County, May 24, 1877. These last are unidentified, but Mr. Werner is confident that they can belong to no other species than the one under consideration, as the person by whom they were originally taken showed him the very fork in which the nest had been found the previous season, and his own nests were subsequently obtained in similar localities in the immediate neighborhood. These facts, taken in connection with the close resemblance of the nest to those already described, render the specimens well worth description as probable examples of the eggs of D. chrysoparia. They measure, respectively, $.72 \times .53$ and $.76 \times .53$. The latter dimensions, it will be observed, nearly coincide with those of one of Mr. Werner's specimens. ground-color of the shell is a dead, dull white, thickly spotted everywhere with fine dots of reddish-brown and shell markings of pale lavender. At irregular intervals bold, conspicuous blotches of a darker shade of brown occur. These markings become nearly confluent around the larger ends, forming the wreath-pattern so common among spotted eggs. The nest bears a very close resemblance to those already described, but is somewhat smaller, measuring as follows: External depth, 2.15; external diameter, 2.00; internal depth, 1.50; internal diameter, 1.50. As with the other two, the outer walls are made up of strips of cedar bark, and the lining differs only in being composed almost entirely of feathers. These are used in such profusion as to form a dense, downy bed for the eggs, while around the rim or mouth of the nest they arch over inward, prettily concealing the greater part of the interior. The occurrence of such a nest in semi-tropical Texas is of itself a most interesting fact, especially when considered in connection with the theory that warm, feather-lined domiciles are peculiar to northern-breeding birds. Although the parentage of this last nest is undeniably involved in some obscurity, I have little doubt that it is correctly referred to D. chrysoparia. I should perhaps have stated before that the identification of the nest and eggs in Mr. Werner's collection is of the most positive character. The female was sitting on the nest, and at the first alarm her mate appeared when both were secured.

4. Ceryle americana var. cabanisi. Texas Kingfisher. — This beautiful little Kingfisher was found by Mr. Werner in comparative abundance at several points in Comal County, notably about some of the springs that empty into the Guadaloupe River. A set of six eggs,* taken April 25, 1878, was authenticated by the capture of both parent birds, the female being caught

^{*} The only previous description to which I can at present refer is that by Dr. Brewer (Birds of North America, Vol. II, p. 397), of some unidentified eggs from Dr. Berlandier's Matamoras collection, which were supposed to belong to this species. They were apparently somewhat larger than the specimens above described, the measurements being given as " $1.06 \times .61$."

on the nest. Five of these (the sixth is so badly broken as to be unavailable for examination) measure, respectively, $1.00 \times .71$; $.94 \times .69$; $.99 \times .69$; $1.00 \times .71$; $1.00 \times .75$. They are rounded-oval in shape; in color, clear ivory-white, with a rather high polish. The shell is so extremely thin that nearly every specimen was cracked in transportation, although they were carefully packed. They contained embryos of large size. The nesting-cavity was in a sandy bank near the water's edge. The eggs were laid on the bare sand, no fish-bones or other extraneous material being near. The entrance was not quite 13 inches in diameter, and the hole extended inward from the face of the bank about 31 feet. Another set of the eggs of this species, obtained in the same locality, May 25, 1878, is in Mr. Ricksecker's cabinet. The four eggs constituting this set differ from those taken by Mr. Werner in being creamy-white in color, with scarcely any perceptible polish. This, however, may be due to the fact that they were freshly laid. They measure, respectively, $.93 \times .72$; $.97 \times .75$; $.95 \times .71$; $.94 \times .75$, and are nearly elliptical in shape.

5. Buteo zonocercus. BAND-TAILED HAWK .- This fine Buteo, which has previously been known only as a rare straggler into Arizona and Southern California from across the Mexican border, is now entitled to a place in the fauna of Texas upon the strength of a fine adult male preserved in Mr. Werner's collection. Only two pairs were observed by Mr. Werner during his rambles, and he regards the species as of rare occurrence in Comal County. On May 17, 1878, he had, however, the rare good fortune to secure a nest and set of eggs, which, if I am not mistaken, are the first authentic specimens known. The nest -- a large, bulky structure, composed of coarse sticks, with a rather smooth lining of Spanish mosswas built in a cypress-tree on the banks of the Guadaloupe River. It was placed on a large and nearly horizontal branch, about fifteen feet out from the main stem, and at least forty feet above the ground. It measures as follows: External diameter, 20 inches; external depth, 6 inches; internal diameter, 7 inches; internal depth, 4 inches. The two eggs which it contained were slightly incubated. One is still preserved with the nest; the other is in Mr. Ricksecker's collection. The latter measures 2.09 × 1.55. It is marked with blotches of reddish-brown upon a dull white ground. These blotches occur most thickly about the larger end, where they tend to form a nearly confluent ring. In Mr. Werner's specimen, which is similar in color, the markings are most numerous around the smaller extremity. Its dimensions are 2.06 × 1.53. Although the parent birds belonging to this nest successfully eluded all attempts at capture, their identity can scarcely be doubted. As Mr. Werner was climbing to their eyry, they swept down about his head, repeatedly passing within a few feet of him. As but a few days previously he had shot the specimen above referred to, it is not likely that he could have mistaken a species so distinctly marked. The two ashy tail-bands of the male, set off by its otherwise nearly uniform black plumage, are characters that even at a long distance would serve to distinguish it from any other Hawk.

LATE FALL AND WINTER NOTES ON SOME BIRDS OB-SERVED IN THE VICINITY OF PRINCETON, N. J., 1878-79.

BY W. E. D. SCOTT.

As the present winter is here exceptionally severe, as regards both snow-fall and temperature, some statistics respecting the Fauna at this season may be of interest. As it is not within the scope of the present paper to enumerate every species occurring, the following observations will be restricted to notes on the comparative rarity or abundance of particular species.

It is hardly necessary for me to say that, as usual, Robins and Bluebirds have been common, the latter particularly so. Early in January, on a day when the mercury marked seven degrees below zero, both species were noted, and at short intervals of a day or so they have "been observed from December 1 till the present time (January 20).

On January 17, after a heavy fall of snow, there being from a foot to eighteen inches on the ground, I took a male Hermit Thrush, the only one seen during the month.

Tufted Titmice (Lophophanes bicolor) have been, and still are, common at this date, and Black-capped Titmice (Parus atricapillus) are more abundant than I have ever known them to be before. A series of careful observations leads me to believe that the Carolina Titmouse (Parus atricapillus var. carolinensis) is not a resident here throughout the year, or, if so, that it is very rare in winter, when its place is filled by the Northern form. The Southern variety, even in summer, is by no means common, but is most abundant in early fall. I have found them nesting in May and June.

Shore Larks (*Eremophila alpestris*) have been very common in localities, and are generally to be found every winter on the coast, but not always inland. Late in December large numbers of Yellowrumped Warblers (*Dendræca coronata*) were still here, but they have not been noted this month (January).

Cedar Birds (Ampelis cedrorum) are common at certain localities, and become abundant, where suitable food is to be obtained, about January 20 to February 1.

Great Northern Shrikes (Collurio borealis) are abundant. Their yol. iv. 6

presence is particularly noticeable, as they are generally rather rare in winter, and always shy. This year, however, it is not unusual to see ten or a dozen in a day's collecting, and most of the specimens obtained are highly colored.

On December 17 I noted a Loggerhead Shrike (Collurio ludovicianus) in very fine plumage, and early in January two others. These birds, which five years ago were rare, are not at all uncommon now. There seems to have been a decided increase in September of each year for the past two years. 1 find no mention of it in Dr. Turnbull's list, and the first specimen that I know of I took at New Brunswick, N. J., during August, 1873. I have notes of the species now from Princeton, New Brunswick, and Barnegat. At the former place I observed three in one day early in November.

As regards the Sparrows, all that usually winter here are well represented, especially the Song Sparrows and Purple Finches. In addition, on the 16th of January, two large flocks of Red-poll Linnets (*Ægiothus linaria*) were seen. Among these were many highly colored adult birds. This is the southernmost point at which I have noted this species, and I have no record south of Plainfield, Union Connty, save the above. On January 14 I took a single Fox-colored Sparrow (*Passerella iliaca*). Saw no others. This is the first note I have made of this species occurring in this locality in January. The Grass Finch (*Poœcetes gramineus*) is not generally common in winter, usually leaving about the middle to the last of November, but on January 21 I took two, and saw several more, and on the 25th I saw three others.

Cardinals (Cardinalis virginianus) are quite common, and to be found everywhere. Meadow Larks are particularly abundant. A specimen taken on January 21, and three others taken the next day, are in full spring plumage. Having carefully observed the birds during the preceding six weeks, I am of the opinion that they have not moulted, but have changed by the direct wearing away of the tips and edges of the feathers. On January 17 I took a fine male Raven (Corvus corax) at West Creek, Ocean County, N. J. On January 21 I took a Fish Crow (Corvus ossifragus), and another on the following day, and saw many others flying about with the common species. The plumage seems to be decidedly more glossy and intense in color than that of representatives of Corvus americanus taken at the same time.

The preceding notes are not particularly remarkable except in

the case of the Hermit Thrush and Fox Sparrow, both of which are, to say the least, very rare during so severe a season. The following notes on the Birds of Prey, I think, indicate so unusual an abundance of the species noted as to require observations during other seasons of like severity before any conclusions as to the cause of such abundance can be drawn. It is to be particularly remarked that until December 20 the season had been very mild, with but little or no snow, yet their migration, noted below, certainly began two months before, or by October 20. The great abundance of the large Buzzard Hawks has been so conspicuous as to attract very generally the attention of the farmers of the region, and I am thus enabled to supplement my own observations by those of others. Without following any systematic arrangement, I propose to give a simple record of their migration following the season.

Late in September I noticed the fall arrival of the Marsh Harriers (Circus cyaneus var. hudsonius), but took no special note of these birds till the first week in October. They were then unusually common, and a few days later became so abundant that it was not unusual to see from five to ten individuals in an ordinary field of from ten to twenty acres. Most of the birds were in the brown plumage, and adults of either sex were rare. For the next four weeks they remained in about the same numbers, and then began gradually to disappear. But all through November they were common, and even early in December. By the 10th of that month most of them had left. One was noted December 14, and another on December 20; both of these in the immature plumage. On January 6 I took a female in immature plumage, and on the following day I saw another.

I am informed by most creditable witnesses that late in October, or about November 1, there appeared in a field of about forty acres, which was covered with a heavy growth of long dead grass, vast numbers of Owls. A visit to the field in question, which is directly adjacent to the railroad depot at Harlingen, and a talk with farmers living close by gave me the following additional data. The birds were exclusively the Short-eared Owl (Brachyotus palustris), as I learned from an examination of specimens in the possession of several farmers. Their number was variously estimated at from a hundred and fifty to two hundred. Many were shot, and as some are still to be found in the field in question, I should think this locality had been fixed on as a wintering point. There are no

trees in the field, and in the daytime the birds rest on the ground. They hunt for food morning and evening, and sometimes on dark days. Throughout this and adjoining townships these Owls have been more or less common, and many have been brought in by gunners. In previous years I have looked on this species as rather rare, and some seasons have passed without my meeting with them.

Since writing the above, two other points, at which vast numbers of these birds have congregated, have come to my knowledge, and in each case the conditions of locality are identical with those above described.

As the Marsh Harriers began to disappear, their places were speedily filled by Red-tailed Hawks (Buteo borealis[*]), which of course are resident here every winter. These birds appeared here November 2, and were in a few days more abundant than the Marsh Harriers had been. Though they were to be seen everywhere, they particularly affected the meadows along water-courses, where there were large trees. It was not uncommon to see two or three in the same tree, and once I counted five, and at another time six in a single tree. Many times during the past month or so I was able, standing at one place, to see twenty, and even more, on the various trees, fences, and other suitable places for alighting. The majority were in the gray plumage, but about a quarter of the whole number noted were adult. They were here in greatest numbers about November 20, and there are still very many at every suitable point. To give further idea of their numbers, I may state that I have taken more than seventy-five individuals without any particular exertion.

The Red-shouldered Hawks (*Buteo tineatus*), generally our commonest species, were rare, not more than one or two being seen, until January 25, when they became quite abundant. I have taken ten specimens, three of which are in adult plumage. Cooper's Hawks (*Accipiter cooperi*) have been and still are plenty, while Sharp-shinned Hawks, usually very abundant, have been rare. Several Rough-legged Hawks have been noted, and on November 15 I saw five individuals in a small field, two in the same tree. Of these,

^{[*} Note. — Examination of Barton's "Fragments Nat. Hist. Penna," 1799, p. 11, will show that this species is there recognizably described, and named Faleo aquilinus. Barton was a strict binomenclator; and, as this name antedates Vicillot's F. borcalis, it becomes necessary to know the species as Buteo aquilinus (see Birds Col. Vall. 1, 1878, 593). — E. C.]

one was in the black plumage. Sparrow Hawks have been and still are abundant.

With a few words on other Owls than the kind already mentioned, I conclude. Barred and Great Horned Owls have been rather more common than usual, and Long-eared Owls abundant in localities. Mottled Owls do not seem as common as usual. Until last fall I had never met with the Saw-whet Owl (Nyctale acadica) at this point, and was surprised at having one brought me on December 1. This bird was taken from a hole in a tree alive. Just after a severe storm, in the early part of December, I was told of some small Owls being quite common in a certain cedar grove. In this and in an adjacent grove on December 10 I obtained ten Saw-whet Owls, and the following day seven more. Since that time until writing I have found these birds more or less common in cedar groves, and have obtained many more specimens. During the day they roost in cedars close to the trunk, and can frequently be taken alive in the hand. They seem to affect scattered groves, where the trees do not grow too thickly. Most of the birds taken are females, and, judging from their ovaries, the time of breeding cannot be more than six weeks or two months distant. The testes in the males taken are as large as No. 2 shot, and in one case were much more developed. Though the birds may breed in this region, I have yet to meet with them during the breeding season; but a careful search may result in finding their nests during the coming season.

It is hardly necessary to state that none of the specimens of the Saw-whets presented the peculiar plumage known as *N. albifrons*. As I write (January 20), these birds are still common, and are to be met with more in hollow trees than before the severe cold of the past three weeks.

On January 1 I secured a specimen of the Goshawk (Astur atrica-pillus), and a second one on January 7. Both these are females in immature plumage. Two others have been noted, one adult. Since the great snow-fall of the 15th and 16th of January, the Roughlegged Hawks have become much more abundant, and are now almost as common as the Red-tailed Hawks, which are still very common.

On January 21 six Carolina Doves (*Zenedura carolinensis*) were seen. On January 23 several others were noted. Mr. R. H. Allen informs me that he has seen several of these birds at Chatham.

NOTES ON THE BREEDING HABITS OF THE CALIFORNIA PYGMY OWL (GLAUCIDIUM CALIFORNICUM), WITH A DESCRIPTION OF ITS EGGS.

BY WILLIAM A. COOPER.

To Mr. George H. Ready, whose untiring exertions in the oölogical line have placed him among our most reliable collectors, I am under obligations for the material for this article.

June 8, 1876, while collecting in the bed of the San Lorenzo River, two miles from Santa Cruz, Cal., he saw a male Pygmy Owl with a Brown Towhee in his claws alight on one of the topmost branches of a dead, isolated poplar-tree standing on the bank of the river. Mr. Ready did not hear the bird call his mate, but in a moment she came out, took the food brought to her, and returned to the nest, which was in a hole in the trunk of the tree, about seventy-five feet from the ground.

An hour's climb, which he pronounces the most difficult and dangerous he ever attempted (it being quite windy at the time). brought him to the nest, which was in a Woodpecker's deserted burrow, about nine inches deep and two inches across the mouth. The female bird was incubating on two eggs, and would not leave the nest. After removing her and the eggs, together with the Towhee (the head and neck of which were gone), Mr. Ready examined the nest. The eggs rested on a bed of twigs and a few feathers forming a lining three inches deep; in removing this he accidentally broke another, an unfertilized egg, situated in the middle and completely covered by the twigs. The question arises, Was this nest made by the Owl? Taking into consideration the facts that Owls usually build no nest; that the twigs of which the nest was formed were identical with those used by Troglodytes parkmanni, and that this Wren builds in similar places, sometimes as high, and is a persistent builder; that the feathers may have been placed there by the Wrens, or have accumulated from birds the Owls fed upon, — it seems probable that the nest was really a Wren's of which the Owls had taken possession. In regard to the addled egg, the Owls and Wrens may have contested for possession of the nest, and the egg been covered up by twigs brought by the latter; or it may. have been laid in a hollow formed by the twigs which the Owls

pulled down to make the nest more comfortable, thus covering the egg.

The two eggs are dull white, with a scarcely perceptible yellowish tinge. The surface is quite smooth, and has the appearance of having been punctured with a fine point over the whole egg. They are oblong-oval in shape, more pointed at one end. The smaller measures $1.17.\times87$ inches, the other is more pointed and measures $1.18\times.90$ inches. Incubation was far advanced, and the embryos were extracted with difficulty.

SANTA CRUZ, CAL.

Note. — To prevent confusion in respect to the history of the nidification of this species, it may be well to state that the only previous account of its eggs (given by Captain Charles Bendire in Proc. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist., Vol. XIX, 1877, p. 232) was also based on those here described, — a fact unknown to Mr. Cooper at the time his paper was written, and which became developed only by subsequent correspondence with Mr. Cooper in relation to the matter. — J. A. A.

THE AMERICAN BROWN CREEPER.

BY T. M. BREWER.

For a species so abundant at certain seasons, so widely distributed over North America, and so well known to all ornithologists, there is, even at this day, a surprising amount of doubt, and a deficiency of positive knowledge in regard to several points in the history of our common Creeper that are inferred rather than actually known. I propose to touch upon a few of these.

In "North American Birds" the Creeper is assigned a distribution from the Gulf of Mexico to high northern latitudes. This, of course, does not mean Arctic regions, nor should it be understood as including localities destitute of forests. An implied doubt has been recently suggested as to the extent of its northern habitat, merely because Audubon did not happen to meet with it in Labrador, and because Richardson makes no mention of it in the "Fauna Boreali-Americana." But no importance can be attached to this silence. If Audubon did not meet with it in Labrador, it was probably because he explored very little of the land and none of the forests, but other explorers in Labrador have been more successful.

Richardson had few if any opportunities to explore regions congenial to this species. It is a well-known fact that our Creeper is abundant throughout Newfoundland, where the forests have not been swept off by fire, as in a large part of the peninsula of Labrador, as far north as latitude 52°. It is also known to occur in Manitoba to very nearly the same parallel of latitude, and in 1850 I saw in Halifax examples that had been procured in Northeastern Labrador. Examples are also collected there by Moravian collectors and sent by them to Europe. Inasmuch as there is so little perceptible specific difference between our Creeper and the Certhia familiaris of Europe, there is good reason to believe that the habits and distribution are essentially the same, and that the northern distribution of both is limited only by the presence or absence of large forests. The European bird is known to range as far north as latitude 63°, both in Norway and Sweden. The specimens received from the Moravian settlements in Labrador were from a latitude of at least 57°

Another point still involved in obscurity, so far as I am aware, is one that can hardly fail to be soon solved by the hosts of observing explorers in the field. This is to what extent our Creeper breeds among wooded mountains south of latitude 42°, and how far south it may occur as a common species in the breeding season. Up to 1874 I had known of but a single instance of its nesting, and that in one of the Grand Menan group of islands. Since then I have known of its nesting in Northern New Hampshire, in Maine, and, more recently, near Lynn, Mass., and last summer in Taunton, Mass. I have no doubt, therefore, that it will be found breeding in elevated forests somewhat farther south than any place to which as yet it has been traced.

In "North American Birds" it is said to breed in hollow trees, in the deserted holes of Woodpeckers, and in decayed stumps and branches of trees. This statement is rather legendary than positively ascertained, and I am now inclined to somewhat modify this opinion, the more so that I learn from Mr. Dresser that the European C. familiaris usually places its nest between the detached bark and the trunk of a large tree. This exactly describes the situation of the nest found in Grand Menan, and of six or seven other nests since identified and described to me. All of these nests have been in just such situations and in no other. Instead of this being exceptional, it is probable that this is our Creeper's most usual

mode of nesting, and that this is one of several reasons that unite to make this nest one so rarely discovered. But other situations are sometimes chosen. The European Creeper was found nesting in Spain by Lord Lilford (Ibis, 1866), in the foundation of the nest of the Cinercous Vulture, and Mr. Sachse informs Mr. Dresser that on the Rhine it nests in cracks on the outside of peasants' huts. The only instance of its breeding other than between the loosened bark and the trunks of trees that has come to my knowledge is that mentioned by Professor Aughey, who found a nest of our Creeper in a knot-hole in the timber near Dakota City, in June, 1865.

The nesting of the Creeper in Southeastern Massachusetts was brought to my notice by Mr. I. S. Howland of Newport, R. I., and I give substantially the notes furnished by that gentleman. The nest of the Brown Creeper was found, after a careful search, by Mr. Charles T. Snow of Taunton, on the 27th of May, 1878, in the middle of a large maple swamp, where he had noticed the presence of the bird for several previous summers without being able to discover its nest. This had been constructed between the bark and the trunk of a dead pitch-pine, the latter being about ten inches in diameter. The opening was nearly closed with chips of bark and other substances forming its foundation, and the nest was a mingling of fine bits of inner bark and soft vegetable substances, so soiled by its occupants that it could not be recognized. The young were just leaving the nest, which was ten feet from the ground. Its diameter was about three inches. As the set of eggs taken at Grand Menan appear, as compared with other sets, to be not typical, either in regard to ground-color or size, I will here add other descriptions. The gray ground of that set was possibly owing to their being just on the point of hatching. In all others since seen the ground-color is pure white, and the spots are a blending of brown and purplish-brown blotches. A set of six taken in May, 1875, in Milan, N. H., are larger than those described in "North American Birds." The largest measures .60 x .49 inches, the smallest $.58 \times .47$, and they average $.59 \times .48$. This set very closely resembles, in every respect, my set of the eggs of C. familiaris from Sweden, as well as the set of C. brachydactyla from the mountains of Eastern France. The blotches are a trifle larger on the eggs of both the European races. The largest number of eggs in any set of our Creeper's that I have known is seven. In the

C. familiaris of Europe they vary from six to nine. The usual number is six, which are placed in two rows of three, in this way best conforming to the oblong shape of the nest.

The reasons given by Mr. Dresser for regarding Certhia familiaris, C. brachydactyla, C. costæ, C. americana, and C. mexicana as but closely allied races of a common species appear to be quite conclusive. European examples are found not distinguishable from the American.

NOTES ON BIRDS OBSERVED AT TWIN LAKES, LAKE COUNTY, COLORADO.

BY W. E. D. SCOTT.

The following brief summary gives the results of some seven weeks' work at the point above indicated. The time spent at this locality extended from June 12 to July 30, 1878, and the area explored being small, only a limited number of species were observed. The Twin Lakes are situated in the valley of the Arkansas River, about a hundred and fifty miles southwest of Denver, and at an elevation of 9,265 feet above the sea. Five miles to the eastward of these lakes, and several hundred feet below them, flows the Arkansas River. Lake Creek, flowing from these lakes into the river, is one of its main branches at this point. The lakes are situated the one to the eastward of the other, the smaller of the two, a mile and a half long by a mile wide, being the western one. The larger lake is about three times the size of the other, and, like it, is oval in form. The two are not more than a quarter of a mile apart at their nearest point. On all sides, save to the eastward, the land rises very abruptly to the height of four or five hundred feet, and forms a sort of plateau from which at the distance of a mile rise mountains of different altitudes, some rather more and few less than fourteen thousand feet high.

The land is sandy and rocky, having a considerable growth of sage-brush, and is, for this portion of the country, well wooded. The greater portion of the trees are pines, but in localities occur groves of quaking asp (*Populus tremuloides*). These latter and some low willows along the outlet form the only decidnous trees.

Without entering into any consideration of what species may be attracted to this region at any other seasons than that of my visit,

I shall simply give those absolutely noted, passing the better known with but a word to indicate their occurrence. As a whole, this seems to be a very thickly populated region, considered ornithologically, there being very many individuals of most of the species noted.

- 1. Turdus migratorius. ROBIN. Very common at the level of the Lakes. Begins to breed about June 5. The breasts of the males are more tawny, and the general coloring lighter, than in individuals from the Eastern States. Young fully fledged were observed June 29. All the nests found are curiously built of sage-brush, and the "mud walls" were not prominent.
- 2. Turdus pallasi. Hermit Thrush.—Not common. Noted on the hills to the south of Lower Lake.
- 3. Oreoscoptes montanus. Mountain Mouring-Bird. Not common. Not met with about the Lakes, but at a point five miles north in a small park.
- 4. Sialia arctica. Arctic Bluebird. Abundant. Breeds about June 1 in deserted Woodpeckers' holes and hollow trees.
- 5. Cinclus mexicanus. Water Ouzel.—Not common. Occur above the Upper Lake on the stream flowing into it, and on the Arkansas River at the junction of Lake Creek. On the 22d of June I found a pair on a pond of still water about a hundred yards from the stream that flows into the Lakes, the pond and stream not being connected. The female showed no signs of having laid eggs or of incubation. This pair was not at all shy, allowing my close approach as they walked about on some floating logs feeding on the aquatic larvæ that abounded.
- 6. Regulus calendula. RUBY-CROWNED KINGLET. Abundant. One of the most common song-birds, and heard everywhere. On the 20th of June I saw a female fly to a pine-tree with material in her bill for building a nest. On looking I found a nest nearly finished. On the 25th of June I took this nest with five fresh eggs, and the female showed signs of having incubated. I think no more eggs would have been laid. The nest is before me as I write, and presents the following peculiarities: It is semipensile, being suspended to the leaves of the pine, and to one small branch, much like the Red-eyed Vireo's nest. It is very large in proportion to the builder, and is made of the bark of sage-brush and of green moss very firmly twisted together, and forming a soft outer wall, of from half to a full inch in thickness. This is lined with feathers and hair. The whole nest is very soft, and has the following dimensions: Four inches deep outside; three inches deep inside; three inches in diameter outside, and two inches at the top inside, but narrowing to an inch and a half at the bottom. On the outside it is as wide at the bottom as at the top, being in this respect like a Baltimore Oriole's. It was placed at the very outermost twigs and leaves of the tree, about twelve feet from the ground. The eggs are five

in number, of a dirty white color, faintly spotted all over with light brown, which becomes quite definite at the larger end. They are large in proportion to the size of the bird, and one end is very little sharper than the other. The following are the dimensions: $.55 \times .45$, $.55 \times .44$, $.54 \times .42$, $.57 \times .45$, $.58 \times .43$.

- 7. Parus montanus. Mountain Chickadee. Not common. On the 15th of June I took a female that had evidently incubated. July 9 I found a nest containing four young, about ready to fly. The nest was very like that of our common species (*P. atricapillus*), and was in a dead cottonwood stub, about two feet from the ground. There was a mat of lining material some three inches in thickness at the bottom of the cavity. The young birds show distinctly the white bands conspicuous in this species.
- 8. Sitta carolinensis var. aculeata. White-bellied Nuthatch. Not common. Met with but once. On July 11 I took a family of this species, two adult and five young birds fully fledged. They had apparently just left the nest.
- 9. Sitta pygmæa. Pygmy Nuthatch. Common in localities. Saw old birds carrying food to their young June 29.
 - 10. Eremophila alpestris. Shore Lark. Not common.
- 11. Anthus ludovicianus. TITLARK. Undoubtedly breeding, as I met with a flock of ten on Weston's Pass, at an elevation of about 13,000 feet, July 19.
- 12. Dendræca auduboni. Audubon's Warbler. Not very common. Two females, taken the 15th of June, showed signs of incubating, and the plumage was much worn. On the 25th of June I took a nest containing four eggs nearly ready to hatch. The nest is a rather bulky structure, composed of twigs of sage-brush and fine grass, and is lined with soft hair and large feathers. In general shape it is flat and rather shallow, as the following dimensions show: Diameter outside, four inches; diameter inside, three inches; depth, two and a half inches outside and two inches inside. It was situated on the outer twigs of a large pine-tree, five feet from the ground. It contains four eggs, of a light greenish tint, with a circle of dark brown spots at the larger end. They are quite sharply pointed, and of the following dimensions: $.76 \times .55$, $.72 \times .58$. I give the dimensions of only two, as the others were too badly broken to yield accurate measurements. The nest was not fastened in any erotch, but simply laid on a bunch of pine leaves, and was sheltered by another bunch directly above it. On the 29th of June I found a second nest containing four young a day or two old. This one was situated in the topmost branches of a small fir-tree, about twenty-five feet from the ground. The nest is essentially the same in structure as the one above described. On July 9 I took young which had just left the nest.
- 13. Pyranga ludoviciana. Louisiana Tanager. Not uncommon in localities. On the 25th of June I found many in the scattered pines on the high hills to the north of the Lakes, and at an altitude of at least

10,000 fect. Among them were two females, showing marked signs of incubation. The birds were very tame, and went about in small companies of two or three pairs. A large number of males taken show a very appreciable lack of the red on the breast and throat, and are of a decidedly paler yellow than individuals of the same species taken about Colorado Springs and near Denver.

- 14. Hirundo erythrogastra. BARN SWALLOW. The rarest of the Swallows found here. Three pairs bred in a barn near the Lakes.
- 15. Tachycineta bicolor. White-bellied Swallow.— Common, though not as abundant as the next species. Breed in deserted Woodpeckers' holes and suitable localities. June 24 I saw pairs building; June 29 incubation had begun in several cases. July 4 I found a nest with six eggs slightly incubated.
- 16. Tachycineta thalassina. VIOLET-GREEN SWALLOW. Abundant in localities, but not generally distributed. It breeds at about the same time as *T. bicolor*, and in similar places.
- 17. Petrochelidon lunifrons. CLIFF SWALLOW.—Very abundant. The 20th of June they began to build under the caves of a barn. Many breed on the faces of the cliffs on the Arkansas River.
- 18. Ampelis cedrorum. CEDAR BIRD.—Not met with at Twin Lakes, but I saw a pair building about twenty miles east of Fairplay, on June 9, at an elevation of about nine thousand feet.
- 19. Myiadestes townsendi. Townsend's Flycatching Thrush. In Clear Creek Cañon, five miles south of Twin Lakes, I took one specimen, July 6, the only one seen.
- 20. Vireo gilvus var. swainsoni. Warbling Vireo. Abundant. The only species of Vireo met with. The 9th of July I found a nest with four eggs nearly ready to hatch. The nest was built in a "quaking asp," about ten feet from the ground, and is a structure in every way similar to that of its Eastern representative.
- 21. Carpodacus cassini. Cassin's Purple Finch.—Rather uncommon. Breeds about June 20. The males obtained are appreciably larger and lighter-colored than those of the Eastern bird obtained in New Jersey.
- 22. Loxia curvirostra var. mexicana. Red Crossbill. Common. On the 24th of June I met with large flocks composed of males, females, and young. The latter must have been several months old, as some showed the adult plumage taking the place of the striped immature plumage. The birds are rather larger, and the males are not so brightly colored as those in a series taken in Massachusetts.
- 23. Chrysomitris pinus. PINE FINCH.—I saw large flocks, and took several young birds of the year on June 25.
- 24. Poœcetes gramineus var. confinis. GRASS FINCH. Common. Breeding.
 - 25. Spizella socialis. Chipping Sparrow. Not very common.

On the 14th of June I took a nest with four fresh eggs; July 4, a nest with four eggs slightly incubated; July 9 I met with four young nearly fully fledged, and on July 11 with two young just hatched.

- 26. Zonotrichia leucophrys. White-crowned Sparrow. June 9 I saw two in crossing the Kenosha range twenty miles east of Fairplay, at an elevation of 9,500 feet; also June 12, in crossing Weston's Pass, twenty miles southwest of Fairplay. These were almost the only birds to be met with, and on July 20, when again crossing this pass, they were even more abundant. That they breed in large numbers at high elevations, such as the point just spoken of, there can be no doubt. On the 28th of June I took a female, on one of the hills a mile and a half north of the Lakes, at an altitude of a little less than 10,000 feet, which was evidently breeding, as, on dissection, it was plain that eggs had been laid.
- 27. Pipilo chlorurus. Blanding's Finch.—Not very common. The habits of this species remind one of the Sparrows of the genus *Zonotrichia*, but its peculiar notes resemble much more those of the Pipilos.
- 28. Agelæus phæniceus. Red-Winged Blackbird. Not common. Breeds.
- 29. Xanthocephalus icterocephalus. Yellow-headed Black-Bird.— Very rare. A single adult male was taken July 20. I am not aware that this species has been before taken at this altitude.
- 30. Sturnella magna var. neglecta. Meadow Lark. Rare. Met with on one occasion, July 10.
- 31. Scolecophagus cyanocephalus. Brewer's Blackbird. Abundant. Breeding June 13. One of the most familiar birds about the ranches.
 - 32. Corvus corax. RAVEN. Not common. Noted several times.
- 33. Picicorvus columbianus. Clarke's Crow. Common in localities. Met with in *enormous flocks* June 24, and for several days after. These flocks seemed to be passing through, but several pairs were resident about the Lakes.
- 34. Pica melanoleuca var. hudsonica. Magpie. Not common. Oceasionally met with. A few breed. Said to be common in fall.
- 35. Cyanurus stelleri. Steller's Jay. Not very common. I took young fully fledged, June 25.
- 36. Perisoreus canadensis. Canada Jay. Common. The specimens met with were all in very worn plumage, and had evidently bred some time before my arrival.
- 37. Contopus borealis. OLIVE-SIDED FLYCATCHER. Not uncommon. Met with everywhere in pairs, June 15.
- 38. Contopus virens var. richardsoni. Western Wood Pewee.

 Common. On July 11 I took a nest containing three eggs. The nest was built where three branches crossed in a brush-heap two feet from the ground. It differs widely from that of the typical virens, as it is composed

of sage-brush bark, very compactly woven, and has no lichens whatever on the outside. Inside it is lined with grass. The eggs have the same general appearance as those of *C. virens*.

- 39. Empidonax obscurus. Wright's Flycatcher. Λ single specimen obtained.
- 40. Chordiles virginianus var. henryi. Western Nighthawk.—Abundant. Breeds. I took an egg July 3, fresh. The ground-color is whitish, thickly sprinkled all over with dark pink. Dimensions, 1.24 × .86. I took two eggs, July 11, of an entirely different color, the ground-color being deep lead, thickly marked with spots of the same color, but much deeper. Dimensions, 1.10 × .80, 1.12 × .79. The birds fly quite as much in the forenoon as at any time, and it was not unusual to see fifty flying low over the lake at a time.
- 41. Selasphorus platycercus. Broad-tailed Humming-Bird.—Common. June 15 I took a male, evidently breeding, that lacked the erimson on the throat.
 - 42. Ceryle alcyon. Belted Kingfisher. Met with but once.
- 43. Picus pubescens var. gairdneri. Downy Woodpecker. Not common. One taken June 20.
- 44. Picus villosus var. harrisi. HAIRY WOODPECKER. Rather common, but shy. July 11 I took fully fledged young with red on top of the head.
- 45. Picoides americanus var. dorsalis. Banded Woodpecker.

 Not uncommon in localities.
- 46. Sphyrapicus varius var. nuchalis. Nuchal Woodpecker. Common. Breeds about June 5. Took young fully fledged the 15th of July. The nests are generally low, not more than ten feet from the ground.
- 47. Sphyrapicus thyroideus. Brown-headed Woodpecker. Not rare. Several pairs bred about the Lakes. The 23d of Jnne I found a nest containing four young about two or three days old. The nest was in a "quaking asp," about ten feet from the ground. The entrance to the nest was very small, and the cavity inside not large. July 4, I took three. In nestlings nearly fully fledged the sexual difference was as plainly marked as in the adults. There were two males and two females in this nest. On July 11 I found a second nest in a pine-tree about twelve feet from the ground. This contained four young females fully fledged. These birds do not seem shy, but are restless.
- 48. Colaptes mexicanus. Red-shafted Flicker. Common. Breeds about June 1. Took young fully fledged on July 2 and July 4. Seven in each nest. These nests, like those of most Woodpeckers I found in this region, were not more than ten feet from the ground. The birds are all typical, and show the distinguishing marks of sex in the first plumage.
- 49. Bubo virginianus. GREAT-HORNED OWL.—Not common. Specimens are much grayer than those taken in the East.

- 50. Falco sparverius. Sparrow Hawk.—Not common. Breeds. Two fully fledged young were taken July 15.
- 51. Falco mexicanus. Lanier Falcon. Rare. A young male was taken July 20.
- 52. Pandion haliaëtus. FISH HAWK.—Rare. A pair bred on the south side of the Lower Twin Lake. The eggs were evidently laid by June 13, as at this time the female was constantly on the nest.
- 53. Zenædura carolinensis. Carolina Dove. Rare. A pair taken July 9.
- 54. Tetrao obscurus. Dusky Grouse. Common. Breeds about the middle of June.
- 55. Centrocercus urophasianus. Sage Cock. Not common. A few were seen in a small park a few miles north of the Lakes.
- 56. Lagopus lecurus. White-tailed Ptarmigan. Rare. Some pairs bred on Mount Elbert, west of the Lakes.
- 57. Ægialitis vocifera. KILLDEER PLOVER. Rather common in localities. Breeds.
- 58. Gallinago wilsoni. American Snipe. Rather rare. A few pairs bred in June in a small swamp above the Upper Lake.
- 59. Bucephala clangula. Golden Eye; Whistler. Took a male in worn plumage the 21st of June at the Lower Lake.
- 60. Podiceps auritus var. californicus. Horned Grebe. Took a pair in full plumage, June 20, on the Lower Lake.

NEST AND EGGS OF THE GOLDEN CROWNED KINGLET $(REGULUS\ SATRAPA)$.

BY T. M. BREWER.

I have been recently permitted to examine an example of one of ten eggs from an unknown nest, but which, by the pretty sure evidence of exclusion, cannot well belong to any other species of bird than the Golden-crowned Kinglet (Regulus satrapa). The nest was found in the neighborhood of Bangor, Me., was about six feet from the ground, and is now in the possession of Mr. Harry Merrill of that city. Through his courtesy I have been permitted to examine one of its eggs, and to compare it with sets of the eggs of Regulus cristatus and R. ignicapillus of Europe. My reasons for supposing the nest and eggs to belong to this species are, that this bird is a not uncommon summer resident in that neighbor-

hood; that from their size and markings they cannot well belong to any other species; and because, while the egg so closely resembles those of *R. cristatus* (to which bird *R. satrapa* is also very closely allied) as to be hardly distinguishable from them, it is also essentially different from the egg of *R. calendula*,* which more nearly resembles the eggs of *R. ignicapillus*.

The following account of the nest and eggs I copy, by permission, from Mr. Merrill's letter: "In 1876 a friend presented me with the nest which I shall describe, but the bird was not obtained, and consequently its identification is a matter of conjecture. The nest consisted of a large ball of soft moss, the whole forming a mass about $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter. The opening was at the top, and was about $1\frac{3}{4}$ inches across and 2 inches deep. It was lined with hair and feathers, principally the latter. The nest was in one of those bunches of thick-growth so common on many of our fir-trees, and contained ten eggs of the following dimensions:—

No.	Length.	Width.	No.	Length.	Width.	
1	.52	.41	6	.47	.39	
2	.50	.40	7	.52	.41	
3	.50	.41	8	.51	.41	
4	.50	.41	9	.50	.41	
5	.47	.39	10	.50	.41	

The eggs are of a creamy-white color, and are covered with very obscure spots, so very obscure, in fact, that they merely give a dingy or dirty tint to the egg, and some to whom I have shown them are doubtful if they are spots, but I regard them as extremely obscure and confluent spots, not on, but in, the shell. From the number of the eggs, their extreme smallness, and the situation of the nest, I have been inclined to believe it to be a Kinglet's."

Examining my example with a powerful magnifier, I find the ground-color to be white with shell-marks of purplish-slate, and a few ob-

VOL. IV.

^{*} See the interesting notes of Mr. W. E. D. Scott in the present number of the Bulletin, p. 91. I have also compared the egg in question with that of the calendala obtained by Mr. J. H. Batty on Buffalo Creek in Colorado, July 21, 1873. This, though in a somewhat fragmentary condition, exhibits its size and markings. It measures .59. × 45. The ground-color is a creamy white, and over this are profusely scattered minute dots of brown with a reddish tinge. It closely resembles in its general character the supposed egg of satrapa, is larger, more oval in shape, and the spots are more distinct and of a different shade. Mr. Batty's nest contained one egg and six young. The parent, though not procured, was seen, and there appears to be good reason to accept the identification.

scure superficial markings of a deep buff, giving to the ground the effect of cream-color. This egg so closely resembles my set of the eggs of R. cristatus that, placed in the same tray, it is not readily distinguishable from them. It differs in size, shape, and markings from the eggs of R. calendula, which are more oval, are marked with brown, and resemble, in description, the eggs of R. ignicapillus. It will be seen that the greatest length of an egg of R. calendula * is .58, that of the supposed satrapa only .52; the least length of calendula .54, that of satrapa .47. The variation in breadth is also as .45 to .41. The average measurement of calendula is .56 \times 45, that of satrapa .49 \times .40.

In "North American Birds" I ventured the remark, in reference to this nest, — then unknown except, as given by Mr. Lord, as pensile and suspended from the extreme end of pine branches, — that the presumption is that it builds a pensile nest not unlike its European congener, and lays small eggs finely sprinkled with buff-colored dots on a white ground, in size nearly corresponding with those of our common Humming-Bird." Mr. Merrill's nest, if not pensile, is at least in a pensile position, and is in all respects such a nest as was to be anticipated from the uniform habits, so far as they are known, of the members of this genus.

Both of the European species of this genus, R. cristatus and R. ignicapillus, and their Asiatic relative, R. himalayensis, are known to build pensile nests, though, like very nearly all pensile builders, they occasionally make use of other positions. It was, therefore, not only natural, but even unavoidable, to anticipate that our own Reguli, so closely allied to these in all respects, would be found to nest in a similar manner, and accordingly in "North American Birds" I ventured to say that we might "reasonably infer that its nest (that of R. calendula) is pensile like that of its European kindred." Mr. Scott's timely discovery shows that my anticipations have been realized. But even without this verification I should have felt fully justified in still maintaining the reasonable probability that both of our Reguli, when their history shall be more fully known, will be found to be pensile in their architecture. Yet the author of "Birds of the Colorado Valley" says: "Since Dr. Brewer thought he might reasonably infer that the nest was pensile the discovery has been made that it is not so [the italies are mine], showing that care must be exercised in natural history inferences." Even without the light

^{*} See Mr. Scott's valuable paper, anteà, p. 91.

of Mr. Scott's valuable discoveries I would still venture to maintain that all due and proper "care" had been exercised by me when I drew my inference, and that the writer quoted was too hasty in his own conclusions. There has been nothing to show that Mr. Batty's nest was not of a semi-pensile character, and certainly the time has gone by for any one to assume, on the score of a single example, the unvarying character of the nest of any bird. I say single example, for, except that of Mr. Batty, there was no other. Mr. Henshaw's was wholly unidentified, and it is quite likely belonged to some other bird. Of course Mr. Scott's testimony now settles beyond dispute the pensile character of its nest, but it does not necessarily show either that Mr. Batty was mistaken in his identification, or that Mr. Henshaw's supposed nest may not have been rightly surmised. We know too little as yet of these nests to lay down any arbitrary rules of generalization.

Since the above was written, Dr. J. C. Merrill has called my attention to the illustration of an egg of *R. satrapa* by Dr. Baldamus in Cabanis's "Journal" for 1856 (p. 23, Pl. I, No. 8). Although somewhat rudely represented, the identification is probably correct. In this egg there is more of the buff-colored markings, and much less of the obscure purplish-slate than in my specimen. The ground-color is less concealed, and is represented as a buffy-white.

Note. —Since this paper was prepared, Mr. Allen has called my attention to the description of the nest of R. satrapa, which I had overlooked, in Minot's "Land and Game Birds of New England" (p. 56). This nest, the writer states, was found in the White Mountains, and "hung four feet above the ground, from a spreading hemlock bough, to the twigs of which it was firmly fastened; it was globular, with an entrance in the upper part, and was composed of moss, ornamented with bits of dead leaves, and lined chiefly with feathers." The italics are my own, to emphasize the pensile character of this nest, the account corresponding so closely to descriptions of the nests of R. cristatus of Europe.

NOTES UPON THE DISTRIBUTION, HABITS, AND NESTING OF THE BLACK-CAPPED VIREO (VIREO ATRICAPILLUS).

BY WILLIAM BREWSTER.

SINCE the discovery of the species by Dr. G. W. Woodhouse in 1851, very little additional information regarding the Black-capped Vireo has been brought to light. The two original specimens, both

males, were obtained by Dr. Woodhouse on the 26th of May, 1851. near the source of the Rio San Pedro in Southwestern Texas. About three years later a third, also a male, was shot in the same locality by Mr. J. H. Clark, one of the naturalists of the Mexican Boundary Commission. Still a fourth, probably a female,* the date of whose capture has not been recorded, was taken at Mazatlan, Mexico, by Colonel A. J. Gravson. Upon these data alone our knowledge of the species has until very recently rested, and the Black-capped Vireo, with Baird's and Leconte's Buntings, and several other birds, seemed in a fair way to be assigned a permanent place among the lost species. In this Bulletin for January, 1879, however, Mr. Deane brought the species once more to the front by an interesting announcement of the capture of three Texas specimens, which were taken by Messrs. George H. Ragsdale and W. Norris in April and May, 1878. Shortly after the appearance of Mr. Deanc's note on this species, Mr. Edmund Ricksecker of Nazareth, Penn., wrote me that he had received two sets of the eggs of the Black-capped Vireo, which he felt sure were correctly identified, and that a friend, Mr. W. H. Werner of South Bethlehem, Penn., had still a third set, together with the nest and both parent birds. Knowing well from past experience Mr. Ricksecker's thorough reliability in such matters, I at once obtained from him one of these sets, which, with the nest, is now in my collection, and will presently be considered in detail. In reply to my further inquiries, Mr. Ricksecker informed me that all these nests had been collected in Comal County, Texas, in May, 1878, by Mr. Werner and a gentleman with whom he was at the time staying, and who, during a residence of several years in Texas, has collected for Mr. Ricksecker many rare eggs and nests. The latter's name I am for obvious reasons requested to withhold, Mr. Ricksecker, however, very kindly put me in communication with Mr. Werner, who has answered all my inquiries in a very full and satisfactory manner, leaving no doubts in my mind as to the correct identification of these Vireo's nests.

I am also indebted to him for the following interesting account

^{*} Since the above article was written I have examined all Mr. Werner's specimens of the Black-capped Vireo, and find that there is no obvious difference between the sexes. Several females, whose sex was determined by careful dissection, have the head-markings as dark and strongly defined as the males. Mr. Ridgway informs me that he is now doubtful whether the Mazatlan specimen really belongs to this species.

of the nesting habits of the birds: "I first observed the Vireo atricapillus in the northwestern part of Comal County, Texas, along the Guadaloupe River, about twenty-three miles northwest of New Brunsfels. They were not very plenty; I noticed during my rambles ten to twelve specimens in a radius of about ten miles, in the course of six weeks. The peculiar song of the male first attracted my attention, and as soon as I saw the bird I was sure that it belonged to the Vireo genus. They seemed to prefer mountainous districts; at least I always found them in such localities. They frequented low brushwood, and built their nests from three to four feet above the ground. They were of a very lively disposition, restless, I should say, always flitting about from bush to bush, warbling and mimicking other birds like a Mocking-Bird in miniature. They seemed to be very much attached to their nests, and were very tame while sitting, so much so that at different times I walked up to the nest and touched it with my hand before the bird would leave it. On one such occasion I shot the bird that had just left the nest, and it proved to be a male. I think that establishes the fact that both male and female assist in incubation. I found the first nest on the 6th of May. It was built in a small live-oak, and contained four eggs. I shot both parent birds (from which my drawing * was made). A few days later I found another nest containing three eggs, and also obtained both parent birds. A week after this I found a third nest in which were three young. These, after a close examination, I left unmolested."

From the above it will appear that to Mr. Werner is due all credit for discovering the first authentic nest of the Black-capped Vireo known to science. Those received by Mr. Ricksecker were collected May 26 and June 13 respectively. Concerning the former specimen, which is now before me, Mr. Werner writes: "I saw also (when found) the nest and eggs Mr. Ricksecker is speaking of, and you can safely rely that they are well-authenticated and correct." This nest — so the legend upon the label runs — was built "in a red-oak tree." It is suspended in the fork of two very slender twigs, and is in every way after the usual type of Vireonine archi-

^{*} Mr. Werner has sent me for examination an exquisite little study in watercolors of a pair of Black-capped Vireos, with their nest and egg, taken from specimens in his fine collection. Probably many who visited the Centennial Exhibition at Philadelphia will remember seeing there his exhibit of several groups of mounted birds, all masterpieces of taxidermal skill.

tecture. In a few points of detail, however, it differs slightly from any Vireo's nest that I have seen. Although, generally speaking, of the ordinary cup-shaped form, the walls are unusually thick and firmly felted, and the entrance being very much contracted, the bulging sides arch over to the month of the nest, giving to the whole a nearly spherical shape. This peculiarity may be of an individual nature, though it is conspicuously shown in the specimen represented by Mr. Werner's drawing (see the last foot-note). The measurements of my nest are as follows: Greatest external diameter, 2.90; external depth, 2.25; internal diameter at mouth, 1.30 × 1.68; internal depth, 1.40; greatest thickness of walls, .63. the materials which compose it little really need be said, save that they are of the general kind and appearance made use of by most Vireos; but for the benefit of the critical in such matters, I will present the following analysis, premising that, as I have never been in Texas, I am not posted on the botany of that State, and consequently feel somewhat incompetent to identify the collections embodied in their domicile by the industrious little birds. The great bulk of the structure, however, is made up of fine strips of reddish bark, probably from some species of cedar, layers of small, delicate, bleached leaves of a former year's growth, a few coarse grasses, one or two catkins, and several spiders' cocoons. These are firmly bound together, and the whole attached to the forked twigs above by fine shreds of vegetable fibre, caterpillars' or spiders' silk, and sheep's wool. The lining is of fine grasses and what appear to be the slender needles of some coniferous tree, the whole being arranged with that wonderful smoothness and care which belong to the highest order of nest-builders alone. Mr. Werner's nest, to judge from the sketch already mentioned, is almost identical with mine. He describes it as "pendent, similar to that of Vireo belli, perhaps rather more bulky. The outside is composed of dried leaves and grass, interwoven with spiders' webs and lined with fine grass and rootlets. The greatest diameter is 3 inches; inside diameter, 1.75 inch; depth, 1.80 inch; thickness of walls, from .45 to .60 of an inch." The eggs found in my nest measure respectively $68 \times .53$; $.66 \times .53$; $.67 \times .52$; $.68 \times .55$. They are regularly ovoid in shape, and of a uniform pure, though rather dull, white, without spots or marking of any kind. In this last respect all the specimens obtained during the past season in Comal County, Texas, agree. reply to my inquiries on this point, Mr. Werner assures me that

the closest scrutiny on his part has failed to discover even the faintest dotting upon any of the specimens that he has examined, while Mr. Ricksecker writes that his set are exactly similar in shape and color to those now in my possession, and that all he has seen are entirely immaculate. I am aware that occasional unspotted eggs occur in nests of the other and better known Vireos; indeed my collection embraces several such specimens, but they must be classed as comparatively rare exceptions. If, however, the Black-capped species ever lays spotted eggs, they will probably be found to constitute the exceptions to the rule. The testimony on this point is already, I think, ample enough to warrant this conclusion, based as it is upon the examination of no less than fifteen authentic examples. So far as I am aware, no other North American representative of this interesting family is known, regularly to lay unmarked eggs. To show the range of variation in size, I give the following measurements, kindly taken for me by Messrs. Werner and Ricksecker. Set of four eggs in the collection of Mr. Ricksecker: .68 × .50; .71 × .51; $.70 \times .51$; $.65 \times .50$. Set of four eggs collected by Mr. Werner and recently presented by him to the Smithsonian Institution: $.75 \times .52$; $.73 \times .50$; $.76 \times .53$; $.74 \times .56$. Set of three eggs in the collection of Mr. W. H. Werner: $.72 \times .53$; $.73 \times .50$; $.74 \times .52$.

THE IPSWICH SPARROW ($PASSERCULUS\ PRINCEPS$, MAYNARD).

BY W. A. JEFFRIES.

On the 23d of January, 1875, while collecting in Swampscott, Mass., I shot a female Passerculus princeps. It was, at the time, on the crest of the beach, running about on the snow, and picking up seeds in company with a few Snow Buntings. Not hunting for it, I did not again meet with it till October 26, 1878, when, by chance, it was noticed in the same locality in good numbers. My brother and myself shot eleven before December 1, and one again on January 25, 1879. During November we searched for them carefully several times, and, with one exception, always shot one or more specimens. Probably as many rose out of range as we shot, although, from the same bird being seen several times, it was hard to judge of the true number. From what I have seen and heard of this bird in this part of Massachusetts, I should give it as a late fall migrant, a few spending the winter here, there being few true winter but many fall records of its capture.

When first noticed they were very tame. If approached they would at once erouch in the thin grass, or even on the bare sand, until we were only a few feet from them, when, half ereet, they would run a few yards in a straight line and again crouch. When put up they flew quickly for some distance, then ran along the sand for many yards before stopping, which rendered them very hard to find without a good dog. The later comers were very sky, never allowing a near approach, but, running before the dog for several yards, would then rise wildly.

At daybreak they would perch with the Savanna Sparrows on some bush, fence, or ridge-pole, and fly freely to and fro; later in the day they were rarely seen unless carefully searched for, and not once did they give any note or chirp of alarm.

The thinly scattered beach grass at the edge of some fresh-water pond seemed to be their favorite feeding-ground, though seen on the beach seaweed twice, and again with Shore Larks in straw stubble.

Mr. Maynard in "The Naturalist's Guide," speaking of *P. princeps*, says, "With *P. savanna* it cannot justly be compared, as it is much larger, and has a shorter and more obtuse bill." In "The Birds of Florida" the same author says that it is "readily distinguished,.... which is due to its pale tints and large size." In Baird, Brewer, and Ridgway's "North American Birds," *P. savanna* is given as having the "superciliary stripe yellow anteriorly," and *P. princeps* as having the "superciliary stripe white anteriorly," and later, as having the "bill small."

From a careful comparison of the specimens of *P. princeps* and *P. savanna* that I have access to, I have come to the conclusion that *princeps* ought not to be retained as a species, but believe it is only a northern form of *P. savanna*. As to the distribution of *P. savanna*, we find it nearly throughout the United States, some passing even north to breed. But in this, as in other species, the Northern races are the larger and the Southern the darker, while the individual variation from any locality is great.

In Eastern Massachusetts, where both princeps and savanna occur, the best opportunity for a comparison of their habits is offered. The breeding habits of princeps are unknown, but in nothing that is known do the two forms vary. P. savanna is among our early spring migrants, the majority of the birds passing north; many, however, remain and breed. In October they begin to move south, and by the 20th many are gone. At about this date come the princeps in small flocks, and are found with P. savanna on the feeding-grounds. Early in the morning flocks of six or eight birds are found moving along the edge of some pond, or perched in a clump of bushes. These flocks consist in part of savanna and in part of princeps, both often perching on the same twig and on good terms with one another. If disturbed they fly together to some other spot. During the first week of November the princeps arrive in force, while the saranna are fast disappearing, a few scattering birds remaining till late in the

month. By December the princeps in their turn move south, a few remaining through the winter.

If we regard *princeps* as merely a Northern form or variety of *P. savanna*, in what ought we to expect it to differ from the typical form? First, it should be of greater size; second, it should be of lighter color, but have the same style of coloration.

First, as to size, *princeps* is undeniably larger on the average than Savanna Sparrows shot in Massachusetts, but not so decidedly as I had inferred from published measurements. The following table shows that specimens of *princeps* intergrade with typical *savanna* in size, while the average size of *princeps* is smaller than var. *sandwichensis* as given in "History of North American Birds":—

Measurements.*

	Sex.	Alar extent.	Length, Fresh.	Wing.	Tarsus.	Mid. Toe and Claw.	Bill along Gape.	Date of Capture.	Remarks.
P. princeps	8 0+0+	9.50 9.06	6 25 5.88 6.05†	$\frac{3.10}{2.79}$ $\frac{3.10}{2.96}$.90 .80 .89	.87 .81 .89	.53	Nov. 9, 1878 Nov. 28, 1878	Average of 17 specimens. From Maynard's "Birds of Florida."
" savanna var. sandwichensis	3		6.12 6.00		.87	.80	.56 .52	April 24, 1875	From Baird, Brewer, and Ridgway's "History North American Birds."

^{*} All of the specimens of Passerculus princeps were taken either at Marblehead or Swampscott.

Four are in Mr. Brewster's collection, and the remaining fifteen in that of Mr. J. A. Jeffries.

† Average of eleven specimens.

Certainly princeps is not distinguishable from savanna by its larger size, since it is generally smaller than that variety which lives in the Northwest. In the above table most weight is given to length of wing, that being the measurement least liable to vary with the person measuring.

Secondly, princeps, the Northern race, should be the lighter. Here we have one of the characteristics given as easily distinguishing princeps from savanna. The plan of coloration is alike in both forms throughout, the variation being simply one of intensity of color. The color of the ventral surface of both birds is often identical. The yellow superciliary stripe varies with season, and in different individuals, sometimes there being no yellow, while again it is very marked. One point in which extreme specimens seem to differ is, that savanna has a good deal of buff on the sides of the head, breast, and flanks; the whole back also shows this buffy tinge; while a light princeps shows very little of it, if any. Intermediate specimens, however, grade one into the other. It so happens that we have an excellent parallel of this coloration in our Thrushes, the Southern form of Turdus swainsoni showing a very similar suffusion on the sides of

the breast, cheeks, throat, etc., while the whole dorsal surface also often has a decidedly more buffy color than the Northern form, *aliciæ*, which has little or no buff. Intermediate specimens vary between the extremes in this case. This buff is most marked in young birds.

The point in which savanna differs most from princeps is the color of the back, princeps being quite pale, and savanna, while often nearly if not as pale, is usually comparatively dark. Part of this difference we have just accounted for. The plan of coloration of nearly all the dorsal feathers of savanna and princeps is alike,—a black or very dark centre surrounded by one or more shades of brown, lightening as we approach the edge, which is buff, pale gray, or nearly white. This light edging varies greatly in breadth, its increase causing a proportionate decrease of the darker centre, thus giving us darker or lighter birds.

In light examples of *princeps* this extension of the light edging is carried still farther, at the expense of the brown color.

There are double reasons why *princeps* should be lighter than more southern *savanna*. First, it lives in high latitudes, and secondly, it is fond of dry, sandy wastes, never, so far as we know, passing far south, thus giving a good opportunity for the same paling influences, that render the birds of the plains light, to exert their force.

The examples of typical savanna approaching in color nearest the princeps are those taken late in the fall.

Finally, I believe that *princeps* ought not to be retained as a specific name, as it does not cover greater variations than may be easily accounted for by well-known laws of climatic variation.

NOTES ON A FEW BIRDS OCCURRING IN THE VICINITY OF PORTLAND, ME.

BY NATHAN CLIFFORD BROWN,

One of the commonest Sylvicoline summer residents in the townships adjacent to Portland is *Dendrœca maculosa*, a bird whose southernmost regular breeding-ground has been supposed to be the latitude of Umbagog Lake. It breeds in especial abundance about the spruce woods of Cape Elizabeth, in that locality outnumbering every other Warbler except *Dendrœca virens*. Young first make their appearance about August 3, and soon abound.

Dendræca blackburniæ is another Warbler, supposed to have a more northern regular distribution during the breeding-season, which nests every year in this vicinity. It is far from common, however; and I regret to add that the rapid destruction of the forests about the city is tending rapidly to the local extermination of the bird. In fact, in Deering, where I first made its acquaintance, it is now hardly to be found except during the migrations. Young leave the nest about July 10.

On the 13th of June, 1874, I found a nest, containing four eggs, of Zonotrichia albicollis in Scarborough, and subsequent observations have proved the species almost a common summer resident. It is perhaps more numerous in Cape Elizabeth and Scarborough than elsewhere, but is to be found, in suitable localities, quite throughout Cumberland County through the summer months. Its nesting in Massachusetts has been recorded,* but it has been regarded a representative of the Fauna of Northern New England and Canada.

Junco hyemalis completes the list of so-called Northern species which I have to record as breeding in this vicinity. Although it is probably the rarest of the summer-resident Fringillidæ, it occurs every year. Like the preceding three species, it particularly affects the wilder portions of Scarborough and Cape Elizabeth, where the country closely resembles that of Northern Maine. The young leave the nest about August 1. I am, of course, aware of the numerous instances in which this bird has been detected nesting in mountainous districts far to the south of Portland, but I believe no record has hitherto been made of its breeding, in level country, in this latitude.

Dr. Brewer writes † of Dendraca pinus that it has not been found in Maine by Professor Verrill nor by Mr. Boardman, but I am informed that it appears in Professor Verrill's supplementary catalogue as "rare [in Maine] in summer." On the contrary, it is an abundant summer resident in this part of the State. It arrives very early in spring, occasionally by the middle of April, and by the third week in June brings out its young. With regard to its range, I found it, in 1875, common at Brunswick, the easternmost township of Cumberland County; and it even occasionally reaches Calais, as I learn from a marginal note by Mr. Boardman upon a copy of his list. In the western part of the State, however, it does not occur so far to the north. I detected but one specimen in Northern York County during two weeks' work in 1875, and Mr. Brewster writes me that he is very sure it is not found at Lake Umbagog, unless fortuitously.

^{*} Hist. N. A. Birds, Vol. I, p. 575.

⁺ Ibid., p. 269.

The first specimen of *Recurvirostra americana* known to have been taken in Maine was shot at Simonton's Cove, Cape Elizabeth, on the 5th of November, 1878, and passed through my hands. A notice of the bird was shortly afterwards published in the "Portland Press" by the Portland Natural History Society, in whose cabinet the specimen is preserved. I should add that reports have reached me of the occurrence of several other individuals of this species about the same time, but have not been traceable to any authentic source.

I am not aware that any of the scientific papers * relating to the birds of Maine include the name of Rallus longirostris. It appears, however, to be a rare visitor to the State. Mr. Samuel Hanson, a gentleman who is perfectly familiar with the species, has given me three instances of its occurrence in the vicinity of Portland. One specimen was killed by himself, in Falmouth, on the 17th of October, 1866, and about the same time two others were noticed in the game-bag of a sportsman in the same town. A probable fourth specimen (if correctly identified, doubtless the first killed in the State) was shot by my friend Mr. Luther Redlow, about September, 1864. It proved a "sp. nov." to all local sportsmen, and was pronounced to be of the species in question only after comparison with printed descriptions.

Two young examples of *Hydrochelidon lariformis* were taken in Scarborough the past autumn, and are probably, with one exception, the first detected within the limits of the State. Professor Verrill gives the bird as rare in Maine, but writes me of it: "I think its occurrence rests on examinations of a specimen or specimens formerly in the Portland Natural History Society's collection before it was burned. I cannot remember whether I ever saw more than one or not." And since not only the Society's entire collection, but all its records, were destroyed in the great fire of 1866, it must remain a matter of doubt whether more than one specimen existed in its cabinet before that time.

^{*} A contributor writing from Portsmonth to the defunct "Country," under date of February 14, 1878, noted the capture of a "Rallus crepituus" at York, Me., in the last week of December, 1875. Since the gentleman chose to conceal his identity under the initial "E.," I am unable to say under whose sponsorship this record was made, but regard it as probably correct. Mr. Purdie writes me that his allusion on page 22, Vol. II, of this Bulletin, to the bird's occurrence in Maine was based on a knowledge of the same specimen, which, he adds, was preserved by Mr. Vickery, of Lynn, Mass.

STRANGE STORY OF A CALIFORNIA BIRD.

BY MISS FANNY MILLER.

As interesting story respecting the habits, under peculiar circumstances, of the Chaparral Cock (*Geococcyx californianus*), commonly known as the "Road-Runner," is related by a California lady, who takes pleasure in reproducing any interesting matter regarding the natural beauties of her native State.

It appears that a family named Davies, being engaged in oliveculture, occupied the "Old Mission" at San Diego, around which is a dense growth of cactus, passing through which, one day, Mr. Davies heard a strange noise resembling the sound made by a pair of Pigeons billing and cooing, winding up with a succession of short, quick, jerky notes, thus: per-root! per-root! The listener searched until he discovered the cause of his surprise, which was a nest of four young birds of the species Geococcyx californianus. He took them home, and succeeded easily in raising them in a coop, like chickens, the old ones feeding them. Their beautiful plumage soon attracted the attention of a number of visitors to the Old Mission, and notes of the captives have already been given in the San Francisco papers. The birds were finally released, but they regularly returned at night to the coop, and lingered around, becoming satisfied habitues of the barnvard. Two of them died. The two remaining fought until one vanquished the other, which for a while repaired to the cactus, but returned with the nest-making season. In the mean time the sole remaining bird had become so selfish in its attachment to Miss Davies, that it became a nuisance to the household. It would allow no living thing near her, showing its jealousy by darting fiercely at the object of its hatred, pecking it furiously with its sharp bill, whether cat, dog, or child, oftentimes drawing blood, after which it would retire satisfied. For its own dainty consumption it would bring in beetles, bugs, spiders, and when anything larger was captured, - for instance, a lizard or small snake, - it would fly to its mistress, strut around her until noticed and petted for its enterprise, during which it cooed like a Parrot whose feathers are being rubbed down. With the returned mate it began a nest on a small table by the window, in the young lady's

room. This nest -- a most uncomfortable affair, about the depth of a soup-plate — was made of large rough sticks, some of them about ten inches long, which they brought and laid on the outside of the window-sill, if the window remained closed, for the occupant of the room to add to the nest, which she faithfully did, and the nest was soon completed, the inner lining being dry grass and straw. one egg was laid in this rude nest in its present location, inasmuch as the male one day decided the fate of "household and home," by bringing to his mate a large Gopher snake, which twirled itself around his beak more than half alive, whereupon, with a peculiar nervous sensation, the lady immediately removed their lodging to the "cold ground" among the cactus, where the birds hatched a promising broad, and again brought them to the house for food, like chickens. The young birds are much like young turkeys, and at full size are about as large as half-grown turkey-hens. The "Road-Runner" particularly mentioned never forgot its attachment to Miss Davies, and would follow her everywhere after its chicks were grown; they only parted when the family left the country, leaving the birds behind, which they now regret.

SAN RAFAEL, CAL.

Recent Literature.

AUGHEY'S NOTES ON THE FOOD OF THE BIRDS OF NEBRASKA. — In a paper of fifty pages,* contributed to the "Report of the United States Entomological Commission for 1877," Professor Aughey records his observations on the food of the birds of Nebraska, with especial reference to their locust-cating propensities. These observations extend over a period of thirteen years, and include the examination of the stomachs of probably a thousand specimens. He says: "Up to the present year [1877] my studies in this field have been pursued with no thought of a publication of the results, but simply from a love for such pursuits, and hence my notes are not as complete as they otherwise would have been." Yet we find under a large number of the species tabulated statements of the contents of the stomachs of from two to a dozen or more specimens of each species,

^{*} Notes on the Nature of the Food of the Birds of Nebraska. By Professor Samuel Aughey, of Lincoln, Neb. First Ann. Rep. U. S. Ent. Com. for the Year 1877. Appendix II, pp. 13-62. 1878.

giving locality and date of capture, the number of locusts and of other insects found in each, etc.

The list numbers two hundred and fifty species, and hence includes a pretty large proportion of the birds that visit the State, and as the list relates ostensibly to only locust-eating species, our first feeling is one of surprise that it should be so large, or that it should include many of the species it enumerates. A closer examination, however, shows that they are there with reason, and that the list of insect-eating, and particularly locust-eating. species includes not only the so-called insectivorous birds, but Hawks and Owls, Grouse, Plovers, Sandpipers, Herons, Ducks and Geese, Terns and Gulls, and even Grebes. In former numbers of this Bulletin, and elsewhere, attention has been repeatedly called to the grasshopper-eating habits of the Red-headed Woodpecker, and various speculations were indulged in by one writer respecting a change of habit supposed to have taken place in several Woodpeckers anent their capturing insects on the wing. As showing how little we know about the food of our birds, it may be noted that Mr. Aughey records finding one half to two thirds of the contents of the stomachs of various specimens of the Hairy, Downy, Yellow-bellied, and Red-bellied Woodpeekers to consist of locusts. The Grouse, Plovers, and Sandpipers are among the most efficient of the locust-destroying species, although nearly all birds subsist largely upon these insects during the season of their occurrence, and are believed to be of great importance in cheeking their ravages. The Blackbirds, particularly Brewer's Blackbird, are found to be of very great utility in this regard.

Although Mr. Aughey's paper bears especially upon the subject of birds as grasshopper destroyers, it forms at the same time a valuable faunal list of the birds of Southern Nebraska, containing notes relating to the relative abundance and season of occurrence of most of the species.

Mr. Aughey contributes to the same Report (pp. 338 - 350) a special communication on the general subject of the usefulness of birds, with particular regard, however, to the locust question. After detailing instances where the work of birds had a marked effect in keeping down the "hateful locust," especially in the ease of Grouse, Quail, Upland Plovers, etc., as well as the smaller birds in general, he concludes that even the majority of the Raptorial birds should be protected. He mentions among those that should be destroyed the Snowy Owl, the Cooper's Hawk, Goshawk, Prairie Falcon, Pigeon, and Sparrow Hawks. He also regards the Blue Jay as "only a blackleg in fine clothes," whose depredations on the nests of other birds render his existence incompatible with the increase of the smaller birds. The Cowbird is regarded as an extremely obnoxious species, and as meriting banishment and death. The House Sparrow also comes in for nearly a page of condemnation. Mr. Aughey refers to the wholesale destruction of Gronse and Quails as a serious injury to the welfare of the agriculturist, which should be checked by severe legal means. He states that in thirty counties of the State 300,000 Prairie Chickens and 150,000

Quails were destroyed in a single year. He also refers to the great destruction of the eggs and young of birds by the prairie fires in the month of June, and recommends that the burning of the prairies later than the middle of April or the first of May should be prohibited by stringent legislation. Referring to the destruction of bird-life by this cause he says: "In June, 1869, I passed over a small portion of Wayne County behind a raging prairie fire. In one hour I found ruined nests of 13 Prairie-Chickens, 9 Quail, 5 Plover, and three others that I did not recognize. In some seasons many thousands of nests are destroyed in this way."

He also alludes to the wholesale destruction of Blackbirds by poison, formerly practised, under the mistaken notion that they were damaging the crops. About the year 1865, and for some years previous to this date, this mode of destruction prevailed to an alarming degree, to which not only Blackbirds, but many other species, fell victims, and appreciably decreased in numbers in consequence. He says it was not unusual to see "piles of them" that had been gathered in the cornfields. He estimates that in "a single autumn, in Dakota County alone, not less than 30,000 birds must have been destroyed in this way." He believes that sooner or later the protection of useful birds should become not only a national, but an international matter, since, owing to the migratory habits of the species, wide areas are affected by the excessive destruction of birds at particular points. — J. A. A.

LANGDON'S REVISED LIST OF CINCINNATI BIRDS.* — About two years ago Mr. Langdon published a catalogue of the birds of the vicinity of Cincinnati, with notes, including 279 species. The present revision of the subject gives the numerous additional facts which have meanwhile become known to the author, and in recognition of which the list has been entirely remodelled, "to represent the present state of our knowledge of 'Cincinnati Birds,' so far · as their local distribution is concerned, as well as the later conclusions of the most approved authorities in respect to classification and nomenclature." The list is chiefly based upon collections and observations made at two or three points between the Great and Little Miami Rivers, within ten or twelve miles of the Ohio. The breeders, known or inferred, are marked with the asterisk or obelisk. The 256 identified species are of the following categories: Constant residents, 27; summer residents, 62; winter visitants, 10; regular migrants, 82; irregular migrants, 37; casual visitants, 31; species that have disappeared within forty years, 7. There are also included 26 "species of probable occurrence, not yet identified," nearly or quite all of which seem likely to be found. The List is annotated throughout with the usual and proper comments on each species, and is concluded

^{*} A Revised List of Cincinnati Birds. By Frank W. Langdon. 8vo. pamph. repaged pp. 27, 200 copies, from Journ. Cincinnati Soc. Nat. Hist., Vol. I, No. 4, Jan. 1879, pp. 167-193.

with some general observations suggested by the writer's experience. It is a very good piece of work, based in greatest part on original personal observations, very carefully elaborated, with attention not only to the material facts presented, but to those niceties of workmanship which are too often neglected. There are a few slips, in spite of the author's evident pains, such as ædon for aëdon, and Vircosylvia gilvus for gilva. The chief fault we have to find with the List is that it is repaged in the separate pamphlet issues. This troublesome, unnecessary, and inexcusable practice should stop; it is a relic of barbarism, an anachronism which has obvious disadvantages without any counterbalancing recommendation. We are glad to see, especially among our younger writers on ornithology, evidence of increased attention to details of execution. Those who are satisfied to say what they have to say, without regard to how they say it, may be reminded that the form as well as the substance of their communications to the public is essential to successful authorship; and that an article may be made a contribution to letters as well as to science. It is even worth while to spell correctly. — E. C.

A Woman's Work as a Naturalist.* - Among the many wonderful "exhibits" at the recent Centennial Exposition in Philadelphia, few things attracted such general attention, or created more surprise, among visitors of every grade of intelligence, than Mrs. M. A. Maxwell's collection of the animals of Colorado. This collection formed a part of her "Museum" at Boulder, Colorado, from which it was selected, under a commission from the State authorities, to represent the Fanna of the mountains and plains of that enterprising State. This selection embraced over one hundred mammals and nearly four hundred birds, most ingeniously and effectively arranged in artistic groups on a miniature landscape. The objects represented ranged in size from Humming-Birds to the largest mammals of the Colorado mountains and plains, - the gigantic elk and bison, — and were all mounted in the highest style of the taxidermist's art. This exhibit was not only unique and effective in execution and arrangement, but was a startling revelation of what a woman can do in one of the most difficult fields of art, for not only were all these objects prepared by Mrs. Maxwell, but all were procured by her, a large part of them having been taken by her own hands. But Mrs. Maxwell is something more than a successful and enthusiastic taxidermist; she is an ardent and thorough student of nature, and her explorations of the zoölogy of Colorado have revealed the existence of many species in that State not previously known to occur there, and contributed many new facts regarding the habits and distribution of others. The little book before us, devoted mainly to a very intelligent and pleasantly written account of how

^{*} On the Plains and among the Peaks; or, How Mrs. Maxwell made her Natural History Collection. By Mary Dartt. Philadelphia: Claxton, Remsen, and Hoffelfinger, 624, 626, 628 Market Street, 1879. Svo. pp. 237.

Mrs. Maxwell's work was accomplished, was prepared by a sister of the ladynaturalist. The main text of the work is intended for the general public, and as an answer to the thousand-and-one questions asked by the eager crowd that daily thronged the Colorado building during the days of the Centennial Exposition, in reference to the "wonderful woman" and her remarkable work; but in an "Appendix" of twenty pages are given annotated lists of the mammals and birds represented in the collection, the former by Dr. Coues and the latter by Mr. Ridgway. The list of mammals is here for the first time printed, but the report on the birds appeared first in "Field and Forest" (Vol. II, pp. 194-199) in the early part of the year 1877.* Dr. Coues pays well-merited compliments to her artistic skill and the scientific value of her collection, and refers to the pleasure it gave him "to see a collection of our native animals mounted in a manner far superior to ordinary museum work, and to know that there was at least one lady who could do such a thing, and who took pleasure in doing it," and further speaks of it "as one of the most valuable single collections" he had seen. Mr. Ridgway says the collection of birds "consists of excellently mounted specimens," and "illustrates very fully the avian Fauna of Colorado, while it bears testimony, not only to the great richness and variety which characterize the productions of the new State, but also to the success which has erowned the enthusiastic and intelligent efforts of a 'womannaturalist." "The collection," he continues, "embraces many species whose occurrence in Colorado was wholly unlooked for; such as Nyctherodias violaceus, Garzetta candidissima, and Tantalus loculator among Southern species, and Stercorarius parasiticus, Xema sabinei, and Œdemia americana from the high North." The list of birds numbers 234 species and varieties, among which Mr. Ridgway describes one new variety (Scops asio, ϵ . maxwelliæ), as well as specimens of other species of peculiarly interesting phases of plumage. The annotations relate mainly to an enumeration of the specimens represented, but occasionally to facts of distribution and locality of occurrence. - J. A. A.

MAYNARD'S BIRDS OF FLORIDA.† — The first part of a work with the above attractive title was issued in 1872, followed by parts two and three in the two following years. After an interval of four years the fourth‡ and subsequent numbers appeared, and the eighth part has just been received. The title of these later numbers has been extended to include the Water and Game Birds of Eastern North America, though it would seem as if these might more appropriately have been made the subject of another series.

^{*} See this Bulletin, Vol. II, p. 75, where the list is simply referred to by

[†] The Birds of Florida, with the Water and Game Birds of Eastern North America. By C. J. Maynard. Illustrated. Published by C. J. Maynard & Co., Newtonville, Mass.

[‡] A notice of this number was published in the Bulletin of July, 1878.

The text is by far the most satisfactory part of the work, and contains much of interest, though, perhaps, too much space is given to the habits of some species as observed in New England and elsewhere. The author pleasantly describes his travels in search of birds, which resulted in the addition of Phonipara bicolor to our Fauna; and he gives well-written descriptions of the seenery in different parts of Florida. Ammodromus melanoleucus and Pipilo leucopis are given as new species, but they were previously described as A. maritimus var. nigrescens, Ridg., and P. erythrophthalmus var. alleni, Coues. Objection may be made to the consideration in this work of Passerculus princeps and Perisoreus canadensis, neither of which have yet been taken within eight hundred miles of Florida, although in the prospectus of the later numbers the author announces his intention of adding an appendix which will contain the species which occur east of the Mississippi River not found in the body of the work. Certain changes are made in nomenclature and classification, notably raising the Kingfishers and Nighthawks to the rank of orders.

Twelve species * are figured, and there are two plates of the heads, sterna, and tarsi of several others. Plates I, II, III, and XII are passable, being the best of the series, but the others are extremely poor, and for this there is no excuse. [†] All ornithologists know what admirable colored plates of birds have been published during the last fifteen years, and the time when a bad figure was better than none has certainly passed; the labor and expense of preparing such might profitably be devoted to other purposes. From the known habits of the Nuthatches, and from what the author states in regard to the Brown-headed species, it seems inappropriate to figure it (Pl. VII) on a spray of smalax.

Plate VII, in Part VI, has figures of sixty-six eggs of sixty-four species. These can be identified with the aid of a list of the species, which is printed on a loose brown-paper advertising-sheet that accompanies this number, though we are unable to find in the text any mention of the represented fact that many Florida birds lay angular eggs.

We have made the above remarks in no spirit of captious criticism, but as our candid opinion of the shortcomings of the work, and in the hope that the parts yet to appear will more worthily give the results of Mr. Maynard's known familiarity with the birds of a very interesting ornithological region. — J. C. M.

^{*} Rosthramus sociabilis, Phonipara zena, Passereulus princeps, Pipilo leucopis, Ammodromus melanoleucus, Sitta pusilla, Dendræca dominica, Micropalama himantopus, Empidonax acadicus (with nest and eggs), Virco philadelphicus, Phaëton flavirostris, and Certhiola bahamensis.

^{[†} The author desires to have it stated that he is now having the plates redrawn, and that better ones will be soon sent out, without additional cost to subscribers, to replace those already published. — Ed.]

General Dotes.

OLIVE-BACKED THRUSH (Turdus swainsoni) IN TEXAS.—I collected at Gainesville, Texas, May 10, 1878, a Thrush which I marked Turdus swainsoni, after close examination, having previously noted Dr. Cones's remark, "not recorded from Southwestern U. S." Professor Snow mentions its rarity in Kansas. Dr. J. C. Merrill, Mr. George B. Sennett, and Lieutenant McCauley omit it from their lists of Texas birds. I sent a box of birds to Mr. Greene Smith, of Peterboro', N. Y., among them being the specimen in question, requesting Mr. Smith to notify me if they were correctly named. He stated in reply that Mr. J. G. Bell, of New York, agreed with him upon the identification of the Thrush. I saw several of the birds at the time the specimen in question was secured.—G. H. RAGSDALE, Gainesville, Tex.

ALBINISM IN THE TUFTED TITMOUSE. - In his article on "Albinism and Melanism in North American Birds" (this Bulletin, January, 1879, pp. 27-30), Mr. Ruthven Deane records the occurrence of a partially albinotic specimen of the Black-capped Titmouse, with the remark that it is "the only instance of albinism occurring among the Paride" of which he has heard. It may be of interest to note in this connection, that the writer's collection contains two examples of the Tufted Titmouse (Lophophanes bicolor) which illustrate this abnormal condition. In one of these (female, November 29, 1877) nine of the rectrices are entirely white, one has a white blotch at the distal end, and the other two are normal. The order of arrangement is as follows, beginning at the left side: 3 white, 1 normal, 3 white, 1 normal, 1 white, 1 blotched, 2 white; and owing to the distribution of the gray feathers towards the centre, the bird when flying presented a somewhat striking resemblance to the Black Snowbird (Junco hyemalis). The second specimen of L. bicolor (male, March 22, 1874) has several white feathers scattered through the black of the forehead. - Frank W. Langdon, Madisonville, Hamilton Co., O.

Hooded Warbler in Western New York. — This beautiful species has been noted as of not uncommon occurrence near Riverdale, N. Y. (Bull. Nut. Orn. Club, Vol. III, p. 130), and as of rare occurrence in Lewis County, N. Y. (Bull. Nut. Orn. Club, Vol. IV, p. 7). From nearly three months' study of the bird in Northern Cayuga and Wayne Counties (N. Y.), we are able to give a pretty correct account of its occurrence in this section. We first met with the bird July 16, 1878, in the woods bordering the shore of Lake Ontario, near Fair Haven. Our attention was attracted by a loud alarm note, not unlike that of the Golden-crowned Thrush (Siurus auricapillus). We secured the female on the spot, the

male not until the next day. From that time forward we found them in every suitable locality for miles around. The birds' favorite haunts appeared to be dense and solitary woods with tangled undergrowth, where fallen hemlock tops and other débris of the woods that mark decay are overgrown with various briery bushes. From our arrival in July until the time of their departure, they were in full song. In many cases we found single birds having two distinct songs. Often have we observed them singing one for some time, and then, as though tired of that, take up the other, sometimes alternating the two.

July 25 we found their nest, containing three young and one egg. The next day we found a second nest, near which were three young, scarcely able to fly. The nests were placed in the forks of small saplings, near the ground, and were composed of hemp and grapevine fibres, lined with horse-hair, interspersed with feathers.

The birds began moulting about the first week in August, but by the middle of September we obtained some fine specimens apparently recovered from this state. We secured female birds with the black gradating from a single spot to a full tracing of the hood. We also found young males of the year, with the black as dense and glossy, and the yellow as rich, as in the best adults; yet the little "spike tails" scarcely exceeded half an inch in length, and their peculiar plumage marked them as young. We observed this Warbler as late as September 20, when a few cold breezes from the lake drove them southward. — Samuel F. Rathbun and Frank S. Wright, Auburn, N. Y.

NOTE ON DENDRŒCA TOWNSENDI. - The following interesting observations occur in a letter addressed to me by William A. Cooper, of Santa Cruz, Cal., dated January 18, 1879: "I have made skins of about a dozen specimens of Dendraca townsendi this year, and have killed others, too much shot to prepare to advantage. My first specimen, taken November 3, 1878, was feeding in company with Parus rufescens, Vireo huttoni, Psaltriparus minimus, and Regulus, in willows, alders, and sveamores on the bank of a river. November 14 I shot eight specimens, and could readily have obtained thrice the number, as I saw fully a hundred feeding in a similar location, with several small birds as above mentioned. I have obtained a few specimens since; but they have left the trees along the river, probably because they are now bare, and live among the taller redwoods, firs, and oaks, and are obtained with difficulty. January 1, 1879, I shot my last specimen, not having been out since. Shot a couple, December 29, of one of which I now have the skin. As soon as I can conveniently do so I shall go into the woods and try to obtain a fresh specimen to send you in the flesh. My opinion, based chiefly on the above facts, is that D. townsendi, or at least a portion of those that come here, spend the winter. Further investigations will decide it." - ELLIOTT Cours, Washington, D. C.

The Yellow-rumped Warbler (Dendræca coronata) wintering in Swampscott, Mass. — During the last three years I have been in the habit of finding these birds in December. But this year I have quite frequently seen flocks of from five to forty birds flying about among the bushes and junipers. I have taken specimens this winter on December 14, 1878, February 1, and February 8, 1879. Their occurrence here this winter cannot be due to favorable weather, since the winter has been severe, and for at least three weeks before the last capture the ground was covered with snow. Cape Cod, as given in Mr. Allen's "List of the Birds of Massachusetts," is the most northern locality in which this bird has previously been known to winter, though most recent lists suggest the probability of the Yellow-rumps spending the winter with us. — J. A. Jeffries, Boston, Mass.

Capture of Kirtland's Warbler (Dendræca kirtlandi) in the Bahama Islands.—This interesting species will, I think, prove not uncommon on the Bahama Islands during the winter months. Whether it is a resident there remains for future research to reveal; but I am of the opinion that, like most of its family, it is migratory.

On January 9 a specimen was taken at Hawk's Nest, on Andros Island, which proved to be a female. Its actions much resembled those of *D. coronata*, and it seemed to prefer the thick brush. Its stomach contained the remains of insects. I append the following description of the specimen:—

D. kirtlandi, Q. Above bluish-ash, the feathers of the crown with a narrow, those of the middle of the back with a broad, streak of dark brown. A narrow semicircular ring of black surrounds the eye, touching its anterior part; eyelids white. Under parts yellow; throat and breast with small spots, and sides of the body with short streaks of black. Greater and middle wing-coverts, primaries, and tail-feathers edged with dull white. Two outer tail-feathers with a dull white spot on the inner web. Under tail-coverts yellow-ish-white. Length, 5.50; wing, 2.75; tail, 2.50; tarsus, .80.—Charles B. Cory, Boston, Mass.

The Eggs of the Redstart (Setophaga ruticilla). — I would like to give a more complete idea of the size of the eggs of this bird than would be conveyed by the figures given in "North American Birds." The eggs from the Hingham nest (see Vol. I, p. 325) are unusually small (.55 × .45). In eleven sets now before me the least length is .59, the greatest length .70; the least breadth .48, and the greatest breadth .52. The largest set from Swampscott, Mass., averages .688 × .51. Two sets from Milan, N. H., average, one, .595 × .495, the other .63 × .49. One from Grand Menan averages .65 × .50. Two sets from Lynn average .656 × .484. One from Ohio, .68 .51, and two sets from Vermont range from .68 to .64 in length, and from .49 to .51 in breadth. Their general average is about .66 × .49. — T. M. Brewer, Boston, Mass.

ROUGH-WINGED SWALLOW IN CONNECTICUT. — Although not given by Samuels as a bird of New England, and classed as "a rare summer visitant" by C. H. Merriam in his "Birds of Connecticut," the Roughwinged Swallow breeds regularly in this State. It has nested for the past three seasons in the old stone abutments at a road-crossing over the New York, New Haven, and Hartford Railroad, within eight or ten rods of the depot at Green's Farms, twenty-six miles west of New Haven. Half a dozen pairs nested there last season, and perhaps more; but, judging from the number seen, I should say there were fewer than during the season of 1877. I have been unable to account for the fact that more than thirty trains could pass within six or eight feet of their nests each day, and not drive them away or apparently disturb them in the least. — J. A. Stannis, Hartford, Conn.

The Loggerhead Shrike (Collurio ludovicianus) breeding in Northern New England. — On the 5th of May, 1877, Mr. C. A. Morse, of Bangor, procured, near that eity, the parent bird, nest, and four eggs of what he supposed to be the Great Northern Shrike, and which was so described in the "Oologist." Without suspecting the incorrectness of this identification, I wrote to Mr. Harry Merrill of that city for full particulars of this interesting find, which he has very kindly given me in full. The parent of Mr. Morse's nest was fortunately procurable, and was sent to me. I have submitted it to Mr. Ridgway's examination. The result is that the nest and eggs procured by Mr. Morse near Bangor were those of the typical Colluvio ludovicianus. No authentic instance could be ascertained by Mr. Merrill where the borealis had been known to breed near that city, but of the six nests found within the past two years, the parents of which were procured, all were like the specimen sent me for identification.

In the summer of 1877 I received a set of eggs, sent me as those of the Great Northern Shrike, from Rutland, Vt. Making further investigations in regard to the particulars of a matter so replete with interest, by the aid of Mr. Jenness Richardson of that city, I have received here also one of the parent birds, and in this instance I have been again surprised to learn that it is the Loggerhead, and not borcalis or excubitoroides, that is the species referred to. In regard to the parent of the nest found by Mr. Richardson, Mr. Ridgway writes me that "it is again ludovicianus, but approaching very decidedly the excubitoroides type; in fact it is quite as 'typical' of the latter as a great many Western specimens."

Mr. Richardson has furnished me with the particulars of four nests of this species found in that region, one near Castleton, and three in and about Rutland. So that we have in all ten well-authenticated instances of the Loggerhead breeding in the very heart of two of the most northerly of the New England States. — T. M. Brewer, Boston, Mass.

CAPTURE OF THE LOGGERHEAD SHRIKE IN WINTER IN NEW HAMP-SHIRE. — Another late and northern record of the Loggerhead Shrike (Lanius ludovicianus) occurring in New England has been placed at my disposal by Mr. Charles F. Goodhue, of Webster, N. H., who has kindly forwarded me a specimen for examination which was taken near Concord, N. H., January 20, 1879.—RUTHVEN DEANE.

The White-rumped and Loggerhead Shrikes in Ohio. — On the 22d of Angust, 1878, I took a well-marked example of Collurio ludovicianus var. excubitoroides at Madisonville, which upon dissection proved to be a male "young of the year." It had attained its full plumage, however, the under parts being immaculate, and the dorsal surfaces showing no traces of the buffy suffusion and transverse vermiculation usually observable in the young of this genus; the clear, pale bluish-ashy of its upper parts, with the conspicuously white rump and superciliary line, proclaimed its relationship at a glance. Its capture here will be regarded with interest by ornithologists, this being the southeasternmost point at which it has been recorded; and is of additional significance on account of the occurrence here of the typical C. ludovicianus, which is a regular though somewhat rare summer resident in this vicinity, where it has been found breeding * on three occasions at least. — Frank W. Langdon, Madisonville, Hamilton Co., O.

THE GREAT NORTHERN SHRIKE IN NEW ENGLAND. - I wish to correct an important error into which Dr. Coues has inadvertently fallen in his "Birds of the Colorado Valley," where he says: "In narrating an instance of its nesting on a low spruce-tree in New Brunswick, within twelve miles of St. Stephen, Dr. Brewer is certainly mistaken in asserting that 'we know of a single recent instance in which this bird has bred within the limits of the United States." The error of Dr. Coues is in his supposition that the nest in question was in New Brunswick. On the contrary, it was in the State of Maine, some twelve miles west of the town of St. Stephen, and about the same distance from any part of New Brunswick. This error may have been occasioned by an erratum that occurs in a sentence that follows the one quoted. This sentence should read: "He has since met with its nest within twelve miles of St. Stephen in New Brunswick." In the work the last three words are out of their proper place. My positive statement that the nest had been found within the limits of the United States was no careless mistake, but the statement of a well-known fact of which I had full knowledge when I penned it. [†]

^{*} See the writer's "Observations on Cincinnati Birds," Journal Cincinnati Soc. Nat. Hist., Vol. I, 1878, p. 114.

^{[+} Dr. Brewer's whole paragraph comes from a misinterpretation, doubtless unintentional, of my remarks. Dr. Brewer's mistake, which I criticised, was in saying that "we know of a single recent instance," etc., the fact being, that we know of many such instances, if the testimony of competent observers is to go for anything. See B. C. V., I, 561. — E. C.]

Mr. Boardman informs me, in a recent letter, that up to the present time this has been the only instance in which he has met with the nest of this species, and that he regards the Great Northern Shrike as a very rare bird in his neighborhood in the summer. So far as I now know, this is the only instance of its occurrence in New England. — T. M. Brewer, Boston, Mass.

ÆGIOTHUS EXILIPES IN MASSACHUSETTS. - On the 16th of November last, while collecting in Swampscott, I fired into a flock of Ægiothi, killing seven of the common form and one male of the light Northern race, exilines. The occurrence of this form so far south has been noted previously. Andubon, in writing of the Greater Red-poll (Æ. canescens), mentions seeing it in Greenland, and also in New Jersey, and as found by others in Maryland. In this and in the following references Æ. canescens is doubtless our form exilipes. In 1863 Mr. Samuels gives Mr. Verrill as authority for the occurrence of A. canescens in Maine. Mr. Maynard, in his list of 1870, takes the opportunity to refuse to give exilipes specific rank, but does not state whether the so-called species exists or not in Eastern Massachusetts. In 1874 Dr. Coues, in "Birds of the Northwest," writes that exilipes rarely if ever occurs in the United States. Dr. Brewer's list of 1875 gives Æ. canescens as rare in Eastern Maine, as I afterwards learned, on the authority of Mr. Boardman. Mr. Purdie, in his criticism of this list, seemed to doubt its occurrence; while the last Massachusetts list, Mr. Allen's, does not refer to the form as a synonym or otherwise.

The flock from which my specimen was shot rose after being fired at, circled round and alighted on an elm close by, remaining quiet for a few seconds, then flying by twos and threes back and forth between the tree and their wounded comrade, hovering within twenty feet of my head, but, though I looked carefully, I could not see a second light bird.

In this connection I may also mention a young moulting Æ. linaria, showing no red on the head, but a slight coppery tinge above the forehead.—W. A. Jeffries, Boston, Mass.

RECORD OF THE BREEDING OF CROSSBILLS IN NORTHERN VERMONT IN 1796. — This early record of the breeding of the Crossbills in New England, which I have found in "The Rural Magazine; or. Vermont Repository" (Vol. II, Rutland, 1796), may not be without interest. Of late years they have been found breeding in Maine and Vermont, though but few instances have been recorded. — RUTHVEN DEANE, Cambridge, Mass.

Account of the Crossbill Bird.

RUTLAND, October 16, 1796.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE RURAL MAGAZINE.

SIR, — There is a small bird, common in the northern part of this State, called Crossbills, from the singularity of their bills, which cross at the extremity. Their bodies are a size larger than the Wren, but more full of feathers. Their color is ash, or brownish, in general; on some of which there are tinges

of red. In the depth of winter they collect around houses, oftentimes in flocks of several hundreds, appearing to be particularly fond of feeding and picking in places around an house where slops have been thrown, and especially where anything salt or briny has been cast; and they are so tame as often to be taken. But what is extraordinary, and makes this bird worthy of notice, is, that they lay their eggs and hatch their young in the middle of winter.

Samuel C. Crafts, Esq., informs me that a person of entire credibility in Craftsbury assured him that in the depth of winter, sometimes in February, he discovers at one time as many as twelve of their nests on one small shrubby Hacmatac-tree, in which there were eggs, and the birds were then setting and hatching. As a confirmation of this, he also assures me, that when they have been taken in the dead of winter, and been opened, litters of eggs have been found in the females, and a part of them with shells, in a state of maturity, to be laid. The naturalist will, I think, be inclined to notice this curiosity, notwithstanding the minutia of the thing, and the insignificancy of the bird as to size. He may do it, also, perhaps, with more security from sarcasm than if he lived in the vicinity of Peter Pindar.

I am, sir, yours, &c.,

THOMAS TOLMAN.

Notes on the Purple Finch. - It has been a matter of remark that several of our once rare birds have largely increased in numbers within a few years, and I think in no ease is this so apparent as in that of the Purple Finch (Carpodacus purpureus). At the same time its distribution extends over a much larger range. It was formerly considered a strictly northern migrant, but has recently become resident in Massachusetts, where it breeds quite plentifully in certain sections, and from the following instance would seem inclined to remain even farther south. Among some notes taken at Bayside, L. I., I find under date of April 21, of this year: "Saw a Purple Finch (male) in full song and plumage and apparently resident." In the early part of June I visited the same locality and again saw both male and female. Feeling sure they must have nested there, after diligent search I discovered the nest, located, as usual, some forty feet from the ground, near the top of a large spruce-tree, and contained only two eggs, well advanced in incubation. This was June 15, and I am at a loss to explain the reason of their late domestic arrangements, except with the surmise that their first nest was destroyed, or that they felt out of their latitude, as indeed their actions seemed to indicate. They remained in the vicinity but a short time after, and, I think, did not attempt another nest. The construction of the nest, its situation, and the eggs, except in number, were almost identical with a set procured just previously at Grand Menan. This is, I believe, the most southern point at which the species has been found breeding. — R. F. Pearsall, New York City.

NESTING OF THE BLACK-THROATED BUNTING (Euspiza americana) IN MASSACHUSETTS. — On page 45, Vol. III, of the Bulletin, Mr. Purdie records the finding of two nests of this Bunting in Medford, Mass., in June, 1877, one containing eggs and the other young, and on page 190

mention is made of several specimens seen at Hingham, Mass., in June, 1878, one pair seen feeding their young.

Although this bird has been rarely met with of late years in the State, yet it would seem that a limited number must breed with us every year.

Through the kindness of Mr. N. C. Hammond I am enabled to record an instance of its breeding in Hyde Park, Mass., where he collected a nest containing four eggs, about August 1, 1878. The nest was placed on the ground in the middle of a large open field, and from the lateness of this date would indicate that it must have been a second brood. — RUTHVEN DEANE, Cambridge, Mass.

Rare Birds in Michigan. — In a recent letter from Dr. H. A. Atkins, of Loeke, Ingham Co., Mich., among various ornithological items of general interest occur the following, which he has kindly placed at my disposal. Writing under date of November 19, 1878, he says: "This fall the Western Meadow Lark (Sturnella neglecta) drifted in here. Several quite large flocks were seen; they were first observed about September 28; the last were noticed October 19." He speaks of their being more in flocks than is the Eastern Meadow Lark, and as frequenting trees and elevated positions. He refers especially to their song, which he considers "in point of sweetness nearly equal to the notes of the Rose-breasted Grosbeak," and wonders that any one can consider the bird as merely a variety of the common Meadow Lark. He adds that the Western Meadow Lark has also been met with at Ann Arbor by Mr. A. B. Covert.

He also notes the occurrence of the Oregon Snow-Bird (Junco oregonus), of which he says he "shot two, and saw perhaps twelve or fifteen in all." They were first noticed October 11, and last seen October 30 (1878). He says: "I also took alive, October 22, a fine specimen of the Chestnut-backed Snow-Bird (Junco caniceps), found in a flock of the common Snow-Birds." The Oregon Snow-Bird he believes will yet be found to be quite common in Michigan, and that it possibly extends to quite a distance south and east.—J. A. Allen, Cambridge, Mass.

The Cow-Blackbird of Texas and Arizona (Molothrus obscurus). — Dr. Merrill has called my attention to a grave error in "North American Birds" (II, p. 157) in regard to the measurement of the eggs of the Southern variety of the Cow Blackbird. It is there given as .60×.55, an obvious error for .70×.55, but even this is too small. In a set of eggs from Arizona the least length is .72 and the least breadth .58; average, .73×.59. In a series from Matamoras, .72 is the least and .75 the greatest length, and .55 the least diameter; average, .74×.57. In a fine series of fifteen eggs from Fort Brown, Texas, the length varies from .74 to .81, and the diameter from .59 to .64. The average length is .77, the average diameter .62. The eggs from Fort Brown range much larger than those from Arizona or even from Matamoras, on the opposite side of the Rio Grande. — T. M. Brewer, Boston, Mass.

A Spotted Egg of Empidonax minimus.—[The following note, communicated to me by Mr. Hayward, seems of sufficient interest to merit publication. I have examined the egg in question, and there is apparently no reason to doubt its correct identification. Save for the reddish-brown dotting it is quite typical of *E. minimus*, and not for a moment to be compared with the eggs of either *E. traillii* or acadicus. I have never seen a spotted egg of the Least Flycatcher before.— W. Brewster.]

Last spring, during the month of May, while collecting eggs at Milton, Mass., I found a nest of this species in the forks of an apple-tree about fifteen feet from the ground, containing four eggs, three of which were of the usual color, but the fourth, of the same ground-color, was minutely marked with fine dots of reddish-brown. The spots are irregularly dispersed over the surface of the egg, and while numerous on one side are few on the other. The egg measures $.63 \times .50$ of an inch. The nest was like others of this species, and the bird had the well-known note of chebéc. — R. HAYWARD, Boston, Mass.

Additional Captures of the Curlew Sandpiper in New England.—The three specimens of this rare straggler, which have previously been recorded as occurring in New England, have all been collected in Massachusetts, and I am enabled to add two more instances, both of which have also been taken in this State.

Mr. John Fottler, Jr., writes me that he has in his possession a fine spring specimen which was shot on Cape Cod about the 10th of May, 1878. Another specimen is in the collection made by Mr. Baldwin Coolidge (now in possession of the city of Lawrence, Mass.), which was taken on Nahant Beach some ten years ago, and at that time was preserved by Mr. N. Vickery, of Lynn. — RUTHVEN DEANE, Cambridge, Mass.

A SECOND SPECIMEN OF THE YELLOW-CROWNED NIGHT HERON (Nyctiardea violacea) IN MASSACHUSETTS. - Since the Yellow-crowned Night Heron was added to our New England birds by Mr. Allen's record * of an individual shot by Mr. Vickery in Lynn, Mass., in October, 1862, no additional specimens have been brought to light by the numerous enterprising observers that are so thoroughly working up our bird Fauna. It is therefore with great pleasure that I am enabled to announce the occurrence of a second Massachusetts example, which is now in my possession. The history of this wanderer, so far as it is known, is briefly as follows: On the afternoon of July 30, 1878, Mr. George Cunningham — who resides in a rather densely populated part of Somerville, just beyond the line separating that city from Cambridge - was attracted by a commotion among the Robins and other small birds in the orchard behind the house. Upon investigating the cause of this unusual excitement a large bird was seen to take flight and disappear over the adjoining fence. Shortly after this there was another alarm from the orchard, and it was found that the

^{*} Am. Nat., III, 637, February, 1870.

strange intruder had returned. A neighbor who is fond of shooting was called in, the bird winged, and after a sharp chase overtaken. It showed plenty of fight, and, to use the words of its eaptor, "chattered very like a monkey." It was entirely alone, and had not been seen before in the vicinity. It was sent to Mr. Charles I. Goodale, our well-known Boston taxidermist, by whom it was finely mounted. Mr. Goodale first called my attention to it while it was still in his possession, and upon writing to Mr. Cunningham on the subject he very generously placed the bird at my disposal, at the same time giving me the facts above recorded. The specimen is in the spotted immature plumage, and is apparently very young, inasmuch as many of the feathers still retain the peculiar hair-like filaments which characterize the downy stage of Herons, and which are pushed outward on the tips of the feathers that succeed. This fact, taken in connection with the date of capture, is certainly suggestive of a not very remote breedingplace, though the bird was perhaps old enough to have flown northward from the Carolinas, its nearest known breeding-ground. - W. Brewster, Cambridge, Mass.

Additional Notes on the Whistling Swan (Cygnus americanus) in New England. — Since the appearance of my note on the Whistling Swan in the Bulletin for October, 1878, the occurrence of two additional New England specimens has been brought to my notice. The history of the first of these is unfortunately involved in some obscurity, but nevertheless the following facts, for which I am indebted to my friend Dr. Brewer, would seem to entitle it to mention as of probable New England origin.

Shortly after the publication of the October Bulletin, Dr. Brewer, in eonversation with Mr. George O. Welch of Lynn, happened to speak of the Nantueket specimen therein recorded. Mr. Welch at once said that he remembered the shooting of a Swan at Nahant some fifteen years ago. It was killed by a Mr. Taylor, who, having since died, cannot be looked to for any further light on the subject. Mr. Welch, however, assured Dr. Brewer that it was finally deposited in the collection of the Boston Society of Natural History, and if still there might be recognized by traces of immature plumage upon the head and neck. Upon referring to the eases of mounted birds, a Swan fully answering this description was found. The only data relating to it, however, is the simple record that it was presented by Deming Jarvis, Esq. This gentleman, at that time, lived at Nahant, and the only apparent flaw in the evidence is the fact that Mr. Welch originally saw this bird at the house of a Mr. Tudor, to whom he then supposed it belonged. This point is, however, of trifling importance, as it may have changed hands several times before reaching its present resting-place.

The other Swan was killed at Seabrook, N. H., October 18, 1878. It is a male in immature plumage, and was shot by a gunner while lying off shore in a dory waiting for Sea-Ducks. It was mounted by Mr. Emery C. Greenwood, of Ipswich, Mass., to whom I am under obligations for the facts just given. Although I have seen neither of the above specimens, the full

descriptions of plumage and careful measurements furnished by Mr. Greenwood are conclusive of the identity of his bird, while I have Dr. Brewer's high authority for stating that the other is certainly *C. americanus.* — W. Brewster, *Cambridge, Mass.*

OCCURRENCE OF Ross's Goose (Anser rossii) ON THE PACIFIC COAST AND INLAND. — Until very recently the Ross's Goose, Chen, or Horned Wavy, as it was called by Hearne, has been considered a very rare species, and the six or seven specimens in the Smithsonian Collection, with perhaps one or two others in this country, presumably represented all the specimens known. In 1876 Captain Bendire chronicled it as a visitant of the interior lakes and rivers of Oregon in winter, and secured possession of a single individual.

While in San Francisco last November I learned from various sources that a number of specimens of this Goose had been obtained from season to season from the markets, nearly all in October. Recently, as I learn from Mr. Ridgway, Mr. C. A. Allen, of Nicasio, Cal., has sent the Smithsonian two specimens with information that establishes the fact that, at some seasons at least, the Ross's Goose is by no means a very uncommon bird along the coast, and even in the interior lakes and rivers. A single collector has procured for him seven individuals about Sacramento, and, as he states, might have sent in, at least, twenty more; but, as they were in the immature plumage, he believed them to be valueless. The same person states to Mr. Allen that for the past ten years he is certain he has seen half a dezen or so each season, but that this year the bird has been more numerous than ever. Mr. Belding has also sent a specimen to the Smithsonian Institution, with notes indicating the occurrence of this Goose in the tule beds near Stockton. Mr. Allen is of the opinion that the unprecedented cold weather of the past winter has had an influence in the unusual abundance of these Geese, and thinks that they have been driven from their more usual winter quarters somewhat to the north. It is probably safe to say that this Goose is a regular fall migrant in the latitude of San Francisco, and doubtless erelong specimens will have so multiplied as to be generally represented in cabinets. — H. W. Henshaw, Washington, D. C.

Note on Bucefilala Islandica. — Dr. J. Bernard Gilpin has published * an interesting article on the specific distinctions of this species from B. clangula. Besides the well-known outward marks of differences especially observable in the head, he finds important anatomical characters in the structure of the trachea, bronchi, and lower larynx. According to the plate, the difference is very strongly marked. In the words of the

^{*} Pages 390-403, with a plate, in some periodical not named in the oversheets which have reached me. Doubtless the publication of the Nova Scotia Institute.

text: "In the male common golden-eye, the wind-pipe, soon after leaving the throat and before it enters the breast, has a very sudden enlargement, almost as it were a broad hoop thrown obliquely around its stem; on the inside this leaves large circular pouches on the posterior surface before the restriction of the pipe takes place again. In the Rocky Mountain species, the wind-pipe simply and gradually enlarges itself, becoming restricted again before it enters the breast. In one the enlargement is suddenly from 2-8 of an inch to an inch and 1-8, while in the other from 2-8 to 5-8 of an inch, and that with no protuberances. In the males alone of both species there is, after the wind-pipe has entered the breast, that very complicated sub-quadrangular knob, from which the bifurcation of the pipe proceeds." — Elliott Coues, Washington, D. C.

Notes on the Sea-Birds of the Grand Banks. — During September, 1878, Mr. Raymond L. Newcomb of Salem, well known as an ornithological collector, spent several weeks on and near the Grand Banks, under the direction of Professor S. F. Baird, for the purpose of obtaining specimens of the various sea-birds to be found there. Mr. Newcomb left Gloucester August 28, and returned September 19, and although much of his time was engrossed with other duties, he secured many interesting birds. The following is an abstract from his note-book, kindly communicated for publication in the Bulletin.

August 29, off Thatcher's Island, several Jaegers were seen which were thought to be Stercorarius pomatorhinus. The next day (August 30) the first Shearwater (Puffinus major), the "Hagdon" of the fishermen, was met with, the vessel being then just out of sight of land. The following day (August 31) several Terns (thought to be either Wilson's or the Arctic) were seen, some Petrels, and three flocks of "Sea Geese,"—one containing about twenty individuals, and the others four or five each. Three of the "Sea Geese" were shot, and proved to be Red Phalaropes (Phalaropus fulicarius). Several Skua or Jaeger Gulls were also seen. On September 1 only one "Hagdon" and two or three Petrels were seen all day. Under date of September 2, Mr. Newcomb writes:—

"Saw what Captain Collins called a 'Sea Hen,' a number of 'Hags' and Mother Carey's Chickens. The 'Sea Hen' is the Skua Gull [Stercorarius catarrhactes], about which considerable stir has been made the past summer.* The Fish Commission secured one, but I did not get any; still, our 'skipper,' who is an intelligent and very persistent man, says he will get one, just to make his word good. The fishermen say they are seen comparatively often, still I feel somewhat doubtful, owing to the meagre and inaccurate knowledge which this class of men have of the subject."

"September 3. On Sable Island Bank, at anchor. I shot to-day twenty-three birds, including [the Greater] Shearwater in two plumages, some Petrels (Cymo-

^{*} See this Bulletin, Vol. III, p. 188.

chorea leucorrhoa), and some Skuas (Stercorarius pomatorhinus) and one Sterna hirundo. The men were dressing fish, and 'Hags' were numerous and bold, coming within six feet of me to pick up fish livers. Petrels by the hundreds all around."

Several days of stormy weather followed, when few birds were obtained or apparently observed. Later, he says:—

"September 8. Saw several S. pomatorhinus, P. unglorum, and 'Carey Chickens' this A. M. These birds evidently follow vessels for the garbage. The Petrels seem to be flying about all night, as when on deck at night I have often seen a black shadow flit by close to me, and on moonlight nights they come up very close, even picking bits of gurry off the rail. Shot to-day eleven S. pomatorhinus, representing two plumages. These birds in the sooty plumage are known as Black Marlingspikes. This afternoon a Yellow Warbler (Dendræca æstiva) came aboard, but soon flew away.

"September 9. Shot two S. pomatorhinus and one 'Whip-tail.' This bird was not common. I saw only a few. It proved to be Stercorarius cepphus [=parasiticus]. Saw two Gray Gulls, which I think were Larus marinus. 'Hags' have been quite scarce the past day or two.

"September 12. Shot eight Carey Chickens at once to-day; they were very numerous.

"September 15. Made the coast of Nova Scotia, — the first land seen for sixteen days. It looked good. Gannets (Sula bassana) in winter plumage common all day along the coast; also some 'Hags' and Terns; nothing new. Strange to say, these Gannets are called by their right name.

"September 16. Saw several 'Sea Geese'; they were much tamer than when previously seen. At 3 P. M. two Gray Eagles were seen, and at 3.30 a Sharpshinned Hawk flew astern, going north toward the land, some seventy miles distant."

On September 17 Skua and Herring Gulls were seen in Ipswich Bay apparently contending for "tinker" mackerel. A "Gray Coot" (*Œdemia velvetina*) was also observed.

Under date of September 5, on Quercau Bank, he notes the appearance in the vicinity of the vessel of the Greater Telltale (*Totanus melanoleucus*) and the Turnstone (*Strepsilas interpres*), a single individual of each. On August 31, when eighty-two miles from land, a Yellow Warbler (*Dendræca æstiva*) alighted on the vessel, but soon flew away in the direction of the land, this making two specimens of this species that visited the vessel when out of sight of land.

Of the species of strictly Sea-Birds noted, the Petrels and the Pomarine Jaeger appear to have been the most abundant, in addition to which were observed the Skua (Stercorarius catarrhactes), the Long-tailed Jaeger (S. parasiticus), and one or two species each of Terns and Gulls, while small flocks of Red Phalaropes were seen on several occasions. Mr. Ridgway informs me that Mr. Newcomb's collection contained also two specimens of Richardson's Skua (S. crepidatus). — J. A. Allen, Cambridge, Mass.

BULLETIN

OF THE

NUTTALL ORNITHOLOGICAL CLUB.

Vol. IV.

JULY, 1879.

No. 3.

ON THE USE OF TRINOMIALS IN ZOÖLOGICAL NOMENCLATURE.

BY ROBERT RIDGWAY.

In order to elicit a general expression of opinion in regard to the use of trinomials in zoological nomenclature, I adopt this method of answering the inquiries of several correspondents who have addressed me on this subject. It must, sooner or later, become evident to the scientific naturalist, that a strictly binomial system, while answering in the case of really distinct species, is entirely inadequate for the designation of those which are in the incipient stage. The use of a third term, therefore, becomes a matter of necessity in the case of forms which are not completely differentiated, i. e. which are not yet isolated by the extinction of intermediate specimens. In what manner this third term shall be connected with the generic and specific names is a question upon which scarcely two authors agree; and as it is obviously desirable that some generally acceptable form be adopted, at as early a date as possible, we hope the subject may meet with due consideration.*

^{*} In the "American Naturalist," Vol. V, 1871, pp. 346-373, Dr. Coues very strongly urges the employment of trinomials, and presents the most explicit reasons why they should be used; his excellent article should, therefore, be carefully read in connection with the present subject. The first ornithologist to adopt the trinomial system of nomenclature on an extensive scale, so far at least as this country is concerned, was Professor Baird, who used them freely in that standard work, "Birds of North America" (Vol. IX, Pacific R. R. Reports), published in 1858, and subsequently in his "Review of American Birds" (1864-66). The purpose of the present article is, therefore, not Vol. IV.

The question is one of the greatest importance to ornithologists, and should be decided without unnecessary delay. In order to show that it is likely, sooner or later, to be agitated abroad, the following opinion of a writer* in a late number of "The Ibis" is quoted:—

"It is the boast of British ornithologists that their system of nomenclature is binomial. When Linnaus substituted a word instead of a sentence to designate a species, he made an immense stride toward simplicity of nomenclature. The practice of Brisson and the earlier ornithologists, if it aimed at scientific accuracy, failed in consequence of the multiplicity of facts with which it had to deal. There seems, however, to be a tendency at the present time to carry the idea of a binomial nomenclature to a pedantic extreme. It is a common practice amongst ornithologists to quote specific names without authorities, under the cover of adhering to a strictly binomial nomenclature. In nine cases out of ten no harm is done by omitting the authority, but in the tenth case it leaves the precise species intended to be discriminated open to doubt. Exactness is the foundation of all scientific research, and the moment any doubt attaches to the meaning of a term, that moment such term ceases to be scientific. The fact that the same specific term has been applied by different ornithologists to different species, makes the addition of the authority to the specific name in many cases a necessity, - an unwelcome necessity, no doubt, to the binomial nomenclator, but not the less an absolute necessity to the truly scientific student. It would be well if the complication stopped here. Unfortunately, in too many instances, a difference of opinion exists amongst eminent ornithologists as to which species were intended to be discriminated by certain terms made use of by some writers.

"For example: Saxicola stapazina is a name intended to discriminate a certain species of Chat. Saxicola stapazina (Linn.) professes to restrict that name to the species of Chat to which Linnaeus gave the name of Motacilla stapazina; but since the publication of Dresser's 'Birds of Europe,' the title Saxicola stapazina

so much to defend this system of nomenclature, which in truth needs no defence, but to set forth the necessity of an agreement between ornithologists as to the exact manner in which the subspecific term is to be combined with the specific name.

^{*} Mr. II. Seebohm, in the Ibis, January, 1879, pp. 18 – 21.

(Linn.) ceases to have a definite meaning, and the reader must always be in doubt as to whether a bird so described be the Saxicola stapazina of Linnæus, apud Latham, Vieillot, Temminck, and a host of other authors, or the Saxicola stapazina of Linnæus, apud Dresser,—two totally distinct birds. At all costs scientific accuracy must be preserved, and I see no possible alternative but to complicate our ornithological nomenclature still further, by calling the Black-eared Chat Saxicola stapazina (Linn.) et Dresser.

"It would be well for the simplicity of ornithological nomenclature if its complications could even stop here. From the days of Linnæus to those of Wallace and Darwin, most ornithologists were agreed that species were divided by a hard and fast line, and that the difficulty which the student had to surmount was the discovery of the lines of demarcation which Nature herself had drawn between the various specially created species. Now that most scientific ornithologists have adopted the theory that these hard and fast lines seldom exist in nature; that species were not specially created, but were gradually developed according to certain more or less known fixed laws; and that consequently there must be at any one period of the world's history a large number of species in process of differentiation, our difficulties are largely increased. question naturally arises, What is a species? We must either draw an artificially hard and fast line where Nature has drawn none, or we must accept Nature as she is, and make the best of the complications which necessarily arise in our nomenclature in attempting to harmonize it with facts which we cannot, as scientific students, ignore. Hence, it appears to me to be absolutely necessary for modern ornithologists to recognize the existence of subspecies, that is, species in the process of differentiation, incipient species, where the intermediate forms have not yet died out, but where a series gradually leading from one extreme to the other may be obtained. I fully recognize the danger of such a practice. It is easy to imagine the abuses of which it is capable. Inexperienced ornithologists will be tempted to think that differences of age, sex, and season, to say nothing of accidental individual variations, are intermediate forms worthy of the rank of a subspecies; and our nomenclature may run the risk of being still more flooded with names as injurious as the useless synonyms of the elder Brehm. I am, however, of the opinion that these difficulties will have, sooner or later, to be faced. It seems to me that the scientific ornithologist cannot

afford any longer to ignore the existence of subspecies in nature, or to attempt to make ornithological nomenclature simpler than the facts of nature which it is intended to discriminate."

Until the matter shall have been definitely decided by the agreement of leading ornithologists, it may be considered purely optional with a writer what combination of generic, specific, and subspecific names he uses in the case of geographical races of animals, provided, of course, he does no violence to the essential principles of the nomenclature established by Linneus and adopted, with amendments, by the British Association. Linnaus, as well as subsequent authors of the past century, not unfrequently employed a third term for the designation of races or varieties. This practice, however, though not actually prohibited by the Rules of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, such prohibition is implied in the first three lines of the third paragraph under § 1 of the rules referred to, which read as follows: "As our subjectmatter is confined strictly to the binomial system of nomenclature, or that which indicates species by two Latin words, the one generic, the other specific; and as this invaluable method originated solely with Linnæus," etc. At the time Linnæus wrote, intergradation between supposed species was a thing not thought of; therefore, no provision was made for geographical races, which are, in fact, incipient species: and this provision was also overlooked when the important rules of the British Association were framed, in 1842. Even in the last revised edition of these rules (1878), this great desideratum is completely ignored. Were all species perfectly stable, a purely binomial system would of course suffice; but the more recent developments of zoological research reveal the fact that comparatively few species are what may be termed completely isolated, a very large proportion being still united by a series of incompletely differentiated individuals, even, in many cases, where the degree of divergence in separate geographical areas is greater than between many where intergradation is unknown and extremely improbable. It is therefore clear, that the only true "species," or forms which may be properly designated by a strictly binomial combination, are those which are isolated through the extinction of intermediate specimens, or the complete differentiation of the several offshoots from the parent stock. And it is equally obvious that this distinction between real and incipient species should be practically recognized by a suitable amendment of the rules of nomenclature.

The use of a third name, in combination with the specific and generic, to designate a "race" or "subspecies," has been objected to on the ground of its being opposed to the Linnaan canons of nomenclature: but, so far from this being the case, we find that Linneus frequently gave names to what he considered as races or "varieties" of a species, prefixing the letters of the Greek alphabet. As an example, we find in the twelfth edition of "Systema Nature" (1766), on pages 270 and 271, that Linnaus recognizes seven forms of "Phasianus gallus." The first of these he terms simply Phasianus gallus, the others being cristatus β ., ecaudatus γ ., morio δ ., lunata ϵ ., pusillus ζ ., and crispus η .; each being accompanied by its diagnosis. Others of the older authors, whose works were published subsequent to the twelfth edition of the "Systema Nature," and who adopt the Linnæan system, follow the same plan. Numerous instances may be found in Gmelin (1788). On pages 589, 590, of Latham's "Index Ornithologicus" (Vol. II, 1790), the domestic Pigeon is termed "Columba domestica," being species "2" of the genus Columba. Twenty varieties of this species are named, as follows: "2 \beta. livia," "2 y. rupicola," "2 8. hispanica," "2 c. dasypus," et seq. The fourteen varieties of the domestic Fowl ("Phasianus gallus"), are named after the same manner on pages 626-628. In other instances, both Linneus and Latham indicate the different forms supposed to belong to one species simply by the Greek characters, followed by a diagnosis, references, and habitat (e. g. Strepsilas interpres, β ., γ ., and &; Lath., Ind. Orn., II, pp. 738, 739).

The term "var." between the specific name and that of the race is objectionable, from the fact that a "variety" is properly "a difference not permanent or invariable, but occasioned by an accidental change"; * and in this sense would apply only to individuals presenting some abnormal variation, as albinism, melanism, erythrism, or some unusual form of bill, foot, etc., having little, if any, relation to geographical distribution. As affording a suitable example, the two common North American forms of Colaptes (auratus and mexicanus) may be cited, restricted to either side of the continent, but along the line of junction (or, rather, merging) of their respective habitats intergrading in a wholly promisenous way, few specimens, apparently, perfectly typical of either form, being found in this neutral territory; not only this, but specimens of this intermediate

^{*} Webster.

character not infrequently occur far to the eastward or westward, in the very "heart" of the region where the typical form prevails. Now Colaptes auratus and C. mexicanus differ from each other much more, in their typical state, than do very many congeneric species between which intergradation is not known (e. g. the small Thrushes, of the subgenus Hylocichla, many of the Fringillidae, and numerous other groups)! Formerly, these intermediate specimens were supposed to be hybrids; but it is difficult to conceive of hybridization on such an immense scale. Now what is to be done in this case? Probably very few ornithologists would be willing to call the whole series simply C. auratus, while, on the other hand, since they prove not to be specifically distinct, it is obviously wrong to imply such distinctness by the use of a specific name in each case.

It seems to me, that Linnaus himself has shown us how to solve the difficulty. Had the facts as above stated been known to him, he would doubtless have called the whole series C: auratus, at the same time calling the western (red-shafted) form " β . mexicanus," and the intermediate series, γ . hybridus or ayresii (allowing him, of course, the choice of names, only the first-named form being at that time known).

To sum up, it having been found necessary to name every true race or subspecies, I have found the method indicated by Linnaus, as described above, to be more simple than any other, and to answer every requirement of the case. By adopting this plan, the question of nomenclature becomes very much simplified, while it expresses better than any other yet tested the relative rank of the forms which have to be recognized by name.

REMARKS UPON TURDUS PALLASI AND ITS VARIETIES.

BY H. W. HENSHAW.

The study of our small Olive-back Thrushes (*Hylocichlæ*) has, from the times of the earliest writers, involved many interesting points, doubtless because of the general resemblance possessed in common by all the forms, and the resulting difficulties and confusion in the way of their identification, and in the proper application of the various names that from time to time have been bestowed upon each of the several members of the group.

It is the purpose of the present paper to discuss briefly the Hermit Thrush (*T. pallasi*) in relation to its two ascribed varieties, the Dwarf and Audubon's Thrushes (*nanus et auduboni*).*

Prior to Mr. Allen's paper † the three birds had generally been considered distinct species, and they were so treated by Professor Baird in the ninth volume of the "Pacific Railroad Reports," who, however, did not fail to call attention to their close relationship.

In his paper Mr. Allen reviewed the subject somewhat fully, and, indorsing the opinion of Dr. Gambel, formally reduced the two Western names to synonyms of pallasi. The treatment adopted by Mr. Allen has been considerably modified by most authors who have had occasion to refer to the birds since, and the two Western birds have been allowed place as geographical varieties of pallasi.

In his most recent work, "Birds of the Colorado Valley," Dr. Coues appears to reopen the question, and makes the following statement: "Among the Western Hylocichlæ of the pallasi type, there are a larger and a smaller race, both intergrading completely with the dimensions of Eastern pallasi, their respective averages being at about the maxima and minima of pallasi proper. The difference in size between them is more noticeable than that between either of them and T. pallasi, and appears to be preserved with much constancy. I am unable to appreciate any of the differences in coloration which have been ascribed; at any rate, these differences are fully within the normal range of variation of typical pallasi. These subspecies are less strongly indicated than either of those of the swainsoni type, and little violence would be done by declining to recognize them by name. Nanus, in particular, is positively indistinguishable from some small specimens of Eastern pallasi.

^{*} The current names for the three forms will be adopted in the present paper. It well illustrates the uncertainties of our nomenclature that Audubon's name nanus should have been allowed to stand so long for the Dwarf Thrush. His nanus was unquestionably based upon a small specimen of the Eastern pallasi proper, the locality of his bird being alone sufficient evidence of the fact. It, hence, properly should become a simple synonym of the latter, leaving a name for the small Western form, if it be deemed necessary to recognize it, to be sought for among the earlier authors. The guttata of Pallas applies throughout to one of the Hermit Thrushes, and as his locality, Kodiak, is now known to be the home of the true Dwarf Thrush, and of no other, it would appear that the acceptance of his name is scarcely to be avoided.

[†] Mammals and Winter Birds of East Florida, 1871, pp. 254-256.

Auduboni is rather better marked. I have never seen the wing of pallasi four inches long, and doubt that it ever exceeds this dimension, as is the case with some examples of auduboni."

Some of the statements contained in the above quotation were especially interesting to me, as, after a large field experience, and having collected many specimens of all three birds, I have never had the slightest difficulty in discriminating between the forms. This has doubtless been due in part to the fact, which the experience of every field-worker will attest, that various slight differences of color, as well as certain other points, are perfectly apparent in freshly killed specimens which are often partially or even wholly lost in dried skins.

But the main point involved is a matter of simple measurement, namely, to ascertain whether the three forms do or do not intergrade in size, and, if they do, to what extent; in other words, to determine their relations by means of rule and dividers. For this purpose Mr. Ridgway has kindly placed at my disposal the large number of specimens contained in the Smithsonian Institution, which, together with the series collected by the Survey West of the 100th Meridian, has supplied ample material, and I have been able to include in my examination and to measure over 100 specimens* divided as follows: of T. pallasi, 32 specimens; T. auduboni, 39 specimens; T. nanus, 35 specimens.

The following figures represent the averages obtained:—

```
T. pallasi, Wing, 3.61. Tail, 2.87. Bill, .53. Tarsus, 1.15. T. auduboni, " 3.99. " 3.12. " .55. " 1.14. T. nanus, " 3.44. " 2.78. " .48. " 1.11.
```

The number of specimens used would appear to be sufficient to give a result closely approximate to correctness, although, as will be evident from facts given further on, the greater the number used, the wider will be the gaps between the three forms.

From the above it will, I think, be at once apparent that the differences here indicated express something more than mere individual variation, and that the discrepancies in size, so far as the average is concerned, are fully up to the requirements of varieties. The ex-

^{*} It is to be regretted that the tables of measurements cannot be here given in full, but lack of space forbids. They will be presented elsewhere, and opportunity thus be afforded to compare the amount of individual variation, which, it may be stated, is very great.

tremes of size of the three forms, as indicated by my measurements, here follow:—

pallasi	(largest),	Wing,	3.85.	Tail,	2.87.	Bill,	.51.	Tarsus,	1.14.
46	(smallest),	44	3.30.	64	2.50.	66		46	1.05.
auduboni	(largest),	66	4.25.	46	3.35.	66	.56.	66	1.16.
66	(smallest),	44	3.70.	4.6	2.97.	46	.54.	66	1,13.
nanus	(largest),	66	3.67.	66	3.00.	46	.50.	66	1.16.
46	(smallest),	64	3.25.	66 .	2.55.	4.6	.49.	66	1.04.

Comparing the smallest specimens of pallasi and auduboni with the largest individual of nanus, a considerable percentage of the former forms will be found to fall below the latter in size. Obviously, however, this would not be a fair comparison, since it is highly exceptional that this extreme of size is attained by nanus; in fact, but one specimen in my series does so, the measurements of those next it falling considerably short. Attention may here be called also to the extremely small size of the smallest individual of pallasi, as shown by the above figures. No other of the series compares with it in this respect, the next in size having wings of 3.43, and upwards, with the other dimensions corresponding. Doubtless it was just such another individual which fell into Audubon's hands, and furnished occasion for his name nanus.

Similar comparison between the largest pallasi and smallest auduboni gives a similar result. But again, the largest and smallest individuals of these birds respectively represent comparatively rare exceptions.

It appears, therefore, that, while between unusual extremes of the three forms intergradation actually does take place, it is far from being "complete," and that, in fact, it is no greater than is usual in the cases of other species, with their varieties, in which change of geographical limits has been accompanied by increased or diminished size. Our tables further demonstrate that the greater number of individuals are actually identifiable by the test of size alone.

If by the recognition of varieties nothing were to be gained but the opportunity of increasing the list of named birds, not only would no harm result from ignoring them, but, on the contrary, positive benefit. Such, however, is very far from being the case. Varieties, — species in embryo, — if understood to be the result of natural laws, the expression of new conditions under which species have been brought, serve a far more useful purpose in the determination of faunal areas than species, which, from their insusceptibility to change, retain everywhere their peculiar type, the sign manual of specific rank. In the latter case the species cannot be identified with, and its presence relied upon as a factor in the determination of, a restricted avian area, since often its habitat may overlap the boundaries of several such areas; at all events, its use to this end must take rank far below the variety, which, having been traced to its proper stock, and the exact amount and manner of variation noted, serves a very important end as denoting by the changes it successively exhibits the limits of climatic and other influences corresponding to definable geographical limits.

An excellent illustration of this occurs to us in the cases of the Song and Lincoln's Sparrows (M. meloda and allies and lincoln'). The first, from its pliability of organization, so to speak, passes through several successive phases of color change, as we cross its habitat from east to west, as well as variations of bill, etc. These in each instance serve for the discrimination of a race which is identifiable more or less closely with a limited province. The latter, on the contrary, with a general range almost coextensive with the former, reaching from the Atlantic to the Pacific, and over no small portion of which it is found breeding, appears nowhere to vary appreciably; hence, while interesting from this very fact, it is of very limited value in the consideration of questions touching faunal boundaries.

A few words may be said upon color as a means of identification of the forms here under consideration. The two Western, auduboni and nanus, are essentially alike in this respect, at least so far as dried skins are concerned; as, however, they stand at the opposite extremes of size, confusion between them is scarcely to be looked for. The Eastern bird, pallasi, differs from either in color, and very appreciably. The dorsum has a distinct reddish-brown tint, while the flanks are decidedly fulvous; in the others these colors are replaced by a rather pure gray. The smaller specimens of pallasi from Eastern localities may be instantly selected from a series of the Western nanus by these points of color, without appeal to the localities on their labels.

In conclusion, it is worthy of note that while the mountain-inhabiting *T. auduboni* shows a marked superiority in size to *pallasi* in general bulkiness of body and in wings, bill, and tail, its tarsus not only does not keep pace relatively with its development in other respects, but appears to become even slightly shorter. Thus average specimens of pallasi have the tarsi as long as the larger individuals of auduboni, while a few of pallasi exceed in length of tarsus any of auduboni. No cause for this appears to suggest itself.

Nor is it easy to understand why a mountain environment, in the case of *auduboni*, should have resulted in what seems to be a natural enough change, namely, increase of size, while the very reverse is true of *nanus*, which is as essentially a bird of the mountains as its ally.

It has been remarked before as an apparent exception to a general rule that specimens of *auduboni* from Mexico, towards the southern limits of its distribution, are no smaller than those from the Northern Rocky Mountains. All the specimens from Mexico that I have been able to find in the Smithsonian collection were taken in winter, and hence it has occurred to me they may not represent the *resident type*, but may be migrants from more northern localities, and hence not eligible in a comparison of this kind. A few summer specimens would, of course, settle all doubt.

NOTES ON BIRDS OBSERVED DURING THE SPRING MIGRATION IN WESTERN MISSOURI.

BY W. E. D. SCOTT.

Warrensburg, the point at which the following observations were made, is about sixty miles southeast of Kansas City in Johnson County. The surrounding country is rolling prairie, well watered by several streams. Along these streams the timber is abundant, and frequently forms on either bank forests of considerable extent. The fauna and flora of the region are both Carolinian in their main features, but the change in temperature from severe cold to extreme heat is greater than in New Jersey, being from about —20° Fahrenheit in winter to +100° in the summer. The winters, with exceptions of the severe cold snaps which last only one day or more, are much milder than at the point indicated on the Atlantic coast, and the snow-fall is very slight.

The spring of 1874, when the observations that follow were made,

was very backward, and the time of the arrival of many of the species given can hardly be that of an average year. The notes extend over a period from the 27th of March until the 15th of June, and only those species actually noted or taken are given. In many cases a large series of individuals of a given species were procured, and these show, as may be surmised, interesting individual and local variation. A large number of species were doubtless overlooked, and quite a number had left the region before the date of beginning work. The country is particularly rich both in species and in individuals of the several kinds, and is hardly to be excelled in these particulars by regions bordering on the seaboard. As little has been done toward making any detailed report of the ornithology of the State, it offers an exceedingly fertile field to the naturalist.

- 1. Turdus migratorius. Robin. Common; a few breed; many winter.
- 2. Turdus pallasi. Hermit Thrush.—Common from April 8, when they were first seen, until about the 18th. One noted April 23.
- 3. Turdus ustulatus swainsoni. OLIVE-BACKED THRUSH.—Abundant, arriving about May 5, and remaining ten days. None seen after May 15.
- 4. Turdus mustelinus. Wood Thrush.— Quite common. First noted May 1. Very shy. Breeds in small numbers.
- 5. Mimus polyglottus. Mocking-Bird.—Rather rare at this point, but said to be common in the more southern counties. Arrives latter part of April. Breeds.
- 6. Mimus carolinensis. Catbird.— Abundant migrant. Many breed. The majority are more highly colored than birds of the same species taken in Massachusetts.
- 7. Harporhynchus rufus. Brown Thrasher.— Common. First seen April 14. Young ready to leave nest were found June 15. In full song on arrival.
- 8. Sialia sialis. Wilson's Bluebird. Resident, but more common in spring and fall.
- 9. Regulus calendula. RUBY-CROWNED WREN. Abundant migrant. First seen April 15. Remains till about May 1.
- 10. Polioptila cærulea. Blue-gray Gnatcatcher. Common. Arrives about April 13. Nest found complete, but without eggs, May 7.
- 11. Lophophanes bicolor. TUFTED TITMOUSE.— Resident. Most common in early spring. Breeds commonly. A curiously colored individual had the inner web of the secondaries cinnamon-brown.
- 12. Parus atricapillus. Chickadee. Common. Some breed. Resident. In a large series of specimens taken, many approach the var.

septentrionalis in having the secondaries and lateral tail feathers conspicuously edged with white. The song, however, is that of the typical bird, and does not at all resemble that of the var. carolinensis.

- 13. Sitta carolinensis. WHITE-BELLIED NUTHATCH. Common migrant. A few breed. Specimens taken approach var. aculeata in the bill being more slender than in many specimens of the typical carolinensis from the Atlantic coast.
- 14. Sitta canadensis. Red-bellied Nuthatch, Noted several times. Migrant.
- 15. Certhia familiaris. Brown Creeper. Common after April 4, when they were first seen, until the last of that month.
- 16. Thryothorus Iudovicianus. Carolina Wren. Rare. Two were taken early in April. Probably breeds, though the above were the only ones noted.
- 17. Troglodytes domesticus. House Wren. Common. Arrives about April 25. A nest and nine fresh eggs were taken May 30
- 18. Anorthura troglodytes hyemalis. Winter Wren. Rather rare winter resident. Two taken early in April.
- 19. Telmatodytes palustris. Long-billed Marsh Wren. One taken May 2. Saw no others.
- 20. Eremophila alpestris. Horned Lark.—Common resident. Found only on the prairie. Breeds.
- 21. Mniotilta varia. Black-and-white Creeping Warbler. Common migrant. First noted April 13. A few remain to breed.
- 22. Parula americana. Blue Yellow-backed Warbler. Common migrant. First seen April 27. A few breed.
- 23. Protonotaria citrea. PROTHONOTARY WARBLER. Common. First noted May 7. Breeds in numbers.
- 24. Helmitherus vermivorus. Worm-Eating Warbler. Very rare. A single male taken May 2.
- 25. Helminthophaga pinus. Blue-Winged Yellow Warbler.—Very common. First noted April 27. Probably breeds in small numbers, as the majority passed to the north.
- 26. Helminthophaga ruficapilla. NASHVILLE WARBLER. Not common. Very few seen or taken. Arrived May 7.
- 27. Helminthophaga celata. ORANGE-CROWNED WARBLER.— Next to pinus, the most common of this genus. First noted April 27. After this it was common for about three weeks.
- 28. Helminthophaga peregrina. Tennessee Warbler.—Not rare. First seen at same date as the last. They were common for about a week, when no more were seen.
- 29. Dendrœca æstiva. Summer Warbler. Common. Breeds. First seen April 27.
- 30. Dendrœca virens. BLACK-THROATED GREEN WARBLER.—Not common. First seen May 7. A few probably breed, as it was noted the middle of June.

- 31. Dendrœca cærulea. Cærulean Warbler. Not common, but more frequently met with than the last. First noted May 7. This species was not noticed after June 1.
- 32. Dendrœca coronata. Yellow-rumped Warbler. Common migrant. First seen during first week in April. Most of them had passed through by May 1.
- 33. Dendrœca striata. BLACK-POLL WARBLER. Common migrant. First seen May 10.
- 34. Dendræca pennsylvanica. Chestnut-sided Warbler. Apparently rare. First noted May 7. Migratory.
- 35. Dendrœca maculosa. BLACK-AND-YELLOW WARBLER, The rarest of the Warblers of this genus observed here. A female taken on May 18 was the only one noted.
- 36. Siurus auricapillus. Golden-Crowned Thrush. Common migrant. A few breed. Was first noted April 20.
- 37. Siurus nævius. AQUATIC ACCENTOR. Quite common. First noted during the first week in May. Migratory.
- 38. Siurus motacilla. Large-billed Accentor. Rather rare. First noted April 27. Breeds, as it was seen June 12.
- 39. Oporornis formosa. Kentucky Warbler.—Common, but shy. Noted first early in May. Breeds.
- 40. Geothlypis trichas. Maryland Yellow-throat. Common. Breeds in small numbers. First seen April 27.
- 41. Geothlypis philadelphia. MOURNING WARBLER. Not very rare. Took two during the spring: the first on May 12, the second on May 18.
- 42. Icteria virens. Yellow-breasted Chat. Common. First seen April 27. Breeds.
- 43. Myiodioctes pusillus. Wilson's Black-Capped Fly-Catculing Warbler. Not rare. First seen May 12.
- 44. Setophaga ruticilla. Redstart.—Common. Arrives early in May. Breeds in small numbers.
- 45. Pyranga rubra. Scarlet Tanager.—Common. Arrives about May 1. Breeds. Many individuals are appreciably brighter and more intense in color than the average of the same species from the middle Atlantic States.
- 46. Pyranga æstiva. Summer Redbird. Not quite as common as the last. Arrives about the same time. Breeds.
- 47. Hirundo erythrogaster horreorum. BARN SWALLOW.—Common. First noted April 15. Breeds.
- 48. Tachycineta bicolor. White-Bellied Swallow. Common. First noted April 3. Migratory.
- 49. **Petrochelidon lunifrons**. CLIFF SWALLOW. Common. Arrives about April 15. Breeds.
- 50. Cotyle riparia. BANK SWALLOW. Not as common as the last. First seen April 15. Breeds.

- 51. Stelgidopteryx serripennis. ROUGH-WINGED SWALLOW.—Rather common, arriving about the same time as the last. Breeds.
- 52. Progne subis. Purple Martin. Rather common in localities. First noted April 4. Breeds.
- 53. Ampelis cedrorum. CEDAR-BIRD. Resident. Rather common. Breeds.
- 54. Vireo olivaceus. Red-eyed Vireo. Common. Arrives about May 10. Breeds.
- 55. Vireo gilvus. Warbling Vireo. Not common. One taken May 12.
- 56. Vireo flavifrons. Yellow-throated Vireo.—Not very common. First noted April 27. Probably breeds.
- 57. Vireo solitarius. Solitary Vireo. Not common. First seen May 7. Migratory.
- 58. Vireo noveboracensis. White-eyed Vireo.— Not very common. First noted May 5. Breeds.
- 59. Vireo belli. Bell's Vireo. Common. First noted May 5, when two were taken. Breeds quite commonly. Two nests were taken with four fresh eggs in each on June 2.
- 60. Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides. Common American Shrike. Not a very common resident. Breeds in the Osage orange hedges.
- 61. Carpodacus purpureus. Purple Finch. Not very common. Migrant.
 - 62. Chrysomitris tristis. Yellowbird. Common. Resident.
- 63. Plectrophanes ornatus. Chestnut-collared Bunting.—Rather common during April on the prairies to the west of the town.
- 64. Passerculus savanna. Savannan Sparrow.—Rather common. Breeding on the prairies May 27.
- 65. Poœcetes gramineus. BAY-WINGED BUNTING. Common. Arrives in March. Breeds.
- 66. Coturniculus passerinus. Yellow-winged Sparrow. Abundant. Arrives late in April or early in May. Breeds in large numbers.
- 67. Coturniculus henslovi. Henslow's Bunting.—Common. Not as abundant as the last. Associated with *B. passerinus*, and breeding about June 1 to 10.
- 68. Melospiza lincolni. Lincoln's Fincii. Common migrant. First noted May 2. On the 12th of May they were very common, and had disappeared a week later.
- 69. Melospiza palustris. SWAMP SPARROW. Not a very common migrant. Possibly a few breed, as they were noted very late, May 25. First seen April 23.
 - 70. Melospiza meloda. Song Sparrow. Common resident.
- 71. Junco hyemalis. Snow-Bird. Winter resident. Most of this species had left by April 20.

- 72. Spizella monticola. TREE SPARROW.—Common winter resident. Seen as late as April 10.
- 73. Spizella socialis. Chipping Sparrow.— Common migrant, and breeds. First seen April 11.
- 74. Spizella pusilla. Field Sparrow. Common. Arrives April 12. In song April 14. Breeds.
- 75. Zonotrichia albicollis. WHITE-THROATED SPARROW. Common migrant. First seen April 3, and common till the first week in May.
- 76. Zonotrichia leucophrys. WHITE-CROWNED SPARROW.— Not common. First noted May 5. They were noted remaining about two weeks after that date.
- 77. Zonotrichia querula. IIARRIS'S STARROW. Previous to my visit to Warrensburg, I had spent three weeks at Mound City, in Linn County, Kansas. This is about a hundred miles southwest of Warrensburg. On my arrival at Mound City, on March 8, I found this species very abundant and in winter plumage. They frequented low thickets, near water, and were rather shy. On sunny days they were constantly singing in a strain very like that of the White-throats, but a little more prolonged, and perhaps louder. They were rather shy, but I obtained without difficulty a series of about forty birds, all, as I believe, in complete winter dress. About the last of March they began to moult.

On my arrival at Warrensburg I found the birds quite common, but not as abundant as at Mound City. They were all moulting, and had much the same habits as the White-crowned Sparrows, being in small parties of three or four, and frequenting similar localities to those spoken of above. They were still common April 27, and had assumed the breeding plumage. I took some as late as May 5. That they winter in Southern Kansas I have no doubt, as they were well known to many hunters, who spoke of them as "Winter Chippies."

- 78. Chondestes grammica. LARK FINCH. Very common. Arrives from the middle to the last of April. Breeds.
- 79. Passerella iliaca. Fox Sparrow. Abundant, migrant, and probably a winter resident.
- 80. Euspiza americana. Black-throated Bunting. Common summer resident. Arrives about May 1.
- 81. Goniaphea ludoviciana. Rose-breasted Grosbeak. Not very common. First noted May 1. Probably breeds.
- 82. Cyanospiza cyanea. INDIGO BIRD. Common migrant and summer resident. Arrives about May 1. Females taken from this region show a decided blue tinge, and the males are very intense in coloring.
- 83. Cardinalis virginianus. CARDINAL REDBIRD. Common and resident. The birds of this species taken here are very much higher-colored than the same species taken in New Jersey, and this is particularly noticeable among the females, which often have many bright red feathers striping the buffish color of the breast. The Redbird in this region is one of the familiar species about houses and gardens.

- 84. Pipilo erythrophthalmus. Chewink.— Common migrant and summer resident. This is another species in which the coloring seems much more intense than in the same birds taken in Massachusetts and New Jersey. Particularly is this to be noticed in the females. Out of a series of forty females taken, all had distinctly black feathers in the throat, save four, and in some of them this blackness amounted to a decided patch on the brown of the throat.
- 85. Dolichonyx oryzivorus. Bobolink.—Rather common migrant. First noted May 2. In a few days they became quite common. I noticed them on May 21, and afterward about five days later, so that some may breed.
- 86. Molothrus ater. Cowbirp. Common migrant and summer resident.
- 87. Agelæus phœniceus. Red-winged Blackbird. Rather common summer resident.
- 88. Xanthocephalus icterocephalus. Yellow-headed Black-bird. Not very common. A few seen in May. Breeds.
- 89. Sturnella ludoviciana. Meadow Lark. Common resident. A much more familiar bird than in the East. Not at all shy.
- 90. Icterus spurius. Orchard Oriole. Common summer resident and migrant. First noted May 1.
- 91. Icterus baltimore. Baltimore Oriole. Common inigrant and summer resident. First noted May 1.
- 92. Scolecophagus ferrugineus. Rusty Blackbird. Common migrant. Noted as late as April 23.
- 93. Quiscalus purpureus. Crow Blackbird. Common migrant and summer resident.
- 94. Corvus americanus. Common Crow. Not very common. Breeds.
- 95. Cyanocitta cristata. Blue Jay. Very familiar and common residents, breeding in the gardens in town, and much less shy than the Robin is in the East. Nest and three eggs taken April 27.
- 96. Tyrannus carolinensis. KINGBIRD. Common migrant and summer resident. First noted April 27.
- 97. Myiarchus crinitus. Great-crested Flycatcher. Rather common migrant and summer resident. First noted May 2.
- 98. Sayornis fuscus. Bridge Pewee. Common migrant and summer resident.
- 99. Contopus virens. Wood Pewee. Rather common, arriving late, as in the East.
- 100. Empidonax acadicus. SMALL GREEN-CRESTED FLYCATCHER.

 Rather common. Arrives early in May. Breeds.
- 101. Empidonax minimus. Least Flycatcher. Not common. First seen May 1. Migrant.

10

- 102. Empidonax flaviventris. Yellow-bellied Flycatcher.—Rather rare migrant. Took one May 18.
- 103. Antrostomus vociferus. Whip-poor-will. Common migrant, and breeds. First noted April 23.
- 104. Chordiles virginianus. NIGHT-HAWK. Common migrant, and breeds. First noted May 4.
- 105. Chætura pelagica. Chimney Swift. Common migrant and summer resident. First noted April 26.
- 106. Trochilus colubris. Ruby-throated Humming-Bird. Rather common. First noted April 26.
 - 107. Ceryle alcyon. Kingfisher. Common.
- 108. Coccygus erythrophthalmus. BLACK-BILLED CUCKOO. Quite common. First noted early in May.
- 109. Coccygus americanus. Yellow-billed Cuckoo. About the same as the last, perhaps rather more common.
- 110. Hylotomus pileatus. Pileated Woodpecker. Rare resident. Met with but once.
- 111. Picus villosus. Hairy Woodpecker. Rather common resident, but shy.
- 112. Picus pubescens. Downy Woodpecker. Common resident.
- 113. Sphyrapicus varius. Yellow-bellied Woodpecker. Not an uncommon migrant and winter resident.
- 114. **Centurus carolinus**. Red-Bellied Woodpecker. Abundant resident.
- 115. Melanerpes erythrocephalus. RED-HEADED WOODPECKER. Common resident.
- 116. Colaptes auratus. Golden-Winged Woodpecker. Abundant resident.
- 117. Bubo virginianus. Great Horned Owl. Rather rare resident. Fully fledged young April 18.
 - 118. Scops asio. Screech Owl. Not common.
- 119. Syrnium nebulosum. Barred Owl. The commonest species of this family.
- 120. Circus cyaneus hudsonius. Marsii Harrier. Rather common migrant.
- 121. **Elanoides forficatus**. SWALLOW-TAILED KITE. Once noted, April 15.
- 122. Accipiter fuscus. Sharp-shinned Hawk.—Seen occasionally. Migrant.
- 123. Accipiter cooperi. Cooper's Hawk.—Rare. Met with once.
- 124. Buteo aquilinus. Red-tailed Hawk.—Quite common resident. Young about three weeks old taken May 2.
- 125. Buteo lineatus. Red-shouldered Hawk. Not common. Several noted.

- 126. Cathartes aura. Turkey Buzzard. Common resident.
- 127. Ectopistes migratoria. WILD PIGEON. A flock of seven seen on April 6.
- 128. Zenædura carolinensis. Turtle Dove. Common resident.
- 129. Meleagris gallopavo. WILD TURKEY. Said to have been very common, but now almost killed off.
 - 130. Cupidonia cupido. Prairie Hen. Common resident.
 - 131. Ortyx virginianus. QUAIL. Abundant resident.
 - 132. Philohela minor. Woodcock. Very rare. Met with once.
 - 133. Gallinago wilsoni. Wilson's Snipe. Abundant migrant.
- 134. Limosa fedoa. Marbled Godwit.— Rather rare. Taken May 4.
- 135. Tringoides macularius. Spotted Sandpiper. Common. First noted April 23.
- 136. Numenius longirostris. Long-billed Curlew. Common. First noted April 1.
 - 137. Ardea herodias. GREAT BLUE HERON. Rather common.
 - 138. Ardea virescens. GREEN HERON. Abundant.
- 139. Nyctiardea grisea nævia. NIGHT HERON. Rare. A specimen taken April 27.
- 140. **Botaurus minor.** AMERICAN BITTERN. Common migrant. First noted April 18.
- 141. Grus canadensis. Sandhill Crane. Common migrant. Arrives early in April.
- 142. Porzana carolina. Common Rail. Rather common. First noted April 27.
 - 143. Fulica americana. Coot. Common in April.
 - 144. Branta canadensis. WILD GOOSE. Abundant migrant.
- 145. Querquedula discors. Blue-winged Teal. Common migrant. Noted April 3.
- 146. Querquedula carolinensis. Green-winged Teal. Common migrant.
- 147. Spatula clypeata. Shoveller. Abundant migrant. Noted April 15.
- 148. Podilymbus podiceps. Dab-chick. Common. Probably breeds.

THE ROCKY MOUNTAIN GOLDEN-EYE (BUCEPHALA ISLANDICA.)

BY T. M. BREWER.

It appears to be a somewhat remarkable fact that this form of Golden-eye, now ascertained to be a species so almost exclusively North American, should have been so little known to our earlier ornithological writers; and it is hardly less surprising, — when we call to mind that nearly half a century ago Dr. Richardson, in "Fauna Boreali-Americana," and only three years afterwards Mr. Nuttall (Water Birds, p. 444), assigned our Rocky Mountain region as the habitat of this species during its season of reproduction, - that its true character and history and geographical abode should have been so imperfectly understood up to the present time. In the ninth volume of the "Pacific Railroad Reports" its habitat is given as, — "Iceland and northern parts of America. In winter not rare on the St. Lawrence." In the "Key to North American Birds," we read: "Arctic America to the N. States in winter, not common. Also N. Europe." In the "Birds of the Northwest," while it is said to "probably breed in the Rocky Mountains of the United States," we also find it stated to be "the most northerly species of the genus, having apparently a circumpolar distribution, breeding only l in high latitudes, and penetrating but a limited distance south in winter." The same writer, in "Field-Notes on the Birds of Montana," etc., was "greatly interested" to find this species "breeding in the Rocky Mountains," and mentions it as "the first recorded instance of the occurrence of the species, during the breeding season, in the United States."

This species entirely escaped the notice of Wilson. It is only mentioned, or referred to, by Bonaparte as a European species, and although given by Richardson and Nuttall, was overlooked by Andubon, or only regarded as a curious variety of the common Golden-eye. Nuttall refers to it as the "Rocky Mountain Golden-eye," a very appropriate name, mentions its breeding in these mountains, and as having the same habits as the common species. As he makes no reference to Richardson, it is probable that what he states is based on his own observations.

Although alpine, there is absolutely nothing to authorize us in regarding this species as "circumpolar," or even "arctic," if by arctic it is intended to imply that it breeds chiefly within the Arctic Circle. It is not known to occur in any part of Asia, is unknown in Russia, and has never been known to breed in any part of Europe, except Iceland, where it is resident, and restricted to a very small district of that island. No specimen has ever been taken in Great Britain, and it is unknown to the rest of Europe except as a very rare straggler. Four individuals are recorded as having been taken at different times on different parts of the coast of Norway, and one in Southern Spain, but these five seem to comprise all of its European record outside of Iceland.

In North America its distribution appears to be not only throughout the northern portions of the continent from Greenland, on the east, to the Yukon region on the west, but it is now also known to breed throughout the mountain ranges as far south, at least, as Southern Colorado, in latitude 38°; and although the fact has not been positively ascertained, there seems no good reason to doubt that it also breeds among the high mountain ranges that lie farther south, in New Mexico and Arizona. Its abundance in Colorado is not in harmony with its being regarded as an exclusively Northern species. After Richardson and Nuttall, Dr. J. G. Cooper appears to have been the first of our ornithologists to put upon the record the presence of this Duck among the western mountain ranges of the United States. In the "American Naturalist" (III, p. 83), in an article entitled "The Fauna of Montana Territory," Dr. Cooper mentions his having seen a number of dark-headed Ducks which he refers to this species, and no doubt correctly, although he was not able to procure an example.

Reinhardt has also recorded the *islandicus* as a bird of Greenland, where, as he states, it breeds in South Greenland, and has been procured in the neighborhood of both Godthaab and Nenortalik. Holböll states that in Greenland its range is restricted to the space between 63° 45′ and 64° 30′. North of this the natives do not know it at all; so that its northern limit is two degrees south of the Arctic Circle. In Maine and New Brunswick a few pairs are found each summer undoubtedly breeding, though no nests have been detected, as far south as the forty-fifth degree. Mr. George A. Boardman informs me that they are somewhat rare in the neighborhood of Calais, but become much more common on the St. Croix

River in the winter. Examples have been procured, from time to time, in the Boston market, by Mr. William Brewster and others, most of which are known to have been shot within the limits of Massachusetts.

Mr. E. W. Nelson states, that it is a winter resident in the waters of Lake Michigan, and that at this season it is also found irregularly throughout the State of Illinois. An example was procured on the Wabash, near Mount Carmel, by Prof. Stein, in December, 1874, and Dr. Hoy procured one in 1860 at Racine. They are believed to be not uncommon on Lake Michigan during the winter, but the season is not favorable either for procuring them or ascertaining just how common they are.

In 1872, Mr. Henshaw procured two examples on Utah Lake, and he is quite inclined to the belief that this species occurs there regularly, and in considerable numbers. He was assured by the gunners that more or less were shot there every winter, though it was a less abundant species than the common Golden-eye, from which they could readily distinguish it.

Mr. Edwin Carter, of Colorado, who was probably the first to actually secure the nest and eggs of this species within the limits of the United States, assures me that he has been for many years well aware of the common presence of this species among the mountains of that region. A fine set of seven eggs procured by that gentleman are now in the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy at Cambridge. Mr. Carter writes me, that "the usual nest complement of Barrow's Golden-eye is from six to ten, varying with the age and vigor of the parents. Last year (1876) we took a nest of ten that contained large embryos; we also took another set of six. Another clutch (the one sent to the Museum) consisted of seven. I have also met with several young broods with from six to eight, and one with ten. They nest in hollow trees, and it is surprising to see to what small cavities, in some instances, they can accommodate themselves. The present season (1877) I have examined a great many trees, and every one that had a suitable opening either contained an occupant or indicated recent nesting by egg-shells and other marks."

This Duck has not been detected in California, but Dr. Cooper thinks that it undoubtedly occurs among the mountains of the northeastern part of the State. Mr. Dall found it present but rare on the Yukon River. Examples were procured by Bischoff at Sitka, and a single individual was taken by Mr. M. McLeod, June 29, 1863,

in the vicinity of Fort Anderson. On the 14th of June, in the following year (1864), Mr. Macfarlane secured a fine male example at Fort Anderson. This individual had been in the habit of flying over the fort for several evenings in succession, and was, at length, secured on a small lake just behind the reservation. The female had her nest somewhere in the vicinity, but cluded all their endeavors to discover the place. Mr. Macfarlane speaks of this species as the rarest of the Ducks that visit those parts.

Mr. C. W. Sheperd, in his account of his visit to Iceland, mentions finding this Duck breeding on a small island in the Lake of My'Vatn, in the northern part of that island. The little islet was occupied exclusively by two species, this Golden-eye and the Mergus serrator. The soil was composed of broken lava, and both species were breeding in holes. Some of their nests were quite out of reach, in the cracks and crevices of the lava. The two species were living together on the most familiar terms. One female Merganser was actually found sitting on a nest not her own, and which contained four eggs belonging to a Golden-eye; the differences between the eggs of the two species, being strongly marked, admitted of no possibility of confounding them.

The habits of the Rocky Mountain Golden-eye essentially resemble those of the more common species, but it is said by Holböll to be not so good a diver as that bird. It cannot dive in deeper water than the Harlequin, and is generally to be met with only on fjords. He also describes it as the most wary of all the Water-fowl, and it is with the greatest difficulty that one can approach within gunshot range of it. His collectors, in order to procure specimens, were compelled to conceal themselves near where it feeds, on nights when the moon gave light enough for them to see to shoot. In the spring it appeared in pairs, but flew so high that it was seldom shot.

Two eggs of this species in the Smithsonian collection, from the Yukon, measure, one 2.40×1.60 inches, and the other 2.40×1.70 . Two others from Iceland, in the same collection, measure 2.55×1.80 , and 2.45×1.80 . They are of a uniform deep grayish pea-green color.

Dr. Krüper (Naumannia, 1857, p. 40), states that in Iceland it commences breeding in May or early in June, and that eggs may be found until the middle of July. Its nest cannot be mistaken for that of any other Duck, as the down with which it is lined is pure white. The female sits so close that she may usually be captured on

the nest. The eggs, from nine to twelve in number, resemble those of the common Golden-eye, but are larger.

In my own collection I have four examples, all of them well identified, but all from Iceland. These vary from a grayish-green to a bright sea-green; the faded hue of the former is perhaps due to age and exposure. These measure 2.49×1.80 ; 2.44×1.74 ; 2.50×1.85 ; 2.50×1.75 .

The set of seven eggs belonging to the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy at Cambridge, Mr. Allen describes to me as having a pale bluish-green color, or "sea-green." Their measurements are, 2.60×1.68 ; 2.58×1.70 ; 2.48×1.70 ; 2.48×1.70 ; 2.45×1.72 ; 2.43×1.70 ; 2.43×1.66 .

NOTES ON SOME MINNESOTA BIRDS.

BY. T. S. ROBERTS.

The following species have been selected for brief note at this time, either because they seem to offer points of special interest, or because previous notices have been particularly unsatisfactory. So far as the writer is aware, the two varieties, Alice's Thrush and Ridgway's Sparrow, are here given from Minnesota for the first time. Most of the observations have been made in the vicinity of Minneapolis, and this locality is to be understood when no other is specified.

- 1. Turdus swainsoni aliciæ. Gray-cheeked Thrush. As was expected, this form, as well as *swainsoni* proper, is found here. I have several specimens taken about Minneapolis, the first on May 11, 1876. The species is a summer resident, and breeds; but is not very common, being much outnumbered by *T. fuscescens*.
- 2. Coturniculus lecontei. LeConte's Bunting.—Three regular notices of the occurrence here of LeConte's Bunting have appeared; but as the bird is one to which much interest attaches, a fourth and perhaps fuller account may not be unacceptable.

The species was first secured on June 20, 1877, by Mr. C. L. Herrick. Between this date and August 15 of the same year five more specimens were taken, one by Mr. Herrick, two by Mr. R. S. Williams, and two by the writer. A brief notice of the capture of Mr. Herrick's two specimens appeared at the time.*

^{*} Bull. Minn. Geol. and Nat. Hist. Sur., 1876, p. 237.

These six birds are all that have been taken up to the present date, and are all that have been identified with certainty. They were found in a small, ditched meadow, on the outskirts of the city of Minneapolis. The meadow was quite swampy in places, supported a heavy growth of grass, and was dotted here and there with clumps of swamp willows. The locality was a good one for birds, the immediate neighbors of the Buntings being Savanna, Song, and Swamp Sparrows, Marsh Wrens (both species), Maryland Yellow-throats, Bobolinks, Red-winged Blackbirds, a few Virginia Rails, and others, all of which were breeding in various parts of the meadow. The LeConte's Bunting had undoubtedly bred here, also. But in view of the repeated and careful search that was made it does not seem possible that there could have been above one or two pairs and their progeny. The song, as well as the manner in which it is delivered, closely resembles the ordinary effort of the Yellow-winged Sparrow. While on the ground, among the long grass, they utter a rapid, smothered chirping, which may be interrupted at times by the song proper. They are hard to flush from the grass, and each successive attempt grows more difficult.

Through the kindness of Messrs. Herrick and Williams, I have had the opportunity of examining their four specimens and comparing them with mine. There are three adults in full plumage and three young birds. the latter were taken at dates considerably apart, and probably belonged to the same brood, they present some points of interest in regard to the plumage of the first year. The youngest, taken on June 20, shows distinet maxillary streaks, and the breast is streaked entirely across for a distance of half an inch. The color of the whole under parts and broad edgings of the feathers above is a pale, brassy yellow. There is no indication of the collar of mingled chestnut and grayish, nor of the buff of the anterior under parts. In the second specimen, taken August 1, the maxillary streaks and breast-markings have become indistinct, while in the third, taken August 8, the former have disappeared entirely and the latter are confined to the sides. This last bird also approaches the adult in an imperfect separation of the under parts into the buffy anterior and light posterior areas, in the appearance of rufous on a few of the feathers of the back, and in the whitening of the back part of the median line of the crown.

Nothing certain was heard of the species during the summer of 1878. The notices of Dr. P. L. Hatch (Bull. Minn. Acad. Nat. Sci., 1878, p. 345), and of Mr. W. L. Tiffany (Am. Nat. XII., July, 1878, p. 471), are based upon the capture of these six specimens.

3. Zonotrichia leucophrys intermedia. RIDGWAY'S SPARROW. — On October 5, 1877, while collecting along a thick hedge between two fields, I shot two specimens of this variety of the White-crowned Sparrow. Together with others of the species, they were in company with many White-throats, Snow-birds, and a few Lincoln's Finches and Tree Sparrows. On the 17th of the following May (1878) three more

were secured in similar situations. Again, on May 6, 1879, I shot two specimens, and the next day obtained the eighth. Four had been taken on the 5th, by Mr. R. S. Williams. These specimens are all plainly typical var. *intermedia*. Two individuals, however, have been taken, that show a loral pattern intermediate between this and var. *leucophrys*.

This repeated occurrence of *intermedia* at Minneapolis, in Eastern Minnesota, considerably extends its range, since the locality is far east of its ascribed regular habitat.

The Eastern form also occurs here as an uncommon migrant, and Mr. Trippe gives it as common and breeding in the west-central part of the State.

- 4. Zonotrichia querula. Harris's Firch.— This is now to be regarded as a regular, and at times common migrant. It is especially numerous in the fall, when the young birds, in their peculiar dress, are to be found in all open brushy places. A small proportion of the fall birds have the black hood, and are in most respects just like the spring birds. In the spring it passes quickly northward during the second and third weeks of May, and appears here again between September 25 and October 15, when it is much less hurried in its movements. I have never heard any song from them except upon one occasion. That was in the fall, when a bird in the plumage of the year uttered a low, continuous warble as it sat on the top of a brush-pile. This was repeated many times, and reminded one somewhat of the subdued singing of the Tree Sparrow, often heard in the early spring.
- 5. Spizella pallida. CLAY-COLORED BUNTING. One of our common and characteristic Sparrows. Arrives the last week of April, breeds in the latter part of May and in June, and retires with the fall passage of Sparrows in September and October.
- 6. Melospiza lincolni. Lincoln's Finch.—Occurs at Minneapolis during the migration. Common during the last week of September and the first week of October, 1876, and again at the same time in 1877. They frequent hedges, patches of weeds, borders of woods, and similar situations.
- 7. Picoides arcticus. Arctic Woodpecker. During the second week of July, 1877, I found this Woodpecker rather common about the North Pacific Junction, Carlton County. The timber in that locality is mostly evergreens, white-birch, and tamarack, with numerous tracts of dead trees. The birds had evidently bred there. The young at that time were nearly full grown, and associated together in twos and threes. They were quite tame, showing no fear either at noise or at one's presence. In the young males taken, yellow feathers were already beginning to appear on the crown. At Minneapolis it is an uncommon winter visitant, but occasionally remains late in spring. I have seen a specimen taken here the second week in May.
 - 8. Sphyrapicus varius. Yellow-bellied Woodpecker. Com-

mon at Minneapolis during migrations, and in the hard-wood timber of the State during the breeding season. I found a nest on an island in Lake Minnetonka, Hennepin County, May 17, 1876; and in July, 1875, the birds, old and young, were numerous in Wright County.

9. Gallinula galeata. FLORIDA GALLINULE. — From being at first considered rare, the Florida Gallinule has been gradually coming more into notice, until now it must be looked upon as breeding here in considerable numbers. On the 3d of June, 1878, I collected a nest and eleven eggs, and on the eighth found five more nests in the same locality, four of which contained nine eggs each, and the fifth seven. There were thus fifty-four eggs in the six nests.

These nests were all in a large, reedy slough, lying in the Minnesota River bottom, a few miles from Minneapolis. They were placed in patches of old wild-rice stubble, and were built up on a floating foundation of reed and rice stems, so as to be high enough to keep the inside of the nest dry. Coarse rushes and reeds were used in building, much of the material being so long that only one end entered into the construction of the nest, the remainder hanging in the water. Aside from the contents, the nest can be distinguished from that of the Coot (Fulica americana) only by the fact that it is smaller, and that finer material is used in its construction. Eleven Coots' nests found on the same days as the Gallinules' nests mentioned above, were precisely similar in situation and style of structure to the Gallinules'. In one instance, some grass that had grown up around a Gallinule's nest was slightly woven together above it, as if to imitate the bower-like coverings formed above some Rails' nests.

There are in Southern Minnesota scores of just such sloughs as the one in which these nests were found, and if six pairs (probably many more) bred in this one, it is certainly fair to conclude that the Gallinule breeds commonly in Minnesota. It has been taken in the fall in several other localities and found breeding in one other.

MELOSPIZA MELODA AND ITS ALLIES.

BY H. W. HENSHAW.

The Song Sparrow, as it occurs throughout the length and breadth of the United States and the adjoining regions, offers one of the most instructive studies of the workings of geographical variation that is to be found. Others of our species may be named that are possessed of a similarly extensive range, but in none is the tendency to split up into races — well illustrated as it is in many others — so well exemplified as in the case of this Sparrow.

The Song Sparrow, although apparently nowhere a permanent resident in the strictest meaning of the term, that is to the extent of the same individuals remaining in the same locality throughout the year, unless indeed *insignis* of the Alaskan islands furnish the exception, is yet migratory to but a limited extent, and it is probable that the change of locality with the migrations is in the instance of none of its forms at all marked. It is doubtless to this localization, with the ensuing constantly exerted influence of the same conditions of environment, that we are to attribute the extraordinary tendency in this bird to develop into races and offshoots, according as its range brings it under differing conditions. As Dr. Coues happily phrases it, "Migration holds species true; localization lets them slip." And nowhere do we meet a better illustration of this aphorism than is presented by this Sparrow.

A recent examination of the extremely large collection of Song Sparrows in the Smithsonian Institution, together with many others furnished by friends for comparison, has developed some facts that appear to be of sufficient value and interest to warrant brief mention.

Here, as elsewhere, the variation through which the forms are indicated are of two kinds, viz. a variation in size, and also in coloration; nor is it easy to say in which direction the change is most pronounced.

The only Song Sparrow found in the Eastern United States, and which extends from the coast as far west as Nebraska and the Indian Territory, is the *M. meloda*, and of all the forms into which the species subdivides, except perhaps *insignis*, this appears to be the most constant in the maintenance of its peculiarities over its wide habitat. So far as color goes, the variation appears to be scarcely noticeable; nor is the change in size very marked. Such as it is, it appears to bear out the general rule of an average increase of size to the northward. This law, it may be remarked here, appears to be equally applicable to all the other races. The general sameness in the topographical conditions of the eastern region, and the resulting similarity of climate, is doubtless the chief cause of the slight departure from type to be observed in *meloda* throughout its range.

The Rocky Mountains, as far to the north as Oregon and to the south as our southern border, and the intermediate region west to the Sierras, are occupied by the var. fullax. This bird is dis-

tinguishable from meloda by a generally paler tone of coloration, by a decided increase of size, especially of wing and tail, and by a slightly longer and considerably more slender bill. The intergradation of this form with meloda is readily traceable and complete.

From the very varied nature of the country occupied by fallax, it being broken up by lofty mountain ranges, and the consequent differences of climate, considerable discrepancies might naturally be expected in specimens of this race from different localities. Such proves to be the case; and, in examining a large series, the attention is often arrested by some slight phase of color which is often so intangible as to practically elude definition, but which is occasionally sufficient to identify all the individuals from some one limited neighborhood. So frequently, in fact, is this impression received, that it would almost appear as if each locality in the middle region furnished a type of its own, exhibiting the main characteristics of fallar, but differing more or less appreciably. Thus the region of the Gila River affords a style of this race quite distinct from any other. The principal variation seen is in the very pale reddish tints, with scarcely a trace of dusky, which is especially noticeable in the markings of the breast. Another phase from Camp Harney, Oregon, is remarkable for its pale gravish tints. Such inter-races doubtless result from causes very local in their action, and are so slight and usually so inconstant as to deserve nothing more than passing comment.

Reaching the foothills of the Sierras, we find fallar beginning to assume new characters, and in the mountains and along the western foothills it finally merges into var. heermanni. This form is distinguished by a much darker shade of brown than either fallax or meloda possesses, and by a bill much stouter than in the former, but less robust than in the latter. Heermanni has usually been considered the Californian Song Sparrow, the term thus including indifferently the birds from the coast and the interior. But this is a mistake. The type, now before me, came from Fort Tejon, and it is in the interior only that the style to which this name was applied is met with.

Reaching the coast, another form is for the first time encountered. This is the var. samuelis, of which the gouldii of Baird, as correctly determined by Mr. Ridgway, is the fall plumage. Hitherto some three or four individuals from the vicinity of San Francisco

have been taken as representing all that was known of *samuelis*. But no fewer than forty-six specimens are now at hand that agree well with the type, and are unquestionably referable here.

In point of fact, it is *samuelis* alone that occurs in summer along and near the Californian coast, and nearly all published accounts of the habits, nesting, etc. of the Song Sparrow of California are to be taken as referring to it.

This form rests chiefly upon its small size, it being considerably the smallest of all the races, and the very dark, almost black, color of its prominent streakings. But it is upon a basis of size alone that it can be separated from heermanni, both agreeing in essential points of coloration. In fact, the question might well be raised whether it is necessary to recognize by distinct names two forms from this region. I have, on the whole, deemed it expedient to do so, as the difference of size, especially of bill, in specimens from the respective habitats of the two is pronounced and quite constant, readily sufficing in the great majority of cases for their identification. Thus, in over thirty specimens of heermanni from Stockton, kindly furnished by Mr. Belding, I find no marked differences, and all agree in comparatively large size and stout bills.

A series of nine males of *samuelis* from Oakland, for the opportunity of examining which I am indebted to the courtesy of Mr. D. S. Bryant, are similarly constant to the coast type, so far as size is concerned, but vary somewhat in coloration. The differences are chiefly as to number and size of the black markings below. Two of the nine are the darkest, and on the whole the most typical, examples of *samuelis* that I have seen.

Of the var. mexicana, Ridgway, from Southern Mexico, little can be said, since the name rests upon a single specimen. This appears to be recognizable from the other races by its rather peculiar coloration, the streakings being very broad as well as black and by its smaller (except samuelis) size. More specimens are necessary to determine its true relations.

Var. guttata next invites attention. This is characterized by a generally darker, more rufescent type of color; the streaks on the dorsum are very indistinct, in some specimens almost wanting. The bill is proportionately more slender than in any of the preceding forms. The typical home of this variety is the Columbia River region, coastwise. But long before this point is reached, evidence is afforded by specimens of intermediate character of the change to

occur farther to the north. Thus, fall and winter specimens from Nicasio, migrants from more northern localities, are noticeably intermediate in colors between samuelis and guttata; while during the past season I obtained specimens in Oregon, at the base of the eastern slope of the Cascades, — thus approximating the habitat of fallax, — that hold a similar relation to that central region form, the two races to the northward evidently passing by insensible stages into guttata.

Var. rufina is simply guttata, with its peculiarities carried a step or two farther, corresponding with increased latitude. The rufous of guttata becomes in typical rufina a reddish sepia-brown; the size is somewhat larger, the bill rather more slender. Such is rufina as found about Sitka and to an uncertain distance southward.

Upon certain, perhaps all, of the Alaskan islands occurs insignis. This gigantic Sparrow is distinguished, in addition to its great size, by a much paler, grayer phase of color than its nearest geographical neighbor, rufina. The streaks, instead of being nearly or quite obsolete as in that form, are well defined and of an umber-brown.

Of insignis, Baird and Ridgway say: "Between M. melodia of the Atlantic States and M. insignis of Kodiak the difference seems wide, but the connecting links in the inter-regions bridge this over so completely that, with a series of hundreds of specimens before us, we abandon the attempt at specific separation." It needs but a glance to determine that the var. rufina is nearer insignis by many degrees than the meloda of the East, and, as has been indicated, nothing is wanting in the chain of evidence to establish the connection between rufina and meloda. But while admitting the possibility, perhaps even probability, that the relations between insignis and rufina may be as close as that of races, we feel justified in asserting that the intergradation necessary to establish this cannot be shown from the material accoumulated up to the present time. Measurements appended below demonstrate that between the largest specimen of rufina in the collection and the smallest insignis there is a by no means inconsiderable gap. Nor does there appear to be any known law of geographical variation by which this discrepancy of size can be accounted for.

The law of increase of size with increased latitude, while applying to the preceding members of this group, fails of application in the case of *insignis*; since Sitka, the metropolis of *rufina*, is in the same latitude with Kodiak, that of *insignis*; while one specimen of *rufina*,

and that by no means the largest, is present from Lituya Bay, which is slightly farther north than Kodiak. Possibly its insular habitat may be deemed sufficient to account for the marked peculiarities of this giant among Sparrows. So far, in fact, as color is concerned, although in this respect insignis is well marked, the step from rafina appears an inconsiderable one as compared with that of size. But, as has been stated, no intermediate specimens are at hand to prove such a close relationship, and, as analogy in cases like the present has proved far from being always a safe guide, I deem it safer to let insignis stand upon its merits until its claim to distinct specific rank be actually disproven.

The following measurements are given to show the average size of the various races:—

the various races. —					
	Wing.	Tail.	Bill.	Tarsus.	${\bf Depth\ of\ Bill}.$
M. meloda (21 specimens),	2.60	2.80	.46	.83	.30
Largest individual,	2.77	2.90	.47	.78	.29
Smallest "	2.32	2.60	.45	.78	.30
Var. fallax (23 specimens),	2.69	2.94	.44	.84	.25
Largest individual,	2.92	3.17	.43	.83	.27
Smallest "	2.35	2.52	.43	.77	,25.
Var. heermanni (13 specimens),	2.61	2.82	.45	.84	.28
Largest individual,	2,80	2.93	.46	.84	.28
Smallest "	2.45	2.70	.45	.83	.24
Var. samuelis (46 specimens),	2.41	2.48	.45	.84	.26
Largest individual,	2.60	2.64	.45	.86	.26
Smallest "	2.13	2.23	.40	.76	.23
Var. mexicana (1 specimen),	2.52	2.83	.46	.87	.26
Var. guttata (23 specimens),	2.63	2.89	.44	.90	.26
Largest individual,	2.78	3.14	.46	.93	
Smallest "	2.42	2.59	.45	,83	
Var. rufina (7 specimens),	2.78	2.87	.48	.92	.26
Largest individual,	2.96	3.12	.52	.93	.29
Smallest "	2.61	2.64	.43	.87	.25
M. insignis (20 specimens),	3.26	3.36	.61	1.07	.30
Largest individual,	3.40	3.40	.50	1.13	.32
Smallest "	3.13	3,35	.57	1.07	.29

A PARTIAL LIST OF THE BIRDS OF FORT KLAMATH, OREGON, COLLECTED BY LIEUTENANT WILLIS WITTICH, U. S. A., WITH ANNOTATIONS AND ADDITIONS BY THE COLLECTOR.

BY EDGAR A. MEARNS.

This article is compiled from notes and collections forwarded to me, at different times, by Lieutenant Willis Wittich, during his residence of four years at Fort Klamath. The fort is situated in Jackson County, in Southwestern Oregon. Latitude, 42° 43'; longitude 121° 55"; * altitude, 4,200 feet above the sea, - above the limit of oak-trees. It is on the eastern margin of a valley in the Cascade Mountains. The valley runs north and south, is about twenty miles long, and is seven miles wide at the point where the post is located. Toward the south it widens somewhat, and extends to Upper Klamath Lake, about seven miles distant. High hills and mountains wall in the valley on the north, east, and west. Among them, Scott's Peak is the most prominent to the north, Mount Pitt in the range to the east, and farther south in the same range is seen, rising in the distance, the perpetually snow-clad summit of Mount Shasta. Williamson's River, flowing from the northeastward, empties into Upper Klamath Lake at a point about twelve miles from the post. There are, besides, six streams, the waters of all of which, excepting one, are of crystal clearness. which flow through the valley within a short distance of the post. Ducks, Geese, and other Water-fowl, abound upon the lake and marshes in the neighborhood of Wood River, at all seasons of the year. The country is well forested in most places. The trees are of large size, and mainly evergreens, -- pine, fir, spruce, and juniper, - with a mingling of aspens.

Upper Klamath Lake is one of a chain of large lakes composing the Klamath Basin. These lakes abound in shoal water and low islands, and are frequently bordered by marshes of *tule*. "These wide surfaces, densely covered with rushes, afford most convenient retreats for a large number of swimming and wading birds,

^{*} Extracted from the Official Record of the Quartermaster Department of the Army.

VOL. IV.

which nest and pass the summer there."* Ducks, Geese, Herons, Plovers, and Sandpipers are exceedingly abundant. Dr. J. S. Newberry, quoted above, thus describes (l. e., p. 101) the arrival of the Water-fowl in autumn: "With the first October rain, vegetation begins to spring over all these prairies, and the Geese and Ducks now come in. Flock after flock in increasing numbers they come, until their flights rival those of the Passenger Pigeon, and the heavens are always marked by their characteristic triangles and the air filled with their cries. The Ducks descend to the bays, streams, and lakes, and almost cover the smaller bodies of water, while the Geese settle on the prairie and feed upon the fallen grain of the oat, or the first tender sprigs of springing grass, which now begins to tinge the landscape with green."

Mrs. Wittich thus describes the bird islands in the lake: "Looking toward the lake there were seen two or three white hills that I should have supposed were snow-covered mountains, did they not have a background of higher mountains that were only streaked at the top with snow; but the cause of my perplexity was explained on being told that it was the island in the lake, which is perfectly bare, and rises to a considerable height. During the breeding season it is covered with the eggs of Water-birds of many species."

The present list is necessarily very incomplete, and probably does not include much more than one half of the species that actually visit the Klamath Basin.

Below is given a list of specimens, together with biographical notes and notices of other species that have been positively ascertained to occur by Lieutenant Wittich. A number of additional species are included on the authority of Dr. Henry McElderry, Assistant Surgeon, U. S. A., whose observations and collections were made contemporaneously with those of Lieutenant Wittich, and are officially recorded, at the post hospital, as "Birds personally identified by Dr. Henry McElderry, Post Surgeon."

I take this means of expressing to Lieutenant Wittich my warmest thanks for his constant efforts to procure rare specimens and important facts relating to the ornithology of his locality, as well as for many similar favors.

I am also indebted to Mr. Henry W. Henshaw, for his kindness in examining several specimens, and the determination of certain obscure varieties, besides some important suggestions, which his

^{*} Dr. J. S. Newberry, Pacific Railroad Report, Vol. VI, Pt. 1V, p. 99, 1857.

generosity and recent field experience in this region, in connection with the "Geographical Survey West of the 100th Meridian," enabled him to make.

- 1. Turdus migratorius propinquus, Ridgway. WESTERN ROBIN. Mostly a summer resident. A few stay all winter. Their food consists largely of worms. Their nests are built on prairies, on the ground, or in timber, low down (Wittich).
- 2. Turdus nævius (*Pennant*). VARIED THRUSH. Early in the spring the species was numerous in the aspen-trees, and a number of them were shot, which were pronounced to be excellent eating by a sick lady in the garrison (*Wittich*).
- 3. Sialia mexicana, Swainson. WESTERN BLUEBIRD; MEXICAN BLUEBIRD.—No. 3, & ad., May, 1875. Found in low situations. Its flight is undulatory, and seldom more than a few feet from the ground (Wittich).
- 4. Parus montanus, Gambel. MOUNTAIN CHICKADEE. No. 4, ad., May, 1875. An abundant summer resident (Henshaw). Very common in winter. In this locality they feed on the refuse meat from butchering, as do the Magpies (Wittich).
- 5. Sitta carolinensis aculeata, Cassin. SLENDER-BILLED NUT-HATCH. June, 1875 (McElderry).*
- 6. Sitta canadensis, Linné. Red-Bellied Nuthatch.—No. 78, Q ad., May 9, 1878; No. 79, & ad., May 9, 1878; No. 75, & ad., 1878. Found in the mountains (Wittich). Abundant (Henshaw).
- 7. Sitta pygmæa, Vigors. Pigmy Nuthatch. No. 5, ad., 1875. Found in the pine-trees in the mountains, in company with the former (Wittich).
- 8. Troglodytes domesticus parkmani. Audubon. Western House Wren. A nest was found in a cavity in a mortise in the frame of a barn, May 25, 1878. The mortise was too deep and narrow to allow the bird to be taken off with the hand, and, in removing her with a stick, the mother fought so fiercely as to break part of the eggs; the residue being secured, together with the parent (Wittich).

Note. — The Rock Wren (Salpinetes obsoletus, Say) has been found at Klamath Lake, by Dr. J. S. Newberry.†

- 9. Eremophila alpestris var. ———? Shore Lark; Horned Lark.
- * Lieutenant Wittich observed numbers of them while encamped in the Blue Mountains, Columbia Co., Washington Territory, in the winter of 1878-9. This species and the following, with the Mountain Chickadee, were very gentle; sometimes visiting the interior of the tents, and subsisting upon refuse from the soldiers' tables, and upon scraps of bacon placed upon trees for their use.

[†] Pacific Railroad Report, Vol. VI, Pt. IV, p. 80, 1859.

- 10. Anthus ludovicianus (*Gmelin*). American Titlark; Pipit; Brown Lark.— An exact duplicate of Eastern specimens.
- 11. Dendræca æstiva (*Gmelin*). Yellow Warbler; Summer Yellowbird.—No. 7, 3 ad., June 15, 1875; No 69, 3 ad., May 13, 1878. "Numerous; found in the aspen-trees" (*Wittich*). Not differing from the Eastern bird.
- 12. Dendræca auduboni (*Townsend*). Audubon's Warbler.— No. 8, & ad., May 5, 1875; No. 9, & ad., May 5, 1875; No. 71, & ad., April 29, 1878. A common summer resident of the mountains (*Henshaw*).
- 13. Geothlypis philadelphia macgillivrayi (Audubon). Macgillivray's Warbler. No. 10, Q ad., spring of 1875. A not uncommon summer resident (Henshaw).
- 14. Myiodioctes pusillus pileolatus, Ridgway. Western Green Black-Capped Flycatching Warbler. No. 11, & ad., April 25, 1875; No. 70, & ad., April 29, 1878. These specimens are distinctly Ridgway's "var. pileolatus." They differ from Eastern specimens in being much brighter yellow beneath, scarcely tinged with olive on sides; frontal band and throat with a suffusion of orange; pil-um with brighter steelblue, metallic gloss. Numerous; found in the willows by Wood River (Wittich).
- 15. Pyranga ludoviciana (*Wilson*). Crimson-Headed Tanager; Louisiana Tanager. No. 12, & ad., June 15, 1875; No. 13, & ad., spring of 1875. A not very abundant summer resident (*Wittich*).
- 16. Hirundo erythrogastra horreorum, Barton. Barn Swallow. June 15, 1875 (McElderry). A summer resident (Henshaw).
- 17. Tachycineta bicolor (Vieillot). White-Bellied Swallow. No. 14, ♂ ad., April 28, 1875. An abundant species (Wittich).
- 18. Vireo solitarius cassini, Baird. Cassin's Vireo.— Recorded at the hospital as "V. plumbeus." A common species (Henshaw).
- 19. Carpodacus cassini, Baird. Cassin's Purple Finch.—No. 15, ♂ ad., May, 1875; No. 67, ♂ ad., June 4, 1878; No. 68, ♀ ad., May 4, 1878. Shot in the aspen-trees; craws full of seeds, soft and just beginning to sprout.
- 20. Ægiothus linaria (Linné). LESSER REDPOLL. Found in the mountains, May 9, 1878. Craws filled with soft white seeds or buds (Wittich).
- 21. Chrysomitris pinus (Wilson). PINE FINCH; PINE LINNET.—May, 1875 (McElderry). Probably breeds in the mountains (Henshaw).
- 22. Chrysomitris tristis (Linné). American Goldfinch; Thistle-bird; Yellowbird. June, 1875 (McElderry).
- 23. Passerculus savanna alaudinus, Bonaparte. Western Savanna Sparrow.— No. 16, young in autumn, 1875. Abundant summer resident (Henshaw).
 - 24. Poœcetes gramineus confinis, Baird. WESTERN GRASS

Finch. — No. 73, ad., May 7, 1878. A common summer resident (*Henshaw*).

- 25. **Melospiza fasciata fallax**, *Baird*. Rocky Mountain Song-Sparrow. Summer resident (*Henshaw*).
- 26. Junco oregonus (Townsend). OREGON SNOWBIRD. No. 18, & ad., February, 1875; No. 19, & ad., October 29, 1875. The Snowbirds are very abundant in winter. They come close to the quarters, feeding upon the ground beneath the pine-trees, where it is a pleasure to watch them. They are very gentle and familiar, allowing one to approach quite close before flying up into a tree (Wittich).

[As respecting their tameness, they thus appear to be unlike their Eastern cousins (*J. hyemalis*), which are very shy, timorous birds, flying off with a scared twitter whenever approached.]

Common summer residents of the mountains (Henshaw).

- 27. **Spizella socialis arizonæ**, Coues. Western Chipping Sparrow.—June 9, 1875 (McElderry).
- 28. Zonotrichia leucophrys (Forster). WHITE-CROWNED SPARROW. No. 20, ad., April 26, 1875; No. 77, & ad., April 29, 1878. The specimens forwarded are typical leucophrys. Numerous at Klamath (Wittich). This species is probably totally distinct from either Z. gambeli or var. intermedia, and is found in its integrity throughout the breadth of this continent.
- 29. **Zonotrichia coronata** (*Pallas*). Golden-Crowned Sparrow. No. 21, October 29, 1875. Common as a migraut (*Henshaw*).
- 30. Passerella iliaca townsendi (Audubon). Townsend's Sparrow. No. 22, ad., 1875. A migrant (Henshaw).
- 31. Cyanospiza amœna (Say). Lazuli Bunting; Blue Linnet.

 No. 23, & ad., June 15, 1875. Summer resident. Sings exquisitely (Wittich).
- 32. Pipilo maculatus megalonyx, Baird. Long-Clawed Townee. -- October 5, 1875 (McElderry). Summer resident (Henshaw).
- 33. Pipilo chlorurus (*Townsend*). Green-talled Townee; Blanding's Finch.—No. 24, ad., May, 1875. Found in thickets near the river in summer.
- 34. Agelæus phæniceus (Linne). Red-and-buff-shouldered Blackbird. No. 25, & ad., 1876; No. 66, & ad., May 7, 1878; No. 84, Q ad., September 26, 1878.
- 34a. Agelæus phæniceus gubernator (Wagler). Red-and-black-shouldered Blackbird. Lieutenant Wittieh states that both varieties of this species are very abundant summer residents, nesting in great numbers in the tule.
- 35. Xanthocephalus icterocephalus (Bonaparte). YELLOW-IIEADED BLACKBIRD. No. 61, & ad., May 13, 1878. Summer resident (Wittich).
 - 36. Sturnella neglecta, Audubon. Western Meadow-Lark. —

No. 26, & ad., 1876; No. 62, Q ad., May 27, 1878; No. 63, & ad., May 27, 1878. Nos. 62 and 63 are the parents, procured with a set of four fresh eggs, three of which measure, respectively, .86 × 1.06, .85 × 1.04, .87 × 1.05; giving an average of .86 × 1.05. Lieutenant Wittich observes: "In dissecting the female, to observe the condition of the ovary, I noticed quite a large and unusual bulk in the after part of the body. Opening it earefully, I took ont an egg, full-size, and nearly ready to be expelled. There was also one about half-size in the ovary, and others smaller (Wittich). Mrs. Wittich speaks of its song as remarkably fine, and generally uttered while it is perched upon some tall pole on the prairie. She mentions seeing a Meadow-Lark on November 12, 1878.

37. Scolecophagus cyanocephalus (Wagler). ВLUЕ-НЕАDED GRACKLE; ВREWER'S BLACKBIRD. — No. 27, ♂ ad., 1876. A permanent resident. Very numerous (Wittich).

Alluding to the immense numbers of these birds in autumn, Mrs. Wittich's observations are as follows: "September 26, 1878. The Blackbirds (Brewer's) are here in such numbers that one shot brought down twentyeight and another thirty-six birds. They have been present in great numbers about six weeks, and every year they come in clouds about this time, though the species is resident. In early May, 1878, we spent a day in the Klamath Marsh. We saw numbers of both the Red-winged and Brewer's Blackbirds, but they were far less numerous than is now the ease about the post. They settle in the grass, and, if disturbed, fly up in clouds; and as one set of them flies off, another body rises from the same spot, and another, and another, till one can hardly credit one's own evesight. Then, when you reach the spot, the grass is still found to be swarming with them. They stay about the stables, or where the teamsters have camped, or at any place where they can find seeds. They cover our chicken-yard, and keep the trees in its vicinity black with their numbers. Some flocks are so shiny black that they look fairly blue in the sunlight, when upon the wing; others are rather rusty-looking."

- 38. Corvus corax, Linné. RAVEN. Resident (Henshaw).
- 39. Corvus americanus (Audubon). Common Crow. No. 28, ad., 1875; No. 83, & ad., May 5, 1878. The above specimens differ considerably from Eastern specimens of the Crow. Rarely seen in this region (Wittich).
- Dr. J. S. Newberry remarks: "In the Klamath Basin we did not see it, but it appeared again with the oaks on the Des Chutes River." * Lieutenant Wittich characterizes it as excessively shy.

^{*} Pacific Railroad Report, Vol. VI, Pt. IV, p. 82, 1857.

Recent Literature.

BELDING AND RIDGWAY'S BIRDS OF CENTRAL CALIFORNIA.* - In this Bulletin for April, 1878 (Vol. III, pp. 64-68), is a short report on forty-seven species of California birds, by Mr. Ridgway, based on specimens forwarded to the National Museum by Mr. Belding. The present paper continues the subject, and forms a most important contribution to Californian ornithology. It is based, Mr. Ridgway tells us, "upon observations extending through about twenty years' residence in California, and upon collections made chiefly during the last two years, which have, from time to time, been forwarded by Mr. Belding to the National Museum." These collections embrace about 180 species, exclusive of races, and six hundred specimens. Notes on about forty other species are added, raising the whole number of species treated in the list to 220. These are quite fully annotated from Mr. Belding's field notes, while many important technical observations are added by Mr. Ridgway, who is responsible for the identification of the species and the nomenclature adopted. Preceding the list proper is a short account of the several localities at which the collections were made, with tabular lists of the birds observed at each of the more important ones.

The number of species, exclusive of the wading and swimming birds, is 158. An analysis of these, from a geographical stand-point, gives the following interesting generalizations:—(1) About one half of the species are strictly Western, not being found east of the Middle Province. The others are species having what may be termed a continental range; but (2) of these, thirty, or rather more than one third, are exclusively represented on the Pacific Coast by Western varieties or subspecies (in several cases by more than a single variety), while (3) the remainder, constituting about one third of the whole number, represent typically the Eastern stock, but in several instances are also accompanied by strictly Western races. In reference to the large number of Western species, it may be stated that Geothlypis macgillivrayi, Zonotrichia intermedia, Sturnella neglecta, Pica nuttalli, Contopus richardsoni, and Empidonax difficilis are accorded full specific rank, while Sphyropicus ruber and Colaptes mexicanus are treated as subspecies.

As on previous recent occasions, Mr. Ridgway here adopts (and we believe consistently) guttata and ustulatus in place respectively of the more familiar names pallasi and swainsoni for two species of Turdus, and

^{*} A Partial List of the Birds of Central California. By L. Belding of Stocton. Edited by R. Ridgway. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., Vol. I, pp. 388-449. April, 1879.

sandvichensis and fasciata in place of savanna and melodia, respectively, for the Savanna and Song Sparrows. He also seems to have permanently adopted the generic name Asio for Otus, but to have abandoned Nisus for Accipiter.

In respect to the designation of incipient species, Mr. Ridgway uniformly adopts the system advocated by him in his paper on the use of trinomials in zoölogical nomenclature in the present number of the Bulletin (anteà, pp. 129 – 134), and practically introduced by him two years since in his Report on the "Ornithology of the Fortieth Parallel," and in his account of Mrs. Maxwell's Colorado collection (Field and Forest, Vol. II, p. 194 et seq.). Mr. Ridgway, in his discussion of a third term in zoölogical nomenclature, raises no dead issue, although the necessities of the case have already practically forced a decision of the question, so far as this country is concerned. The "American school" of ornithology, and, we may add, nearly all American writers on vertebrate zoölogy, and some on Invertebrates, is a unit on the matter of the general principle involved, though varying slightly as to details of expression. The necessity of a distinction between forms trenchantly defined and those which are conspieuously unlike in their extreme phases of development, but which obviously intergrade, as insisted on by Mr. Ridgway, faithfully reflects, we believe, the feeling and the experience of American ornithologists. The writer of the present review in 1871 opposed * the recognition by binomial names of forms known to intergrade, on the ground that thereby the facts of the ease would fail of proper recognition, since no distinction would thus be made between intergrading forms and trenchantly separated congeneric species, and that a recognition of the laws of geographical variation and a statement of the phases wide-ranging species are prone to manifest at particular localities, and under certain climatic conditions of environment, would sufficiently meet all requirements. This position. however, he very soon abandoned, and in the following year formally recognized, by a third term, a considerable number of intergrading forms among North American birds as geographical races, as was almost simultaneously done by Dr. Coues and Mr. Ridgway.† Dr. Coues, however, is due the credit of suggesting, if not indeed of actually advocating, the adoption of a trinomial system of nomenclature as necessary to a proper recognition of geographical races or incipient species. In referring, as early as August, 1871, to what seemed to him must be the evil results that would follow from recognizing as species only such

^{*} Bull. Mus. Comp. Zoöl., Vol. II, pp. 242-250, April, 1871.

[†] See Cones, Revision of the Species of Myiarchus, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phil., 1872, pp. 56-81, July, 1872; Allen, Ornith. Recon., Bull. Mus. Comp. Zoöl., III, No. 6, July, 1872; Cones, Key N. Am. Birds, October, 1872; Ridgway, Relation between Color and Geographical Distribution in Birds, etc., Am. Jour. Sci., IV, pp. 454-460, December, 1872.

forms as were not known to intergrade, he says: "To our mind, this foreibly illustrates the inefficiency of the Linnæan nomenclature as an adequate method of formulating our knowledge. It answered when a thing was either square or else it was round, — when species were held for fixed facts as separate creations; but now that we know a thing may be neither square nor round, but something between, it is lamentably defective. Not many years hence we trust naturalists will have discarded it for some better method of notation; and then the wonder will be that we advanced so far with such a stumbling-block in the way. Who shall say how much the advance of chemistry, for instance, or of philosophic anatomy, has been facilitated, or indeed rendered possible, by the invention of expressive symbols and apt formulas, or how much of the acknowledged confusion in zoology and botany flows from our cramped method of expressing our views? If we must continue to use a tool so blunt and unhandy as the binomial nomenclature, all cannot be expected to use it with equal skill and effect." In the same connection, in referring to the importance of "recognizing geographical and some other differentiations by name," he adds, " Not necessarily a specific name, but some one additional word, with or without the sign 'var.,' that shall stamp the form we wish to signalize. Perhaps this would be a judicious middle course, most applicable to the present state of the science."* In less than a year from this time a trinomial system was adopted, with the compromise of the sign "var." interposed between the specific and varietal names, by the three writers above named, by at least one of whom the necessity of such a procedure was formally argued. But even much earlier than this "varieties" had more or less frequently been recognized by writers on American birds, even in the sense of geographical forms (notably by Professor Baird, 1858 to 1866) but probably not in the sense of incipient species, in which they were now avowedly recognized. From this date (1872) the practice became general, as is witnessed by almost every work or faunal list relating to the birds of the western half of the continent that has since appeared. In 1876, in referring to the changes in the nomenclature of North American ornithology that had marked the few years immediately preceding that date, the present writer thus referred to the subject of trinomials: "The next step, and apparently a wholly logical one in the revolution, will doubtless be the general adoption of a trinomial system of nomenclature for the more convenient expression of the relationship of what are conventionally termed 'subspecies,' so that we may write, for instance, Falco communis anatum in place of the more cumbersome Falco communis subsp. anatum. This system is already, in fact, to some extent in use here, though looked upon with strong disfavor by our Transatlantic fellow-workers, who seem as yet not fully to understand the nature of the recent rapid advance ornithology has made in

^{*} Amer. Nat., Vol. V, p. 373, and foot-note to p. 371.

this country, or to appreciate the thoroughly substantial character of the evidence on which it is based."* Mr. Ridgway, in fact, had the preceding year (1875) † adopted a purely trinomial system for the designation of local or intergrading forms, superseding it, however, and as we believe unwisely, two years later, by interposing Greek letters between specific and varietal names, the reason for which he appears to have now for the first time made public.

The necessity of trinomials being granted, there still seems to us no reason why the triple name should be rendered needlessly cumbersome by the virtual interposition of a fourth term, as "var.," "subsp.," a Greek letter, or other arbitrary sign, between the specific and varietal names. If anything is to be thus interposed, the designation "var." seems to be the least objectionable, being shorter than "subsp." and less open to complication than any system of arbitrary signs, "var." being of course thus used in a purely technical, and not in the usual "dictionary" sense of the word "variety," just as "family," in its technical use in zoology, has come to have special significance. As Mr. Ridgway observes, the sooner an agreement is reached respecting the method of writing trinomials, the better, and why has not simplicity here great merit? There must, in the nature of the ease, always be diversity of opinion as to how slight a variation should be entitled to nominal recognition; in a polymorphic species, for example, like Melospiza fasciata, the number of namable geographical races may vary, let us say, from three to half a dozen, in accordance with the views or predilections of different writers, or of the same writer at different times, in which case is it probable that the γ or δ of A will be the γ or δ of B or C? To cite a case already in hand, Melospiza fasciata, γ of Ridgway, 1877, is fallax, while Melospiza fasciata, y of Ridgway, 1879, is guttata, and fallax is now " & fallax." The use of the Greek characters by the early systematists, as Linné, Erxleben, Gmelin, etc., being simply a system of numeration, and relating, in nearly nine eases out of ten, to forms of an albinistic or melanistic character, or resulting from domestication or hybridization, seems to have little force as a precedent bearing upon the matter of trinomials as a designation for geographical races or incipient species.

As already stated, Mr. Ridgway was the first to adopt the system of pure trinomials, and we regret to note his divergence therefrom,—especially since they have been since systematically used by Coues in his "Birds of the Colorado Valley," as well as in some of his earlier and contemporaneous papers on birds and mammals, and also by Brewster and other writers, in this Bulletin and elsewhere, and since, furthermore, each month shows a growing tendency to its uniform adoption by American

^{*} Progress of Orn. in the United States, etc., Am. Nat., Vol. X, p. 550, September, 1876.

[†] Proc. Essex Institute, Vols. VI and VIII (separates dated March, 1875).

ornithologists. In response to Mr. Ridgway's call for an expression of opinion on the subject of trinomials, we have taken this occasion to present freely our own view of the ease, — for whatever it may be worth. — J. A. A.

[In reply to Mr. Ridgway's request for the views of other ornithologists, we may state that we are more than ever satisfied of the expediency of using trinomials; and we coincide with Mr. Allen's view that they had better be written "pure and simple." In evidence that we practice what we preach, we refer to the "Birds of the Colorado Valley," and other writings or editings of ours of the past two or three years. We wish that all contributors to this Bulletin would adopt this rule. — E. C.]

CORY ON THE BIRDS OF THE MAGDALEN ISLANDS.* - In a sumptuous little quarto Mr. C. B. Cory has given an account of a summer trip to the Magdalen Islands, in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, undertaken, as he tells us, primarily for ornithological purposes. Part I consists of a general account of the Islands, more especially of the Bird Rocks, the record of a day's sporting on Grindstone Island, and directions how to reach the Magdalen group, etc. Part II gives a list of one hundred and nine species observed or taken by the author, and fifteen others that he believes may be found there at other seasons of the year. The annotations relate mainly to the habits and relative abundance of the species. Especially noteworthy is the occurrence of the Piping Plover (Æqialitis meloda circumcincta), which is stated to be an "abundant species." The specimens brought home by Mr. Cory not only affirm its occurrence there, but represent typically the so-called circumcincta. Less satisfactory is the record of the Cathird (Mimus carolinensis), which is included "with hesitation," and on Mr. Cory's having heard what he "believed to be its peculiar cry." As the Magdalen Islands are far beyond its known or probable range, perhaps it may not be unjust to suggest that stronger evidence may be requisite before it can be properly allowed a place in the fauna of these Islands. Most of the species of the list, it may be stated, are given only on the basis of actual capture. - J. A. A.

ROOSEVELT'S NOTES ON SOME OF THE BIRDS OF OYSTER BAY, LONG ISLAND.—This is a brochure of a single leaf, containing notes on seventeen species, observed at the above-named locality, by Mr. Theodore Roosevelt. The date of publication is "March, 1879." Several of the species are given as rare to the locality, while the observations respecting others are of interest.—J. A. A.

^{*} A Naturalist in the Magdalen Islands; giving a Description of the Islands, and List of the Birds taken there, with other Ornithological Notes. By Charles B. Cory. Illustrated from Sketches by the Author. Boston, 1878. Small 4to. Part 11, Catalogue of Birds taken or observed in the Magdalen Islands, with Notes regarding those found breeding, etc., etc. pp. 33 - 83.

INGERSOLL'S NESTS AND EGGS OF AMERICAN BIRDS. - Part I of Mr. Ingersoll's long-promised work on North American oölogy,* which is now before us, treats of ten species of Thrushes, and gives illustrations of their eggs. The text includes, not only descriptions of the nests and eggs of the species treated, but a full and pleasantly written account of their habits and breeding range. The author shows himself thoroughly familiar with the literature of the subject, and his quotations respecting the species he has not himself had opportunity of studying in the field are in the main selected with commendable judgment. For a popular work on American birds, Mr. Ingersoll could not have chosen a more attractive department of the subject, or one of greater interest to the mass of bird-lovers, and especially to juvenile collectors, whose interest in ornithology begins so frequently with the formation of an egg-cabinet. The text of the Part before us gives promise that the subject will be creditably handled. We wish that we could speak in terms of equal commendation of the chromolithographic plates, which are sadly defective in point of faithfulness to nature and in artistic execution. We understand, however, that better results may be expected in future numbers.

The work is announced to appear in monthly parts, the whole to form probably three volumes of twelve parts each. The work is printed on heavy tinted paper, and in point of typography is everything that need be desired. The nomenclature and arrangement are apparently strictly that of Dr. Coues's "Check-List," the eggs being perhaps numbered to correspond with the "Check-List," the eggs being perhaps numbered to correspond with the "Check-List"; but as there is no direct reference in the text to the figures, some explanation in this regard would have been acceptable, or, better still, the names of the species illustrated might have been placed at the bottom of the plates, in place of the needless legend there borne.—J. A. A.

A REVISED LIST OF BIRDS OF CENTRAL NEW YORK,† — This is the title of a very neatly gotten-up pamphlet of forty-seven pages, "collated and prepared for publication by Frank R. Rathbun." The original "Rathbun-Fowler List" was published in the "Auburn Daily Advertiser" of August 14, 1877, and has twice been noticed in this Bulletin (Vol. III, No. 1, p. 35; and No. 2, p. 85). It was in part a reprint of a list published by Mr. H. Gilbert Fowler in "Forest and

^{*} Nests and Eggs of American Birds. By Ernest Ingersoll. S. E. Cassino, Naturalists' Agency, Salem, Mass. (No date.) Large 8vo. Pt. I, pp. 1 - 24. Pll. i, ii. March, 1879.

⁺ A Revised List of Birds of Central New York. Based on the Observations of Frank R. Rathbun, H. Gilbert Fowler, Frank S. Wright, Samuel F. Rathbun, in the Counties of Cayuga, Onondaga, Seneca, Wayne, and Yates. Collated and prepared for Publication by Frank R. Rathbun. Auburn, N. Y.: Daily Advertiser and Weekly Journal Book and Job Printing House. April 17, 1879.

Stream" in 1876 (Vols VI and VII), and in reviewing it for this Bulletin Mr. Allen wrote: "The list bears evidence of trustworthiness, and we would gladly see it reproduced in a more permanent and accessible form." Hence we take especial pleasure in announcing the appearance of the present "Revised List," in pamphlet form. The title-page is very pretty and attractive, and, aside from the names of Messrs. F. R. Rathbun and H. G. Fowler, contains those of Frank S. Wright and Samuel F. Rathbun, constituting "The Ornithological Four" on whose observations it is chiefly based. Several other collectors, to whom due credit is given, have furnished notes which render the list more complete and reliable. To the brief prefatory remarks are appended two foot-notes, the second of which is a letter from Dr. Coues, to whom the MSS, were submitted. The Doctor writes: "The evident eare which the authors have taken to avoid error, by basing the article entirely upon original observations, and by excluding all doubtful matter, confers that very high rate of reliability which will doubtless make this List the leading authority upon the Ornithology of Central New York." That this high praise is not undeserved, a glance at the list will show, but we confess it would have looked better in a review than in the paper itself. The "Rathbun-Fowler List" treated of three counties (Cayuga, Seneca, and Wayne), while in the present "Revised List" this territory is increased by the addition of Onondaga and Yates counties. The paper is unusually free from typographical errors, though the following escaped the author's detection: Sitta carolinesis, Siurus aurocapillus, and Chrysomitris tristris. On page 17 we are sorry to see "Lanius ludovicianus excubitoroides," but are glad to notice that it is the only place where trinomial nomenclature has crept into this paper. In several other cases, however, the tendency to atavism is more marked, as manifested by "Troglodytes domesticus, (Bartr.) Coues," " Corvus frugivorus, Bartr.," "Buteo aquilinus, (Barton.) Coues," etc.

The original list, as published in the "Auburn Daily Advertiser" (August 14, 1877) contained 191 species, while in the present "Revised List" are enumerated 236, showing an addition of 46 species, for Querquedula cyanoptera (a most unlikely straggler), which was included in the original list, is not mentioned in the present paper. The 46 additions are: Turdus swainsoni var. aliciæ, Helminthophaga peregrina, Oporornis aqilis, Myiodioctes mitratus, Stelgidopteryx serripennis, Vireo philadelphicus, Lanius borealis, Passerculus savanna, Melospiza palustris, Spizella pusilla, Corvus corax, Empidonax acadicus, E. trailli, E. minimus, Brachyotus palustris, Accipiter fuscus, Falco communis, Buteo lineatus, Ægialitis meloda, Steganopus wilsoni, Macrorhamphus griseus, Ereunetes pusillus, Tringa maculata, T. maritima, T. alpina, var. americana, Calidris arenaria, Limosa fedoa, Totanus semipalmatus, Tringoides macularius, Actiturus bartramius, Numenius longirostris, N. hudsonicus, Rallus virginianus, Porzana carolina, Branta bernicla, Mareca americana, Spatula clypeata, Fuligula affinis, F. collaris, Somateria spectabilis, Graculus carbo, G. dilophus, Larus

delawarensis, L. tridactylus, Colymbus septentrionalis, and Podiceps cornutus. It is surprising that such species as Lanius borealis, Passerculus savanna, Melospiza palustris, Spizella pusilla, Accipiter fuscus, Buteo lineatus, Tringoides macularius, and Porzana carolina should have escaped notice in the first list.

That the present paper has undergone thorough and careful revision, as well as increase in size by the addition of new species, is evidenced by the different nature of the remarks under certain species. For example, in the "Rathbun-Fowler List," Polioptila carulea is said to be "Irregular as to numbers, but never rare. Perhaps it breeds. Arrives from the south in May." And Dendræca striata is given as "a very rare migrant." In the present paper we find under the head of P. cærulea: "Rare. One taken near Penn Yan, N. Y. No date given. Gilbert." And under D. striata: "Common. Arrives the third week in May, and leaves the last of September." Such radical changes as these show that Mr. Rathbun has critically re-examined the evidence on which his former statements were based, and has spared no pains to render the "Revised List" thoroughly reliable and trustworthy in every respect.

One point illustrates well the great value of giving exact data in the place of conclusions drawn therefrom. In the old list, Anthus ludovicianus was stated to be, "Not a rare spring and autumn migrant; a few remain and breed." In regard to this statement Mr. Allen writes, "We know not as yet on what evidence the record of so improbable an occurrence is made, but would suggest that it certainly needs strong backing, the locality being climatically and topographically so wholly unlike that usually chosen by this exceedingly boreal species as its breeding station." (Bull. Nutt. Ornith. Club, Vol. III, No. 1, p. 35, Jan., 1878) Hence it is with peculiar interest that we read the following remarks, in the "Revised List," upon the species now under consideration: "A common migrant. Seen May 14, 1878. Departs the last of October. (Observed in the breeding season, May 18th, Gilbert.)" Now the publication of this date ("May 18") throws a flood of light upon the whole matter, clears it up, and explains the breeding statement in a most satisfactory manner. A bird which is known to breed on the cold and barren rocky shores of Labrador and the Arctic regions would naturally be expected to pass us, on its northward journey, long before the warm sun and advance of vegetation had caused the greater part of our summer residents to commence nesting; but this is not the ease with the present species. The Titlark seems in no hurry to reach its bleak and desolate Arctic home, but loiters slowly along to enjoy the spring sunshine and verdure of the districts over which it passes. During the spring migration they usually pass through Lewis County, N. Y., about the middle of May, and last season (1878) I shot two as late as May 21st; hence it is not at all surprising that Mr. Gilbert should have found it at Penn Yan, May 18. The occurrence of the following species (many of them breeding) in Central New York is worthy of note from its

bearing on the interesting and somewhat complex subject of the distribution of species within this State. Several of them, of course, must be regarded as stragglers: Polioptila cærulea, Eremophila alpestris, Helmitherus vermivorus, Helminthophaga pinus, H. chrysoptera, Dendræca cærulea, Icteria virens, Myiodioctes mitrutus, Stelgidopteryx serripennis, Vireo noveboracensis, Lanius ludovicianus var. excubitoroides, Coturniculus passerinus, Pipilo erythrophthalmus, Icterus spurius, Empidonax acadicus, Centurus carolinus, Strix flammea var. americana, Zenædura carolinensis, Ægialitis wilsonia, Nyctiadea grisea var. nævia, Ardetta exilis, Gallinula galeata, Somateria mollissima, S. spectabilis, Graculus carbo, Larus tridactylus, Sterna dougalli, and S. fuliginosa. It is interesting to note that the last-mentioned bird was killed ("September 20, 1876") about the same time that nine individuals of the same species were taken in Southern New England.*

In conclusion, it is but just to say that "The Ornithological Four" have, in their "Revised List of Birds of Central New York," not only done themselves great credit, but have also made a contribution to our science which must long remain authority concerning the region of which it treats. I consider it the best list of the birds of any part of this State that has appeared for many years. — C. H. M.

Hallock's Sportsman's Gazetteer. — Mr. Charles Hallock, the author of several books on field sports, and the editor and founder of "Forest and Stream," the well-known sportsman's journal, has just issued a fifth edition of his "Sportsman's Gazetteer and General Guide." This book has become a recognized authority on all subjects of which it treats, having been already republished in England, France, and Germany. The naturalist, as well as the sportsman, may find in it convenient directions for reaching desirable localities for the prosecution of his field work. The ornithological portions were, we believe, prepared by Mr. George B. Grinnell. — J. A. A.

Coues's Bibliography of Ornithology. — In the January number of the present volume of the Bulletin (pp. 54-57), in reviewing Dr. Coues's "Birds of the Colorado Valley," we referred in terms of high praise to the "Bibliographical Appendix" of that work, comprising a "List of Faunal Publications relating to North American Ornithology." This, as was then stated, forms only the North American section of the "Faunal Publications" series of a general "Bibliography of Ornithology," upon the preparation of which Dr. Coues is well known to have been for a long time engaged. That our unreserved commendation of the work was well merited is evinced by the subjoined "Memorial," signed by the leading

^{*} Merriam, Birds of Connecticut, p. 134, 1877; and Allen, List of Birds of Massachusetts, p. 30, 1878.

zoölogists of England, and addressed to Dr. Cones as a testimonial not only to the value and importance of the work he has undertaken, but of their appreciation of the thoroughness of its execution, and of his fitness for the gigantic task he has undertaken. Besides being one of the finest compliments ever paid to an American scientist, it is an appeal to the "powers that be" for a recognition from our government of the importance of the work Dr. Cones is doing for the science of ornithology, with the hope that he may be afforded every necessary facility for the completion of the work under the most favorable circumstances. Furthermore, it is agreeable and conclusive proof that English naturalists are ever prompt to recognize American ones, and to extend to them a friendly, helping hand; and on this account cannot fail to be a gratification to American naturalists in general, as well as to American ornithologists.

In heartily seconding this appeal to the Surgeon-General of the United States Army, and to our government, we feel that we merely reflect the sentiment of all American ornithologists, as we but echo that of the memorialists, when we say that, aside from the great boon the completion of the work would confer upon working ornithologists the world over, it would redound greatly to the credit of our government, which has already an enviable reputation for the aid it has given science, if it could be completed under its auspices, since without its aid the completion of the work cannot probably be soon accomplished. — J. A. A.

" Memorial.

"To Elliott Coues, Esquire, Assistant Surgeon, United States' Army.

"We, the undersigned, beg leave to express our high appreciation of the 'Bibliographie al Appendix' to your work, 'Birds of the Colorado Valley,' being No. 11 of the Miscellaneous Publications of the United States Geological Survey of the Territories, under the charge of Dr. Hayden. And at the same time we wish to place on record our gratitude to that gentleman, and to the authorities of the Department to which you are attached, for the liberality they have shown in granting you permission to stay at Washington for the completion of this and other important works upon which you have now been so long and so usefully engaged.

"The want of indexes to the ever increasing mass of Zoological literature has long been felt by all workers in every department of that science; but the enormous labor of compilation has hitherto deterred many from undertaking a task so appalling. It is with no small satisfaction that we recognize your readiness to devote yourself to work of this nature. Moreover, we feel justified in hoping that should the instalment now published in the volume above named be enlarged in a similar manner so as to include a complete Bibliography of Ornithology, this branch of science will possess an index to its writings perhaps more complete as to its scope and contents than any kindred subject of similar extent.

"An undertaking of this sort is beset with formidable difficulties; not only is its extent enormous, and the works relating to the subject are widely scattered through many libraries, public and private; but the qual fications of a good bibliographer are not easily to be found united in one person. His application and industry must be untiring, and he must be thoroughly conversant with the art of Bibliography. In addition to these requirements, in a case like the present, an equally thorough knowledge of the subject under consideration is indispensable. You happily combine all these qualifications; your industry has long been approved, your knowledge of books is evident from what you have now put before us, your knowledge of Ornithology has long been known to us. We can well believe that the libraries of your own country are better stored than any others with works relating to the Ornithology of North America, and that therefore the 'List of Faunal Publications relating to North American Ornithology' could be nowhere better prepared than in Washington; but when the ornithological literature of the whole world has to be examined, it seems to us almost indispensable that the older libraries of Europe, and especially of England, France, Italy, Germany and Holland, should be consulted, if one of the chief merits of your work is to be maintained, viz: - the consultation at first hand by yourself of every work mentioned therein.

"This brings us to one of the chief objects of this memorial, which is to express our sincere hope that time and means will be found you to prosecute in Europe the great undertaking you have commenced so well, and bring it to a successful conclusion. Should the authorities who preside over the Department to which you belong — and especially the Surgeon-General of the United States Army — who have hitherto so liberally granted you facilities for the scientific work you have performed, be disposed to furnish you with these means of perfecting your undertaking, we are convinced that it will reflect great credit to them and the country to which you belong. We on our part, so far as England is concerned, are ready not only to welcome a brother Ornithologist, but also to render you every assistance in our power.

"[Signed.] W. H. FLOWER, F.R.S., &c., President of the Zoological Society of London.

T. H. Huxley, Sec. R. S.

CHARLES DARWIN, F.R.S.

ST. GEO. MIVART, F.R.S., Sec. L. S.

ALFRED R. WALLACE.

A. Guenther, F.R.S., Keeper of the Department of Zoology, British Museum.

Philip Lutley Sclater, M.A., Ph. Dr., F.R.S., Secretary to the Zoological Society of London.

Alfred Newton, F.R.S., V.P.Z.S., Professor of Zoology in the University of Cambridge.

H. B. TRISTRAM, F.R.S.

OSBERT SALVIN, M.A., F.R.S., Editor of 'The Ibis.'

F. Du Cane Godman, Secretary of the British Ornithologists' Union.

HENRY SEEBOHM.

EDWARD R. ALSTON.

R. BOWDLER SHARPE, British Museum.

H. E. Dresser.

J. E. HARTING, F.L.S., Editor of 'The Zoologist.'

A. H. Godwin-Austen, Lt.-Colonel.

W. H. HUDLESTON.

E. W. H. Holdsworth.

J. H. Gurney, President of the Norwich Museum,

H. J. Elwes.

JOHN VAN VOORST.

WILLIAM BOWER.

J. CORDEAUX.

W. B. TEGETMEIER.

CHARLES W. SHEPHERD.

C. Bygrave Wharton.

CHARLES A. WRIGHT, F L.S.

L. HOWARD IRBY.

G. E. Shelley.

HENRY T. WHARTON, M.A. Oxon.

H. W. Feilden, late Naturalist Arctic Exp. 1875-76.

H. S. Marks, R.A.

A. H. Garrod, N.A., F.R.S., Prosector to the Zoological Society.

W. K. PARKER, F.R.S., F. Z.S., &c.

John Gould, F.R.S., &c.

Hy. Stevenson, F.L.S., Hon. Secretary Norwich Museum.

HOWARD SAUNDERS."

General Notes.

ODD BEHAVIOR OF A ROBIN AND A YELLOW WARBLER.—Newspaper ornithology is generally worthy of little attention, but an article entitled "A Robin's Persistency," published in the "Daily Times" of Watertown, N. Y., in the issue of May 24 of this year, comes to me with private indorsements of such a thoroughly trustworthy character, and the incident related is so strange, that it seems worth while to give the

matter a record in the Bulletin as a contribution to bird psychology. "We often hear," says the writer, "of one-idea people, and the sensations they produce. A one-idea bird, however, is something of a novelty. One of the windows of a house in Clinton Street is at present haunted by such a bird in the shape of a Robin. On the morning of May 21st it made its début from the window-sill. From the first this has been its mode of procedure. It alights on the window-ledge, taps vigorously on the pane, then flies up and down very rapidly about three or four times. Then it pauses a moment, steps over to the next pane, and repeats the operation. It has never been observed to tap or fly upon the third pane. After tapping or flapping industriously for half an hour or so, it descends to the ground or garden near by, makes a short repast of a bug or worm, returns again to the window-ledge and goes through with the same process. It begins its operations early in the morning, and continues until the shadows of evening begin to fall. Nothing so far seems to have seriously interrupted its movements. It flies away when the white shade is drawn down, but returns again, walks back and forward across the ledge, and peers in at the narrow, uncovered space below the bottom of the shade. It never taps or flutters against the window when the shade is drawn. It simply looks about, flies away, and returns again, until it finds the shade raised. It then repeats its beatings and fluttering as before. If, however, the outside blinds are closed, it appears quite frantic. It flies at them, and if it can gain a foothold anywhere, it thrusts its beak between the shutters and pecks violently at the window until tired out, then it retires and waits until they are opened again. It does not seem to be especially shy or tame. It flies off at the too near approach of a person, cat, or lawnmower. It returns again as soon as they remove a short distance away. Thus has it performed for three days, and shows no signs of giving up.

"Its curious persistency has attracted much attention, and called forth various remarks. One laughingly says, it must be an evil spirit in bird form. Others are inclined to regard it as an 'ominous bird.' One man, after watching it for some time, remarked that it saw its shadow in the window and mistook it for a lost mate. This seems probable, for on investigation it was found that the window, being relieved by a dark background, reflected images almost as distinctly as a mirror. It was further observed that the wall of the opposite house, with its abundance of vivid green foliage, was remarkably well defined, making a bright reflected picture, especially in the space covered by the two panes of glass to which the bird seemed to limit its attentions. Besides, it seemed entirely alone, and the supposition is, that, in searching for its mate, which may have disappeared in some mysterious way, it happened to see its own solitary image in the glass, and straightway imagined it had found its lost one, and is earnestly endeavoring to woo it back again. The present appearances are that it has gone quite daft with sorrow, and will exhaust itself with its wild beatings and flutterings."

A letter by the writer of the above to a friend continues the history as follows:—

"I have postponed my visit to ---- for a few days; and for a reason which perhaps no one but an ornithologist would be likely to appreciate. I am detained by a Robin, and though its visits are paid at a neighbor's window, still I am fascinated. Its first three days' visit is described as accurately as my mother tongue would enable me to do in the enclosed paragraph published in our daily of vesterday. It still persists. The shade was left up last night, and when I awoke this morning, soon after daybreak, it was knocking loudly at the chosen window. Our home is quite near, and when my window, which is nearly opposite, is open, I hear it very plainly. It continued with but slight interruptions until about eight o'clock, when the lady of the house opened the window. It then flew down, but even now it is hopping about in the grass near by as though watching for the window to close. I have never made ornithology a study, but this seems to me a very uncommon proceeding. If you know any ornithologist to whom you think it would be interesting, please impart." Later information states that the same proceedings continued until the writer of the above left town, - nine days in all; but that on the ninth day the tappings were more feeble, and were not continued later than 7 A.M., after which time the bird was not seen that day.

As these sheets are passing through the press, a male Yellow Warbler (Dendræca æstica) is behaving in a quite similar manner at my own house. For several weeks the bird has been in the habit of frequently visiting a grape-vine trellis in front of a window of the dining-room, from which he has been accustomed to sing, wholly undisturbed by the people or the proceedings within the room. Although the trellis has been a favorite resort for the bird, his behavior was not especially noteworthy till Jane 7, when he began to persistently fly against the window-panes, often striking them with considerable violence.

The trellis stands about eighteen inches from the window, and the portion immediately in front of it is nearly bare, and consists of two horizontal bars, about three feet apart. These form his perch, from which he usually makes his dive at the window. Immediately in front of the window is an open field with a group of five large apple-trees, all within twenty to fifty feet of the house. These, with the trellis and portions of the grape-vine it supports are vividly mirrored in the window, as well as the general landscape, and of course the bird himself whenever he visits the trellis. But his own reflection does not seem to be the point of attraction, as he usually strikes the pane two or three feet above the point opposite his perch, but sometimes dives down from the upper bar of the trellis to the lower panes of the window. Occasionally he flies directly from the apple-trees against the window, but generally first alights on the bars of the trellis. For several days his visits have begun with early day-break, and have been continued throughout the day till after sunset, he

rarely leaving the window for more than a few minutes at a time. He sings almost constantly. I have seen him strike the window-panes as many as ten times in a minute, barely pausing on the trellis between each plunge long enough to utter with much energy his shrill little song. These proceedings he will sometimes repeat for several minutes, then fly to the trees and return again a minute or two later, usually with a cankerworm in his beak obtained from the apple-trees. This he usually bruises on the trellis-bar and swallows at once before diving at the window, but not unfrequently makes several plunges at the window with the worm in his beak. He strikes the window-pane with such force that the clicking of his bill and feet against the glass may be heard to a considerable distance. He usually strikes the large pane a foot or two from the top, fluttering upward to the top, when he returns to his perch. The upper panes receive the chief part of his attention, but he not unfrequently descends to the lower ones, which he follows upward in the same manner to the top of the lower sash. He takes little notice of people standing quietly before the window, and will often strike the pane within six inches of the observer's face.

If the upper sash be lowered a few inches he will often, after flying against the glass, perch on the top of the open window, peer into the room, utter his song, hop to the trellis, and immediately repeat the operation. I once drew the upper sash half-way down, so as to give him free access to the room. At first he would strike the glass as usual, and then perch on the sash. I left the room for an hour, and on returning found him a prisoner between the sashes, be having evidently in the mean time entered the room, and in trying to make his exit had fluttered down between the sashes, where he had obviously been struggling for some minutes. I freed him, and presumed that this experience would serve to eure him of his strange infatuation for the window. This was on the evening of the first day, but he returned early the next morning to the window, flying against it with unabated persistency. This has continued for three days, and the window seems to have lost none of its charm for him.

In other respects he seems a perfectly sane bird; he has a mate and a nest in one of the neighboring apple-trees, and when it is approached he leaves the window and flies about the intruder with manifestations of extreme solicitude. He is also quite vigilant in driving away other small birds that venture too near his home. Whether he mistakes his own reflection in the window for a rival, or what the charm is, is not obvious, as his behavior in all other respects is apparently entirely natural. As already stated, he almost invariably strikes the window-pane at a point either considerably above or below his perch on the trellis, so that evidently he does not aim at his own reflection in the window.— J. A. Allen, Cambridge, Mass.

P. S. — His visits to the window became less frequent on the fourth day, but were continued with considerable frequency for about ten or twelve

days, when the bird wholly disappeared, being caught, it is feared, by a neighbor's cat which had been observed lying in wait for it at the window on various occasions. — J. A. A.

The Blue-gray Gnatcatcher and Sanderling in Minnesota.—May 19, 1877, I shot here a male Blue-gray Gnatcatcher (*Polioptila cærulea*). This is, apparently, the most northern point at which it has yet been taken. Also, last fall (September 30), I shot the Sanderling (*Calidris arenaria*), its second capture in Minnesota.—Robert S. Williams, *Minneapolis, Minn.*

NEST AND EGGS OF THE GRAY TITMOUSE (Lophophanes inornatus). — The following notes respecting the nesting habits of the Western Crested Titmouse, furnished by my friend W. E. Bryant, a promising young collector of Oakland, California, together with a description of its eggs. will prove of interest.* As is the ease wherever found, the bird is a resident of its locality, and, being already on the ground, housekeeping with it begins early in the season, the first eggs being deposited about the middle of March. Fresh eggs may, however, be found up to the middle of May, from which it would appear that two broods are raised in a season. Their nesting sites are the hollows of limbs, usually in the oaks; but they appear to be somewhat less particular than others of the family, since my informant speaks of one nest as having been placed in the ventilator of an out-building. Perhaps in this respect their habits correspond more closely with those of the Wrens, and, given a cavity of almost any sort, their wants are supplied. As the only two requisites for the nest proper are an abundance of material to fill up all useless space, and of a sufficiently soft texture, almost any pliable substance becomes available. Hence feathers, fine grasses, cow's hair, rabbit's fur, moss, or even, as in one instance, a grain-sack picked into fine pieces, in turn enter into its composition, as they chance to be at hand. As to shape, the nest can scarcely be said to have any, since the character of the eavity wholly determines that.

The eggs number from five to eight. These, euriously enough, may be pure white, as is so rarely the ease in this family, or spotted. I infer from my correspondent's letter that the sets are either of one or the other style; that is, that all of a complement are alike. To this, however, there may be exceptions. Nearly all the sets found have been pure white, and the spotted eggs appear to be quite rare; the latter appear also never to be as profusely marked as are those of the Eastern L. bicolor, or, judging

^{*} The only other notices of the eggs and breeding habits of this species appear to be the following: — 1. Description of a single nest and set of eggs by W. A. Cooper (this Bulletin, Vol. 111, p. 69, April, 1878); — 2. A brief reference to another nest and set of eggs by L. Belding (Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., Vol. 1, p. 400, April, 1879). The eggs of the first set are described as white, thickly marked all over with small irregular spots of red.

from descriptions, of L. atricristatus. Four of the most heavily marked eggs Mr. Bryant has ever seen are now before me. They are of a rather elongated oval, and measure respectively $.77 \times .56$, $.70 \times .51$, $.70 \times .52$, and $.64 \times .52$. Another set of three, sent to the Smithsonian by Mr. Samuel Hubbard of San Francisco, are nearly of the same shape. The markings are in the form of clear reddish-brown dots, which are almost entirely confined to the larger ends. On one they take the shape of a perfect circle. Altogether they are extremely pretty eggs, and are much the most delicately marked of any of the family I have ever seen. — H. W. Henshaw, Washington, D. C.

NESTING OF CERTHIA FAMILIARIS. - Having read with interest Dr. Brewer's article on the Brown Creeper in the last number of the Bulletin, I desire to add one more instance in confirmation of his opinion as to the usual situation of its nest. I have in my collection two eggs of this bird, which were obtained July 28, 1875, by a friend of mine who is something of an ornithologist. The nest was situated in the heavy forest, half a mile north of Moose Pine, Hamilton County, N. Y., concealed behind a piece of bark which had been partly torn loose from the side of a spruce-tree, about six feet from the ground. The bird was well seen and identified by my friend (who is familiar with the species), but was not shot. In describing the nest to me he used these words: "The nest was made of soft downy materials, including feathers and such soft materials as you will find in a squirrel's nest. The whole bulk was not larger than your fist." It contained three young birds with down only in tufts upon them, and two addled eggs, white, thinly marked with fine reddish spots or dots, and measuring .60 × .47 and .59 × .47. - EGBERT BAGG, JR., Utica, N. Y.

THE CAROLINA WREN (Thryothorus ludovicianus) BREEDING IN NEW YORK. — Through the kindness of Mr. D. H. Kellogg, I am enabled to record for the first time the breeding of Thryothorus ludovicianus in New York State, who, on the evening of May 2 last, showed me the nest of the species at his residence at Spuyten-Duyvil. The nest was built on a shelf in the closed room of an out-house, which was entered by the bird through a latticed window. Desiring to establish its identity beyond question, several attempts were made to capture the parent upon her nest, but unsuccessfully, until the sixth or seventh trial, she having persistently returned immediately after our departure on every unsuccessful attempt. The nest, containing five eggs, was merely a miscellaneous aggregation of rubbish, extending for fully sixteen inches along a small shelf already occupied by several articles, now partially imbedded in the materials of its structure. The whole was overhung by a mass of dried bean-vines pendent from the wall above, which partially concealed the mossy fringed sideentrance to the feather-lined eavity within. The eggs were five in number, and on the point of hatching.

Mr. Robert Lawrence has informed me of the interesting fact of this species having bred about the same early date at Flushing, L. I. [see below]. So far as I am aware, this is the first record of its breeding on Long Island, though I learn from Mr. Akhurst of Brooklyn, that in 1843 a pair reared a brood of five young at Valley Grove. At Riverdale, the present season, I observed this species in full song on April 20 and May 6; and Mr. Kellogg informs me that the male bird of the breeding pair remained about his place in full song for at least two days after its nest had been taken. — Eugene P. Bicknell, Riverdale, New York City.

The Great Carolina Wren breeding on Long Island, N. Y.—In a letter recently received from Mr. Robert Lawrence, he informs me that on May 8, 1879, he was fortunate enough to take a female Great Carolina Wren at Flushing, Long Island, and on the following day saw the male and a brood of four young birds just able to fly. Although record of two captures of this Wren has been given for New York Island by Mr. George N. Lawrence, I think this is the first record of its breeding in that locality.—RUTHVEN DEANE, Cambridge, Mass.

RECORD OF ADDITIONAL SPECIMENS OF THE WHITE-THROATED WARBLER (Helminthophaga leucobronchialis). — In this Bulletin, Vol. III, p. 199, Mr. William Brewster describes the fifth then known specimen of the above-named Warbler. I can now announce three more, and allude to what I presume is a fourth: —

- 1. A very typical example shot by Mr. Samuel Jillson, in Hndson, Mass., in May or June, 1858. By considerable correspondence I traced this specimen to the collection of Williams College, Williamstown, Mass. Prof. P. A. Chadbourne, without hesitation, very kindly sent it to me for examination. The under surface is clean, silky white, with no trace of yellow anywhere; back pure ashy. It was labelled "H. pinus, male." This capture antedates all but the Philadelphia Academy specimen, and is the second Massachusetts occurrence.
- 2. A male is in possession of William W. Coe of Portland, Conn., taken there May 22, 1875, which I have been able to handle by his obligingly loaning me the bird. This one departs from what we consider type specimens in the amount of yellow on both the upper and under parts. There is a broad band or blotch of this color on the breast, with a slight suffusion on the chin and the rest of the ventral aspect. The whole dorsal plumage, from the crown, is faintly washed with the same tint. Compare this and next with Mr. Brewster's account of E. I. Shores's Suffield, Conn., specimen, above alluded to.
- 3. At date of penning these data the following comes from my friend J. N. Clark, at Saybrook, Conn.: "Took a fine male *H. leucobronchialis*, May 30 [1879]; an exceptional specimen, with a patch of bright yellow across the breast from the bend of wings. Thought it was *pinus* when I fired; notes and habits the same." Mr. Clark's is the fourth for Connecticut.

4. The "Daily Democrat" (newspaper) of Grand Rapids, Mich., of June 1, 1879, under the caption "A New Bird," thus alludes to a Warbler shot by Mr. Gunn in Ottawa Co.: " the new bird belongs in what is called the genus Helminthophaga; it presents five distinctive points of specific difference to that of its nearest congener, the golden winged warbler (Helminthophaga chrysoptera), the chief point of difference being the absence of the black throat which is a characteristic peculiarity of the golden wing, the throat in the new species being white, the chin is pale yellow, a faint line passing down on either side as far as the cheek. The cheek patch which forms a prominent marking in the golden winged warbler, is entirely absent. The sub-maxillary stripe is not to be seen, and the lores are merely dusky; but the most peculiar feature in the coloration of the specimen is the bright yellow breast, this color extending as far down as the abdomen and over the flanks. The specimen is a female, and yet it exhibits a golden crown patch equal in intensity to that of the male golden wing. Mr. W. A. Gunn secured this new bird, May 25th while collecting in a patch of underbrush near the edge of a heavy pine forest. He deserves great credit for so valuable an addition to the avifauna of the State and in honor to his capturing it and being the first to present it to public notice, it is named Helminthophaga Gunnii by Dr. Gibbs, to whom it was submitted for classification." Allowing for slight individual variation, have we not here a ninth Whitethroated Golden-wing, or does "H. gunnii" hold its own? — H. A. Pur-DIE, Newton, Mass.

Additional Capture of the Cærulean Warbler in New England. — Through the kindness of Mr. Charles M. Carpenter of Providence, R. I., I am enabled to record a second specimen of Dendræca cærulea, taken near Cumberland Hill, R. I., May 22, 1878. The Warbler was a male, and was in company with a flock of Blue Yellow-backed Warblers when shot. The first specimen recorded for New England was taken at Suffield, Conn, June 12, 1875, by Mr. E. I. Shores, and is now in his collection. Dr. Brewer, in his "Additions to his Catalogue of the Birds of New England," * includes this record, and says: "This Western species is said to have been taken at Suffield, Conn. I therefore venture to add this bird to my list, though not without much hesitation." The doubt thus expressed by Dr. Brewer is entirely unnecessary, as the specimen was thoroughly identified. — Ruthven Deane, Cambridge, Mass.

Another Kirtland's Warbler (Dendræca kirtlandi). — Mr. Adolphe B. Covert of Ann Arbor, Mich., writes me that on May 16 last he shot a female of this much-desired Warbler, his second capture of the species. This recent specimen I make to be the ninth known to science, viz.:—

1. Male, caught on a vessel at sea off Abaco, Bahamas, by Dr. Samuel

^{*} Proc. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist., Vol. XIX, 1878, p. 303.

Cabot of Boston, the second week in October, 1841. Not identified until some years after the type specimen was described.

- 2. Male, taken by Dr. J. P. Kirtland near Cleveland, O., May 13, 1851. Type of the species.
- 3. Female, obtained by R. K. Winslow near Cleveland, O., in June, 1860.
- 4. Male shot by Charles Dury at Cineinnati, O., the first week in May, 1872.
- Female, collected by A. B. Covert at Ann Arbor, Mich, May 15, 1875.
- 6 and 7. Male and female, taken by Messrs. William and John Hall at Rockport, Cuyahoga Co., O., May, 1878.
- 8. Female, collected by Charles B. Cory on Andros Island, Bahamas, January 9, 1879.
 - 9. Mr. Covert's specimen above recorded.

Three or four others, I believe, have been noted, but were not secured. This bird and *Helminthophaga leucobronchialis* have about an even record.—H. A. Purdie, *Newton*, *Mass*.

Correction. — In the January number of the Bulletin (Vol. IV, p. 60) I noted the eapture of the Western variety of the Yellow Red-poll Warbler in Massachusetts, and through inadvertence gave the varietal name as "Dendræca palmarum hypochrysea," instead of D. palmarum var. palmarum. — RUTHVEN DEANE, Cambridge, Mass.

RARE BIRDS IN MICHIGAN. — May 20, 1879, Dr. H. A. Atkins of Locke, Ingham Co., Mich., shot a fine specimen of the Connecticut Warbler (*Oporornis agilis*). May 22, 1879, I shot a male of this species in Ottawa Co. These are the only instances of the capture of this rare Warbler in the State, to my knowledge.

May 26, 1879, Dr. R. M. W. Gibbs collected a nest and two eggs, with the female bird, of the Prairie Warbler (*Dendraca discolor*) in Ottawa Co.

May 21, 1879, Dr. Gibbs shot a male Olive-sided Flycatcher (Contopus borealis) in a heavy pine forest in the same county.— Charles W. Gunn, Grand Rapids, Mich.

The Loggerhead Shrike breeding in Maine.—In the issue of "Forest and Stream" (New York paper) for April 3, 1879, I first recorded the interesting fact that Lanius ludovicianus nested at Bangor, Me. Incidentally I spoke of a nest and eggs of the Great Northern Shrike (L. borealis) from the same locality, but I have since ascertained that in all probability these also were those of the Loggerhead. See the above-named paper of May 8, 1879, for a correction. Under date of May 20, 1879, Mr. E. S. Bowler writes me that already this season be has discovered two nests of ludovicianus, thus apparently showing the bird to be a permanent breeder in that section. From Mr. J. N. Clark of Saybrook, Conn., I have record of two Loggerheads shot there, one in November, 1878, the other in January, 1879.

The New England examples of this species that I have examined present a slight difference in the shade of the rump and rest of dorsal surface, but I think, with possibly one exception, none of the specimens show the lightness of color characterizing the so-called typical excubitorides. (See Merriam, this Bulletin, Vol. III, pp. 55, 56.) — H. A. Purdie, Newton, Mass.

Notes on some of the Winter and Early Spring Birds of Fort Sisseton, Dakota. — In my "Notes on the Birds of Fort Sisseton, Dakota Territory" (Bull. U. S. Geol. and Geog. Survey of the Territories, Vol. V, No. 1, 1879), Dr. Coues has kindly added a note at page 103, stating the occurrence of *Pinicola enucleator*, (L.) V., and Ægiothus linaria, (L.) Cab., in this vicinity. My letters conveying this information to Dr. Coues arrived too late to enable him to insert the full notes in the paper, and I therefore furnish them for publication now, with notes on two other birds also rare to the Avifauna of the "Coteau des Prairies" of Dakota.

1. Pinicola enucleator, (L.) V. Pine Grosbeak.—In rambling through the woods, January 6, 1879, I came across a flock of Pine Grosbeaks, numbering perhaps twenty-five birds, in the middle of quite a thick growth of timber. I had just fired at a Sharp-tailed Grouse when the birds made their appearance, having evidently been aroused from some part of the timber near by, although I had not noticed them on entering. The birds appeared to have a curiosity to know why they had been disturbed in their sheltered and warm retreat, and alighted in the trees near me, when, quickly changing my cartridges, I secured a single specimen, which dropped from the tree, wounded, at a little distance from me. The curiosity of the others quickly changed to alarm and I could not get a second shot. They, however, performed their antics high in the air, directly overhead, for several minutes after I had secured the wounded bird, until finally they were lost to view.

I believe the Pine Grosbeak to be of only casual occurrence in this region although it may have escaped my notice during former winters, as collecting at that season in this latitude is no easy matter, and the return for the amount of labor involved is very small indeed.

2. Ægiothus linarius, (L.) Cab. Red-Poll Linnet. — During the winter of 1878–79, flocks of these birds were often seen here. On January 28, I secured a specimen about four miles northeast of the post on the open prairie. The flock contained about forty birds, which were scratching around on the partly snow-covered ground in search of food, and did not appear to mind my approach, but after I had fired took to wing, and could not afterwards be found. In February and March these birds were very numerous around the post, flocks containing nearly a thousand birds being frequently observed, and they were not at all shy. After this time their numbers diminished, and I saw none after April 9. The past winter was the first one during which I have seen these birds here.

- 3. Picus pubescens, Linn. Downy Woodpecker. During the winter of 1878-79 the Downy Woodpecker was several times seen in the sheltered timber in the vicinity of the post, and a few specimens were secured. Not observed during previous winters.
- 4. Ceryle alcyon, Boie. Belted Kingfishers.— On April 14 and 16, 1879, I saw a pair of Belted Kingfishers hovering over one of the lakes near the post in search of food, the first observation of this bird here.— Charles E. McChesney, Fort Sisseton, D. T.

Capture of a Third Specimen of the Flammulated Owl (Scops flammeola) in the United States, and first Discovery of its Nest. — This rare Owl was first added to our fauna by Captain John Feilner, who obtained a specimen at Fort Crook, Cal., August 23, 1860.* A second specimen was collected by Dr. C. C. Newberry, thirty miles south of Camp Apache, Arizona, September 11, 1873.†

I am indebted to Mr. Charles G. Brewster of Boston for the opportunity to examine a third specimen, which he recently received from Mr. Charles E. Aiken, who obtained it in Fremont County, Col., June 15, 1875. The bird, an adult female, was taken from its nest, which was in a dead pine-tree and contained one egg. The egg is now in possession of the Smithsonian Institution, and Mr. H. W. Henshaw has kindly sent me the following description: In color and shape it resembles those of other species of its genus, and measures 1.12 × .95. — RUTHVEN DEANE, Cambridge, Mass.

MacFarlane's Gerfalcon (Falco gyrfalco sacer) in Maine.—Visiting Providence, R. I., in April last, my friend Mr. Frederick T. Jencks mentioned that there was a specimen of some form of Gerfalcon in the Museum of Brown University in that city. I soon had the satisfaction of gazing at the bird. It was labelled "var. sacer," and I think correctly so, for it certainly is not candicans nor labradora,‡ and is darker than any examples or plates of islandus that I have examined. Corresponding with

^{*} Baird, Brewer, and Ridgway. Hist. N. Am. Birds, Vol. 111, p. 58, 1874.

[†] Report upon the Ornithological Collections made during the Years 1871, 1872, 1873, and 1874. By H. W. Henshaw. Chapter III, Vol. V, of the Reports of the Geographical and Geological Explorations and Surveys west of the One Hundredth Meridian, in Charge of Lt. George M. Wheeler, p. 406, 1875.

[‡] Mr. Ridgway, with whom I have lately had interesting correspondence on the Gerfalcon group, writes me that he agrees with Mr. J. H. Gurney (see Ibis, 1876, p. 234), that Falco obsoletus of Gmelin, based on Pennant's "Plain Falcon," belongs to some race of Gerfalcon, but he believes that it should be assigned to the now better known dark Labrador bird, rather than to any plumage of islandus or gyrfalco; also that it cannot relate to Butco swainsoni, as associated by R. B. Sharpe. Mr. Ridgway still holds that sacer can be varietally separated from F, gyrfalco of Northern Europe and Asia, in contradistinction to the later views held by English writers.

Professor J. W. P. Jenks, he has kindly written me in substance that the bird was sent alive from Katahdin Iron-Works (Piscataquis Co., Maine) by Mr. C. H. Prouty to his bro her in Providence during December, 1876. It had caught several hens, and, having pursued one under a barn through a small opening, was itself caught in the arms of a man as it came out. The Gerfalcon soon died; the wings were cut off, and the body was buried. Nearly a week afterwards a Mr. Adcock saw and picked up the wings from a stable floor, and, recognizing his old English Falcon, called for the body, which he dag up and mounted. Professor Jenks happened to see the specimen, and secured it for the University collection.

I think MacFarlane's Gerfalcon has not before been known to occur in any portion of Eastern North America, nor at all outside of Arctic Northwest America. The one now cited will make the third form of Gerfalcon known to have been taken in New England. I cannot ascertain for a certainty that candicans has yet visited us. Mr. H. G. Vennor records two examples at Montreal. The black Labrador bird has so far been the most frequent visitor to the Canadas, the Provinces, and the United States. I have record of several, one of which, now announced, was shot in Essex Co., Mass., a few years since, and is in the collection of the Essex Institute. — H. A. Purdie, Newton, Mass.

Nesting of Buteo zonocercus in New Mexico. — May 28, 1876, I found a nest of *Buteo zonocercus* in a very large cottonwood-tree, in a grove of the same, in the mouth of a eanon of the Gila River, in New Mexico, about twenty miles above the Arizona line, I saw the parent fly from the nest, and with its mate circle around overhead. One alighted on the cliff overhanging the grove, which I succeeded in killing. It proved to be the male—I had no climbers, and could not then get to the nest, but the next day I returned with a rope, and succeeded in getting near enough to work my hand up through the nest and reach one egg, which was all there was. The nest was quite bulky, composed of twigs, lined with strips of the inner bark of the cottonwood.

The egg was very near hatching, and in attempting to extract the embryo I broke it, and it has since been broken into small pieces. It was marked with large reddish-brown blotches, irregularly distributed on a dirty white ground. I still have the male. This pair are the only Hawks of this species that I am positive I ever saw, although I have seen several Hawks here in California that at first I took to be B. zonocercus, but they always proved to be very dark plumages of B. swainsoni. It is about impossible to tell the difference at shooting distance. The latter species is very abundant here at times. — F. Stevens, Wilmington, Cal.

CAPTURE OF THE GOLDEN EAGLE AT GRAVESEND, L. I. — On October 6, 1877, I had the good fortune to proeure a male Golden Eagle (Aquila chrysaëtus) in this vicinity. He was a fine, full-grown specimen, and gave the following measurements: length, 32.75 inches; extent, 78.25; wing, 22.50; tail, 14.00. — Frank E. Johnson, Gravesend, L. I.

THE EGGS OF THE CURLEW SANDPIPER (Tringa subarguata). — The eggs of this species have hitherto escaped the researches of European ornithologists, and up to the present moment have continued to be an especial object of search, and an occasion of renewed disappointment. In a recent visit to Washington, I saw, among the interesting things brought back by Mr. Ludovic Kumlien from the Howgate Arctic expedition, two eggs of this very rare species, which he was enabled to procure, through the attentions of Governor Fencker, in the neighborhood of Christianshaab in North Greenland. One egg measures 1.52 inches in length by 1.05 in breadth. Its ground color is drab, with a distinct shade of olive, and it is thickly marked with blotches of two shades of umber-brown, one quite light, the other much darker. These are most numerous on and around the larger end, and are in a somewhat longitudinal direction, with a tendency also to a spiral course. There are also a few spots, of a very dark color, almost a black, on the larger end. The other egg measures 1.47×1.04 inches, and is much more pyriform in shape. Its ground color is a very light greenish drab, with rather sparse markings of a deep umber. These are larger and more confluent about the greater end of the egg, where they are chiefly disposed in a circular ring. The rest of the egg is sparsely marked with the same. About the larger end are also a few very dark markings. - T. M. Brewer, Boston, Mass.

Capture of the European Widgeon in North Carolina. — On the 17th of last December, a gentleman called my attention to a European Widgeon hanging up with a bunch of Ducks, in an express office in New York. The expressman, of course, had no right to part with the bird, and as the address was wrong, I was unable to follow up the Ducks. The man promised my companion to send him the true address as soon as he received it, but nothing further was heard from him. The bird was a male in full plumage, and, as I have since learned, came from Chrithck. Another of these Widgeons was killed at Currituck, on January 17, 1879, by William Baylis, Esq., of Brooklyn, in whose possession it now is. Through the courtesy of Mr. Baylis I was permitted to examine this bird, which is a fine adult male.

The first occurrence of *Mareca penelope* in this country was in 1842, when Mr. G. N. Lawrence obtained one in Fulton Market, said-to have come from Long Island. In this Bulletin, Vol. III, p. 98, two specimens are recorded; one from Virginia, taken in 1855, the other from Long Island, in 1873.

In all, five authenticated individuals of M, penelope have now been recorded from the Atlantic coast. Mr. Charles W. Moxon, of Point Pleasant, N. J., informs me that during the past season several red-headed Widgeons have been shot on Barnegat Bay. — De L. Berler, Fort Hamilton, L. I.

BONAPARTE'S GULL IN KANSAS. - I have in my collection of birds

a male Larus philadelphia, shot on the Neosho River at this place on April 18, 1879. This is the first notice of its appearance in the State, but, as Dr. Coues, in "Birds of the Northwest," says: "No one of our species is more widely dispersed than this. Go where we may in North America, the pretty bird may be seen at one or another season, if we are not too far from any considerable body of water," I am led to believe its occurrence not exceptional, and that it has heretofore been taken for L. franklini, a bird which it somewhat resembles in both color and markings, and being of nearly the same size it would readily be taken for it by the casual observer. — N. S. Goss, Neosho Falls, Kan.

The Booby Gannet (Sula fiber) in Massachusetts.—In my Catalogue of the Birds of New England, I felt constrained to put the Booby Gannet in the purgatory of the "not proven." It had been mentioned by Mr. Putnam, but all traces of evidence to authorize its retention had been lost. It had also been given in Mr. Linsley's list, but erroneously. It is not a species whose appearance could be looked for with any confidence, but then the list of Massachusetts birds abounds in the appearance of quite a number of such unlooked for visitors. On the 17th of September, 1878, a fine male specimen of the Sula fiber was shot on Cape Cod, and brought to the Boston market. It is now in the possession of my neighbors, Edward O. and Outram Bangs. — T. M. Brewer, Boston, Mass.

A WORD IN DEFENCE. — To the Publishers of the Nuttall Bulletin: — Inasmuch as the pages of the Bulletin have given to a correspondent full liberty to make against the undersigned a personal accusation which he was utterly unconscious of having deserved, he trusts he may at least be permitted to make a brief defence. If any impartial reader of the Bulletin imagines that the undersigned deserves the double charge of untruthfulness and aggressiveness, made against him on p. 75, Vol. IV, all he asks is that, in simple justice to the party thus accused, said reader will not take the accuser's word for it all, but will examine into the matter, and judge for himself after a full examination of all the facts. Let this impartial reader first turn to a paper published in the Essex Institute Proceedings, 1868, purporting to be a "Catalogue of the Birds of North America contained in the Museum of the Essex Institute," with which is incorporated "A List of the Birds of New England," etc., and let him open at page 3. He will there find the following unmistakable clue to what the writer himself considers a New England bird: "In the following list the New England species are given in Italics, and those contained in the Museum of the Institute are followed by the numbers and localities of the specimens in the collection. All other North American species represented in the Museum are printed in Roman."

Let the impartial reader proceed to carefully examine this eatalogue, beginning with *Cathartes aura*, on page 5, and thence to page 64. He will find some three hundred and thirty-two birds, more or less, each given

in a distinct paragraph, and each paragraph beginning with the name of the species in Italics. The rule given by the author demonstrates that all these are by him considered "New England birds." Among those thus given are Saxicola ananthe, Oporornis formosa, Seiurus Iudocicianus, Corvus ossifragus, and several others, all of which, at that time, were without any evidence of a New England existence. Several were so admitted by the writer in giving them, and some, to this day, have no record in favor of their being of New England. Yet these stand in the list, paragraph, Italics, and all, indistinguishable from Turdus migratorius or Spizella socialis as to their right to be there.

On page 64 the impartial reader will find an "Addenda," giving three more species, all recorded in precisely the same manner with the preceding three hundred and thirty-two, — that is, in separate paragraphs, commencing with their names in Italies, — two of them claimed as actually taken, the third given as found both on our north and south, and stragglers in New England are anticipated, and all three apparently intended to be included in the list. Certainly they are not distinguishable from the others, and Hesperiphona is, to all appearance, as much included in the list as Corvus ossifragus, or any of the others that are admitted to be not actually known to have been taken within its limits.

Now, turning to my list of the birds of New England, page 18, it will be seen that I simply refer to the fact that the bird is thus given, and on hypothetical grounds, the only apparent reason for thus giving it being that its occurrence was regarded as probable, and that I, so far from discrediting, fully admitted this probability, strengthening the hypothesis by mentioning a new instance of its ascertained occurrence near Vermont. The impartial reader can but find that my statement, instead of being false, was to all appearance fully justified; that a "claim" was plainly implied by the writer's own test as to his own meaning and intent, — not as ascertained, like Strix pratincola, but as hypothetical, like Strix ludovicianus; and that not only in the particular paragraph, but throughout my list, no "side-thrust" is given or intended for any one whatever, — in a word, that the accusation is purely imaginative, and that all I stated was given in entire good faith.

Whether I deserve to have it said of me that I "have become notably over fond of giving side-thrusts to any one who may chance to differ," or whether such an unamiable peculiarity is more typical of some one else, would be a question quite out of place in what I trust its publishers design to be a journal devoted to pure ornithological science, and I am the last person who would seek to misuse its pages by mere personalities.— Thomas M. Brewer.

[Though we reluctantly open the pages of the Bulletin to mere personalities, we here give Dr. Brewer a chance to be heard. We are informed that the person referred to has no reply to make. — EDS.]





Vireo atricapillus, of and nest.

BULLETIN

OF THE

NUTTALL ORNITHOLOGICAL CLUB.

Vol. IV.

OCTOBER, 1879.

No. 4.

NOTE ON THE BLACK-CAPPED GREENLET, VIREO ATRI-CAPILLUS OF WOODHOUSE.

BY DR. ELLIOTT COUES, U. S. A.

We are enabled to present our readers with a colored plate with this number of the Bulletin, through the liberality of Mr. J. C. Sinclair, the well-known lithographer of Philadelphia, who very kindly offered to engrave for us the pretty little study in water-colors of the pair of Greenlets made by Mr. W. H. Werner, as noted by Mr. Brewster in his interesting article on Vireo atricapillus (anteà, p. 101). The birds were taken, with the nest and four eggs, on the 6th of May, 1878, in Comal County, Texas, about twenty-three miles northwest of New Braunfels, by Mr. Werner, to whom is due the credit of bringing to notice the first authenticated nest and eggs of the species. We would refer to Mr. Brewster's article (l. c. pp. 99–103) for the full particulars of this capture, including the description of the subjects of Mr. Sinclair's plate, and a review of what had before been known of the species.

It is a singular but well-attested fact in the history of several of our birds, that they remained so long unnoticed after their discovery that they were in danger of being relegated to the list of "lost species," and then suddenly became notorious. Baird's and LeConte's Buntings, and Sprague's Pipit, and the Black-capped Greenlet, are illustrations of this. How little we really learned of this species during the period from 1852 to 1878 may be seen by referring to our "Birds of the Colorado Valley" (pp. 533, 534), where a fair statement of the case is given, with a full index to the

VOL. IV.

13

literature of the subject. In January of the present year (anteà, p. 58), Mr. Ruthven Deane first added something to the meagre knowledge we then possessed, giving the experiences of Mr. G. H. Ragsdale with the species in Texas. Mr. Ragsdale, it appears, took three specimens, all of which were preserved, and was shown a nest with one egg, said to be of a Vireo with a black head, but not thoroughly identified.

Mr. Deane's and Mr. Brewster's articles throw much light upon the history of a hitherto little-known species, but one which will probably soon become common in collections; and the plate now given will, we are sure, be appreciated by our readers as timely and acceptable.

A PARTIAL LIST OF THE BIRDS OF FORT KLAMATH, OREGON, COLLECTED BY LIEUTENANT WILLIS WITTICH, U. S. A., WITH ANNOTATIONS AND ADDITIONS BY THE COLLECTOR.

BY EDGAR A. MEARNS.

(Concluded from p. 166.)

- 40. Picicorvus columbianus (Wilson). CLARKE'S NUTCRACKER. No. 29, Q, June, 1875; No. 30, ♂ ad., 1876. The first specimen, doubtless a young bird, has a faint suffusion of brownish laid over the ash of the dorsal surface, and the bill is much shorter and more convex. Quite common as a resident. Mrs. Wittich writes that they sometimes come about the officers' quarters, and keep around the kitchens.
- 41. Pica melanoleuca hudsonica (Sabine). AMERICAN MAGPIE. No. 80, Q ad., 1878; No. 86, A ad., 1878. A common species.
 Breeds. Lieutenant Wittich furnishes the following notes on its breeding:
 "On May 12, 1878, while on the plain opposite the post, about 3½ miles out, and near the edge of timber, I found, in a thorny bush, or low tree, a Magpie's nest. Scrambling up through the stiff, wiry branches, I looked in and saw four young, without a vestige of either down or feathers, and mouths like well, like young birds. The nest was built of twigs of a dead pine-tree that had been barked and whitened by weather. It was furnished with a kind of superstructure of the same material, forming a fine, rustic lattice-work above the nest, having an aperture in the top, large enough to admit the parent birds."
 - 42. Cyanurus stelleri frontalis, Ridgway. California Moun-

TAIN JAY. — Nos. 31 and 32, Q ad., June, 1875; No. 60, 3 ad., May 4, 1878. A common resident species. Mrs. Wittich writes: "November 9, 1878. The Steller's Jay is quite common about our quarters now, and they sit on the kitchen fence." She also describes a ludicrous scene presented by a flock of Jays attempting to capture some large army biscuits, which kept constantly dropping upon the ground, as often as a bird had succeeded in getting one up in the trees.

- 43. Perisoreus canadensis obscurus, Ridgway. Oregon Gray Jay. February 2, 1875 (McElderry). Resident (Henshaw).
- 44. Tyrannus verticalis (Say). ARKANSAS FLYCATCHER; WESTERN KINGBIRD. No. 33, ad., May 31, 1875. Summer resident (Henshaw).
- 45. Contopus borealis (Swainson). OLIVE-SIDED FLYCATCHER. No. 34, ad., 1875. June 15, 1878 (Wittich). A summer resident (Henshaw).
- 46. Contopus (virens var.?) richardsoni (Swainson). Western Wood Pewee.—No. 35, Q ad., May, 1875; No. 36, & ad., 1875. Summer resident (Henshaw).
- 47. Chordiles virginianus popetue (Vieillot). Western Night-Hawk. June, 1875 (McElderry). Numerous summer resident (Henshaw).

Note. — Humming-Birds are very numerous at Klamath. Their nests were built about the officers' houses in the garrison; but none of the specimens shot have reached me. Stellula calliope and Selasphorus rufus doubtless occur, and possibly Trochilus alexandri.

- 48. Ceryle alcyon (Linné). Belted Kingfisher. No. 37, Q ad., November 1, 1875. Very common at Klamath in summer (Wittich).
- 49. Picus villosus harrisi, Audubon. Harris's Woodpecker.— No. 38, 3 ad., June, 1875. A common summer resident in the pines (Wittich).
- 50. Sphyropicus varius ruber (Gmelin). Red-Breasted Wood-PECKER. — No. 39, & ad., May, 1875.
- 51. Asyndesmus torquatus (Wilson). Lewis's Woodpecker. Nos. 40, 41, 3 and 9 ad., May 10, 1875; No. 59, 3 ad., 1878. Abundant at Klamath (Wittich).
- 52. Picoides arcticus (Swainson). Black-backed Three-toed Woodpecker. No. 58, 3 ad., May 9, 1878. Not uncommon in the mountains, and probably breeds (Henshaw).
- 53. Colaptes auratus mexicanus, Swainson. Red-shafted Flicker. No. 42, Q ad., June 15, 1876.
- 54. Bubo virginianus subarcticus, Hoy. WESTERN GREAT HORNED OWL. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 55. Otus vulgaris wilsonianus (Lesson). Long-eared Owl. August 16, 1875 (McElderry).
- 56. Circus cyaneus hudsonius (Linné). Marsh Hawk; Harrier. No. 43, ♂ ad., June, 1875; No. 44, ♀ juv., 1875. Abundant at Klamath.

- 57. Accipiter fuscus (Gmelin). Sharp-shinned Hawk. No. 45, Q ad., 1875.
- 58. Accipiter cooperi (Bonaparte). Cooper's Hawk. September 2, 1874 (McElderry).
- 59. Astur atricapillus (Wilson). Goshawk.—Mr. Henry W. Henshaw secured a fine specimen about the last of August, 1878, about fourteen miles-south of Fort Klamath.
- 60. Falco mexicanus polyagrus, Cassin. Prairie Falcon.—Hospital list (McElderry).
- 61. Falco sparverius (Linné). Sparrow Hawk. No. 57, Q ad., May 9, 1878; No. 85, 3 juv. Tail with a broad terminal band of pale rufous; subterminal portion and two spots on middle of inner left rectrix, black; residue of tail, dark rufous. The rufous of back is crossed by a few broad bars of black, each primary broadly tipped with very pale rufous or buff. This specimen was found dead upon the floor of a barn, in an emaciated condition, August, 1875 (McElderry). Occasionally observed (Wittich).
- 62. Buteo swainsoni, Bonaparte. Swainson's Hawk.—No. 46, Q ad., 1875.
- 63. Pandion haliaëtus carolinensis (*Gmelin*). American Osprey; Fish-Hawk.— A summer resident; numerous on the rivers and about the Klamath lakes (*Wittich*). Breeds (*Henshaw*).
- 64. Haliaëtus leucocephalus (Linné). WHITE-HEADED EAGLE. Common along the rivers, and especially on Upper Klamath Lake. Breeds (Wittich). Dr. J. S. Newberry gives the following: * It "is very common at the cascades of the Columbia and at the falls of the Willamette, and still more abundant about the chain of lakes which cover so large a surface in the Klamath Basin. On the shores of Upper Klamath Lake, quite to my regret, a large number of these noble birds were shot by our party. So long, century after century, parent and offspring, had they reigned there in undisputed supremacy, with no enemy more formidable than the arrow-armed Indian, of whose missiles they had learned the range, that they exhibited little of the shyness so characteristic of the tribe to which they belong. On some point of rock, or dwarfed pine, projecting from the wall of trap which, to the height of 1,000 feet, borders the eastern shore of the lake, beyond bowshot, the Bald Eagles sat, and viewed our approach with calm indifference, permitting themselves to be brought within easy range of the rifles, and too many of them falling a sacrifice to man's passion for doing what he can, simply because he can."
- 65. Rhinogryphus aura (Linné). Turkey Buzzard. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 66. Zenædura carolinensis (Linné). Mourning Dove. May 2, 1875 (McElderry).

^{*} Pacific Railroad Report, Vol. VI, Pt. IV, p. 74, 1857.

- 67. Canace obscura (Say). Dusky Grouse. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 68. Centrocercus urophasianus (Bonaparte). SAGE COCK; COCK OF THE PLAINS. Near Linkville, in Lost River Valley (McElderry).
- 69. Pediocetes phasianellus columbianus (Ord). Southern Sharp-tailed Grouse. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 70. Bonasa umbellus umbelloides (Dougl.). GRAY RUFFED GROUSE. Resident (Wittich).
- 71. Ægialitis vocifera ($Linn\acute{e}$). KILL-DEER PLOVER. No. 47, ad., 1875.
- 72. **Himantopus mexicanus** (Müller). Black-necked Stilt. No. 48, ad., 1875.
- 73. Steganopus wilsoni (Sabine). Wilson's Phalarope. No. 49, ad., 1875.
- 74. Lobipes hyperboreus (Linné). Northern Phalarope. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 75. Gallinago wilsoni (Temminck). Wilson's Snipe. No. 50, ad., 1875. Summer resident; breeds (McElderry).
- 76. Macrorhamphus griseus (Gmelin). Red-Breasted Snipe. August 24, 1875 (McElderry).
- 77. Gambetta melanoleuca (Gmelin). Tell-tale. No. 51, ad., 1875.
- 78. Totanus solitarius (*Wilson*). Solitary Tattler; Wood Tattler. No. 52, ad., 1875.
- 79. Numenius longirostris, Wilson. Long-billed Curlew.—No. 76, & ad., May 7, 1878. Supposed parent of a nest of four eggs, one of which measures 1.82×2.47 . This nest was built in an exposed situation, on a ridge in the Klamath Marsh.
- 80. Ardea herodias, Linné. Great Blue Heron. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 81. Herodias alba egretta (Gmelin). American Egret.—No. 82, ad., January 8, 1878. Numerous (Henshaw).
- 82. Botaurus lentiginosus (Montag.). American Bittern.—Hospital list (McElderry).
- 83. Grus canadensis (Linné). Brown or Sandhill Crane. Common; breeds (Wittich).
- 84. Rallus virginianus, Linné. VIRGINIA RAIL. No. 54, ad., July 2, 1875.
- 85. Porzana carolina (Linné). Sora Rail. June 17, 1875 (McElderry).
- 86. Fulica americana, Gmelin. AMERICAN COOT. No. 65, ♂ ad., May 13, 1878. Shot near a nest containing six eggs, three of which measure, respectively, 1.32 × 1.93, 1.30 × 1.92, 1.33 × 1.95; average, 1.32 × 1.93. Common summer resident (Wittich).
 - 87. Cygnus buccinator, Richardson. TRUMPETER SWAN. Hospi-

tal list (McElderry). Doubtless the Whistling Swan $(C.\ americanus, Sharpless)$ also occurs.

- 88. Chen hyperboreus (Pallas). Snow Goose.— Hospital list (McElderry).
- 89. Anser gambeli (*Hartlaub*). American White-fronted Goose. Hospital list (*McElderry*).
- 90. Branta canadensis (Linné). Canada Goose. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 91. Branta bernicla nigricans, Lawrence. Black Brant. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 92. Anas boschas (Linne'). Mallard ; Wild Duck. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 93. Dafila acuta (Linné). Sprig-tail; Pin-tail. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 94. Mareca americana (Gmelin). Baldpate; American Widgeon. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 95. Nettion carolinensis (Gmelin). Green-winged Teal. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 96. Querquedula cyanoptera (Vieillot). CINNAMON TEAL. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 97. Spatula clypeata ($Linn\acute{e}$). Shoveller; Spoon-bill Duck. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 98. Aix sponsa (Linn'e). Summer Duck ; Wood Duck. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 99. Fuligula affinis (Eyton). Lesser Blackhead.—Linkville (McElderry).
- 100. Bucephala clangula americana (Bonaparte). American Golden-Eye. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 101. Bucephala islandica (*Gmelin*). Barrow's Golden-Eye.—Hospital list (*McElderry*).
- 102. Bucephalus albeola (Linné). Buffle-head; Butter-ball. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 103. Erismatura rubida (Wilson). Ruddy Duck. No. 64, ♂ ad., spring of 1878; No. 81, ♂ ad., spring of 1875. Both of the above specimens were in fine breeding plumage. It probably breeds at Klamath.
- 104. Mergus castor americanus (Cassin). American Sheldrake. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 105. Mergus serrator $(Linn\acute{e})$. Red-breasted Sheldrake. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 106. Mergus cucullatus (Linné). HOODED SHELDRAKE.— Hospital list (McElderry).
- 107. Pelecanus erythrorhynchus, *Gmelin*. American White Pelican.—Klamath Lake (*McElderry*). I saw one or two on Tule Lake when at the Lava Beds with General Howard (*Wittich*).

- 108. Larus californicus, Lawrence. California Gull. Upper Klamath Lake, November, 1875 (McElderry).
- 109. Hydrochelidon nigra $(Linn\acute{e})$. Black Tern. No. 56, ad., spring of 1875.
- 110. Colymbus torquatus, Brünn. Loon; Great Northern Diver. Hospital list (McElderry).
- 111. Colymbus arctious pacificus. Lawrence. Pacific Black-throated Diver. Hospital list (McElderry).

BREEDING HABITS OF THE AMERICAN BROWN CREEPER (CERTHIA FAMILIARIS AMERICANA).

BY WILLIAM BREWSTER.

In his interesting article on the American Brown Creeper, in the Bulletin for April, 1879, Dr. Brewer calls our attention to the recent occurrence of several nests of that species, which were placed within loose scales of semi-detached bark, at the same time stating it to be his opinion that this mode of nesting is the one most commonly followed by the American bird. Some further evidence tending to confirm this view of the case is offered in the following number of the Bulletin by Mr. Egbert Bagg, Jr., who notes the finding of a nest similarly constructed in Hamilton County, New York.

These data cast a new light upon a previously obscure subject, although the fact that the American Creeper sometimes nests behind the loose bark of trees is by no means a novel one. As long ago as 1864 Mr. Allen described * a nest so placed, which had been examined by him in Springfield, Mass. This account, although quoted by both Samuels and Minot, seems to have been generally ignored in most of our recent standard works on ornithology, nor does Dr. Brewer refer to it in any way in the course of his article, although, in addition to being our earliest record of the breeding of the species in Massachusetts, it was apparently the first published description of what, it now appears, is the Creeper's characteristic manner of nesting.

Being greatly interested in the subject, I paid a good deal of

^{*} Proc. Essex Nest., Vol. IV, p. 68, July, 1864.

attention to investigating the Creeper's breeding habits while on a collecting trip to Lake Umbagog, Western Maine, in May and June of the present year. During former seasons I had wasted much valuable time in sounding old Woodpecker's holes and natural cavities about places where the birds were evidently nesting; but, with the right clew at last in my possession, I succeeded on this occasion in finding quite a number of nests. In the belief that the subject is not yet exhausted, I am induced to present the following account of my observations.

Throughout the heavily timbered region bordering on Lake Umbagog the Brown Creeper is of regular occurrence during the breeding season. It is never an abundant species there, but each square mile of suitable woodland is pretty sure to harbor a pair or two, and in places along the lake shores, where numerous decaying stubs form an outer fringe to sombre forests of spruce and fir, the combination of favorable conditions attracts them in somewhat greater numbers. Any considerable collection of these stubs is nearly certain to afford one or more trees in just the right stage of decay essential for nesting purposes, while the adjoining woodlands offer the shade and seclusion so congenial to their solitary habits during this season. It was in a locality of this character that the first nest taken during the past season was found. Let me briefly sketch the picture ere it fades.

I had crossed the lake to a sheltered cove which opened an inviting way into the tangled forest. On either hand, heavily wooded ridges sloped steeply down to the water's edge, cutting off the high north-wind that was blowing over the lake outside, and the warm sunshine lay upon a smooth basin that was seldom dimpled by even a passing breeze. At its farther extremity, where a mossy bank rose abruptly from the shore, graceful hemlocks laved the tips of their drooping branches in the water, and tall firs and spruces looked down upon the perfect reflection of their stiff, soldierly forms in the mirror-like surface beneath. Here and there, where the land was more level and the water flowed back among the trees, grim stubs, many of them hung with streamers of the yellowish-gray Usnea "moss," stood grouped about, adding to the picturesqueness of the scene.

These quiet little nooks abound about most of the Maine lakes, and they are almost invariably well stocked with birds. The retirement that they offer, coupled with the increased abundance of insect life, forms an attraction too powerful to be overlooked. The place just described proved to be no exception to this rule. The spruce tops were filled with busy flitting Warblers of various species, some of them migratory individuals resting for a few hours before resuming their northward journey; others already mated, and established for the brief season of reproduction so near at hand. Among the stubs, Woodpeckers were swinging from trunk to trunk, or entering their neatly rounded holes with food for their mates or young. From a dead branch that overhung the thicket beneath, a Water Thrush (Siurus nævius) uttered his gushing warble, while at intervals, in the cool depths of the forest on the mountain side, arose the exquisite liquid notes of a Winter Wren. Such were a few of the more prominent actors in the varied scene.

Among the other voices I shortly detected the sweet wild song of the Brown Creeper, and, looking more carefully, spied a pair of these industrious little gleaners winding their way up the trunk of a neighboring tree. Although I watched them closely, the female soon after in some way eluded my sight and mysteriously disappeared, but the male remained in the immediate vicinity, singing at frequent intervals. Being convinced that they must have a nest somewhere near, I instituted a careful search among the dead trees that stood around, and at length detected a scale of loose bark, within which was crammed a suspicious-looking mass of twigs and other rubbish. A vigorous rapping upon the base of the trunk producing no effect, I climbed to the spot and was about to tear off the bark, when the frightened Creeper darted out within a few inches of my face, and the next moment I looked in upon the eggs.

The tree selected was a tall dead fir, that stood in the shallow water just outside the edge of the living forest, but surrounded by numbers of its equally unfortunate companions. Originally killed by inundation, its branches had long ago yielded to the fury of the winter storms, and the various destroying agents of time had stripped off the greater part of the bark until only a few persistent scales remained to chequer the otherwise smooth, mast-like stem. One of these, in process of detachment, had started away from the trunk below, while its upper edges still retained a comparatively firm hold, and within the space thus formed the cunning little architect had constructed her nest. The whole width of the opening had first been filled with a mass of tough but slender twigs (many of them at least six inches in length), and upon this foundation the

202

nest proper had been constructed. It was mainly composed of the fine inner bark of various trees, with an admixture of a little Usnea moss and a number of spiders' cocoons. The whole mass was firmly but rather loosely put together, the different particles retaining their proper position more from the adhesion of their rough surfaces than by reason of any special arrangement or interweaving. The general shape of the structure necessarily conformed nearly with that of the space within which it was placed, but a remarkable feature was presented by the disposition of the lateral extremities. These were carried upward to a height of several inches above the middle of the nest, ending in long narrow points or horns, which gave to the whole somewhat the shape of a well-filled crescent. In the centre or lowest part of the sag thus formed was the depression for the reception of the eggs, - an exceedingly neat, cup-shaped hollow, bordered by strips of soft, flesh-colored bark and lined with feathers from Ducks and other wild birds. The whole was fastened to the concave inner surface of the bark-scale rather than to the tree itself, so that when the former was detached it readily came off with it. I afterwards found two old nests which were perhaps originally built by this same pair of birds, as they were placed on a tree that stood close at hand. They were under a single enormous piece of bark, but at its opposite lateral extremities. One of them, a nearly shapeless mass of rubbish, was scarcely recognizable, but the other still retained its original shape and finish, and contained an unhatched egg, the contents of which had long since dried away. Probably they represented the homes successively occupied during the two preceding seasons, and it is hence likely that this species, like so many others, returns year after year to breed in nearly the same spot.

If the above description conveys the desired impression to the reader's mind, he can scarcely fail to be struck by the manifold advantages of such a nesting-site. A perfect shelter from the sun and rain is afforded by the roof of bark, which, from the loose attachment of its lower edges, allows a sufficiently free circulation of air to insure good ventilation. And as for concealment, excepting of course the positions chosen by some of the ground-building species, who must necessarily sacrifice nearly-every other consideration of safety in favor of this one, it would be difficult to imagine a more perfectly hidden nest. The very simplicity and naturalness of the situation is well calculated to deceive all enemies, and the

imperfections of our past records well attest how closely the secret has been kept from man, nor is it probable that the predatory birds or mammals are often more successful. Even should a Jay or Squirrel succeed in discovering the presence of such a nest, they would be unable to enter through the narrow crevice used by the Creeper, and it is not likely that either their patience or strength would endure to tear out the sticks and other materials of the substructure from below, and thus obtain possession of the coveted eggs or young. Yet, now that the secret is out, the very peculiarity of its position renders this nest a singularly easy one to find. After taking my first specimen I experienced little difficulty in recognizing a "Creeper tree" — as my guide got to calling them — almost at a glance.

The eggs of the Brown Creeper have been described so well already that it seems unnecessary to enter into further details here. My specimens show only a very limited range of variation, and this chiefly in regard to size, for the shape and markings of the different examples are quite uniform. As Dr. Brewer suspected, the grayish ground-color is peculiar to specimens far advanced in incubation. The freshly laid egg is tinged with that delicate fleshy hue found in several other eggs of thin shells and sparse markings. With the removal of the contents, however, this tint always vanishes, leaving the shell of pure, almost crystalline whiteness.

The following concise record of all the nests taken during the season of 1879 will illustrate the somewhat variable times at which the different sets of eggs were deposited: May 31, nest with set of six eggs, incubation about five days; June 5, nest with six eggs, incubation about six days; June 14, nest with five young, which were perhaps a week old; June 19, nest with four fresh eggs,—a complete set, as the bird laid no additional ones, although left unmolested for two days longer. This clutch may possibly have been a second laying by the pair robbed on May 31, as the site was only a few hundred yards distant. June 23, nest with four fresh eggs, locality several miles away from that of any of the preceding.

With respect to their general plan of construction, all of the eight nests which I have examined were essentially similar. Indeed, the uniform character of the nesting-sites chosen by the different pairs of birds was not a little remarkable. Thus, in every single instance that came under my observation, the nest was placed on a balsam fir, though spruce, birch, or elm stubs were often much more numer-

ous, and frequently presented equally good accommodations. Again. in no instance did the tree resorted to retain more than three or four pieces of bark, while oftentimes the scale that sheltered the nest was the only one that remained. The height varied from five to fifteen feet, but this particular was perhaps sometimes determined more by necessity than by any individual preference, as I noticed that when several equally suitable bark-scales occurred on the same tree, the lowest was invariably the one taken. In one such case the nest was so low that I could easily look into it by standing up in my boat. As before indicated, the size and shape of the different structures varied with that of the cavities in which they were placed. When the space between the bark and trunk was very narrow, the foundation of sticks was entirely dispensed with, the nest being then entirely composed of bark. Of the five examples now before me, only two are feather-lined, the remaining three being simply finished with shreds of the reddish inner fir bark of a somewhat finer quality than those which make up the outer part of the structure. The most striking feature of all is the prolongation of the upper corners, already described. In one extreme specimen these horns rise four inches above the central cup that contains the eggs. They are, perhaps, designed to act as stays or supports, as they are firmly attached to the rough inner surface of the bark which sustains the nest.

In the article previously referred to, Dr. Brewer, in speaking of the nest found at Taunton, says: "The opening was nearly closed with chips of bark and other substances forming its foundation," etc. From this I infer that the birds entered the nest from beneath or between the bark and the edge of the nest. If this was actually the case, the Taunton nest must have been differently planned from any of my Maine examples, for in all of these the opening beneath was so effectually closed that no bird, however small, could have forced its way in from that direction, and ample opportunities for observation convinced me that the Creepers themselves never attempted to do so. They invariably entered at a point on the side, several inches above the nest and between the edge of the bark-scale and the stem of the tree. In most cases some inequality in the edge of the bark offered a convenient opening; but I remember one instance where there was only a straight, narrow crack that seemed far too small for any bird to pass through, yet I repeatedly saw the Creeper go in and out without apparent difficulty.

Were it not for Professor Aughey's testimony we might fairly be inclined to suspect that all our earlier accounts of this Creeper's nesting were either founded upon hearsay or were purely fictitious. But we have this gentleman's satisfactory assurance that in Nebraska the Creeper does sometimes nest in holes in trees. Being desirous of obtaining further particulars regarding the nest mentioned by him in his paper on "The Nature of the Food of the Birds of Nebraska," and referred to by Dr. Brewer in the April Bulletin, I wrote to Professor Aughey on the subject, and the following is an extract from his very courteous reply: "In reference to Certhia familiaris; it is certain that in Nebraska, where its favorite position for nesting under scales of loose bark is in some localities difficult to obtain, it makes a nest in knot-holes. I have found two other nests in such places, - one in June, 1877, between Bellevue and Omaha, on the Missouri Bluffs, in a box-elder tree; another in June of the present season on Middle Creek, four miles from Lincoln, also in a box-elder. I have also found several in the ordinary positions where old cottonwoods or elms abounded. It is therefore my conviction that this method of nesting in knot-holes was inaugurated because of the scarcity of the ordinary positions. I could not find any tree near by where a nesting-place under bark could have been obtained in these instances of nesting in knot-holes."

Reasoning upon the analogy furnished by the above facts, it seems not impossible that Eastern nests also may occasionally occur in holes, but in the present state of our definite knowledge on the subject, it is perhaps idle to speculate on a question which can only be settled by future investigations. It is, however, certainly not too much to say that in the regions where it is best known, the Creeper habitually nests behind bark-scales, and prefers them to all other situations.

I should be doing injustice to my subject were I to close the present article without touching upon the breeding habits of the birds, the more especially as very little concerning them seems to have been previously written. Of the nests taken during the past season only one was in process of construction when found. The female was putting in the lining, and the work was so vigorously pushed that by the next morning the whole was completed and the first egg laid. Her rambles in search of material were limited to the immediate vicinity, and rarely extended beyond the distance of a few rods. Winding her way up some crumbling spruce or fir, she

tore off shreds of the decomposing bark, until her bill was filled, then, swinging downward in the usual characteristic manner, she alighted against the stem of the nesting-tree just below the hole, and, glancing about for a moment to be sure that no danger was near, glided nimbly upward, and with wonderful quickness disappeared under the edge of the sheltering bark. A few moments would then elapse, when the silence was broken only by the rasping cheep, cheep of the wood-borers in the rotting stubs around, or the hissing of a brood of Woodpeckers from their hole in the top of a tall dead ash a few yards away; then she would suddenly appear again, flying directly from the nest to renew her search at the base of an adjoining tree. On these trips she was invariably accompanied by the male, who usually preceded her up the trunk, and upon her return to the nest, clung to the bark near at hand. His song was almost incessant, though the day was dark and stormy, and most of the wood birds utterly silent. But save by his cheering notes he apparently rendered no assistance; indeed, on more than one occasion I caught him in the act of surreptitiously swallowing a grub which he had drawn from its concealment while his patient partner's back was turned. If not an unselfish husband, he is, however, at least an attentive one. After the cares of incubation have begun, he is generally to be found in the immediate vicinity of the nesting-tree, extending his leisurely rambles through the surrounding woods, but rarely straying far away from the spot. He is a frequent but scarcely a persistent singer, and his voice, though one of the sweetest that ever rises in the depths of the Northern forests, is never a very conspicuous sound in the woodlands where he makes his home. This is due to the fact that his song is short and by no means powerful, but its tones are so exquisitely pure and tender that I have never heard it without a desire to linger in the vicinity until it had been many times repeated. It consists of a bar of four notes, the first of moderate pitch, the second lower and less emphatic, the third rising again, and the last abruptly falling, but dying away in an indescribably plaintive cadence, like the soft sigh of the wind among pine boughs. I can compare it to no other bird voice that I have ever heard. In the pitch and succession of the notes it somewhat resembles the song of the Carolina Titmouse (Parus carolinensis), but the tone is infinitely purer and sweeter. Like the wonderful melody of the Winter Wren, it is in perfect keeping with the mysterious gloom of the woods; a wild, clear voice that one feels would

lose its greatest charm if exposed to cheerful light and commonplace surroundings.

On sunny April mornings I have heard the Creeper singing from the elms along the noisy streets of Massachusetts towns and cities; but the strain at such times was broken and incomplete, and gave but little idea of the author's real powers of song.

The labor of incubation seems to be performed by the female Creeper alone, nor have I been able to ascertain that the male even feeds her while she is sitting, though I have reasons for suspecting that he may sometimes do so.

Several times during each day she leaves the nest to make short excursions through the neighboring woods in search of food. On such occasions the male is invariably to be found in close attendance. He leads the way up the rough-barked boles, and when the thickly diverging branches are reached, takes flight for the next trunk, alighting near the base, always followed closely by his mate. An incessant conversational chirping is carried on in a low tone by the happy pair, and the theme no doubt is of his adventures during the morning, or their mutual hopes and fears regarding their coming brood. At frequent intervals his pure voice thrills through the dark spruce woods, and when his partner returns to her maternal cares he sings long and joyously in the vicinity before resuming his solitary wanderings. Thus the bright June days pass, until at length the young have burst the shell, and our Creeper finds the burden of a numerous family upon his hands. But he rises bravely to meet the occasion, and, laying aside his former free life, devotes himself unremittingly to the task of supplying food to the hungry brood. A nest containing five young, which I found on June 14, was visited by both parents, who came alternately at intervals of about a minute. The work was carried on with the utmost silence and despatch; not a sound being uttered by either old or young. The latter were already partially feathered, and were perhaps a week old. With their short, nearly straight bills and preternaturally grave aspect, the little fellows presented a most singular appearance. Several of them had been crowded out of the limited space afforded by the nest, and were sitting on the rim of the surrounding platform. A week later I passed the spot and found the whole family gone.

In his description of the Grand Menan nest (Birds of North America, Vol. I, p. 127), Dr. Brewer speaks of the extreme grief evinced by the parents, who, upon finding their home destroyed by

one of the party, "began to circle round his head with reproachful cries, and continued to keep so close to him that it was impossible to shoot one without mutilating it." This behavior was strikingly at variance with that displayed by any of the several pairs whose nests I took during the past season. When started off, the females usually alighted against the trunk of the nearest tree and in perfect silence, watched me as I detached the nest and packed the eggs. Upon my withdrawing a little distance, they ordinarily returned at once and confidently alighted at the place where the barkscale had formerly rested. After scanning the bare stem for a moment they became uneasy, and hurriedly climbed upward for a yard or two, then, dropping to the former level, ascended again. At length, after repeated search, a few chirps were given, when the male appeared, and both birds went over the ground, literally inch by inch, closely examining the trunk from its base to the very top. On the only occasion when I remained in the vicinity to watch the dénouement, they desisted from their efforts after about an hour's search, and carelessly strayed off into the forest, the female feeding as she went, and the male singing freely as before. Moreover, in the case of the nest with young, I purposely placed myself at the foot of the tree, and even partially pried off the bark that sheltered the nest, without exciting any visible apprehension on the part of the parents, who simply watched me in motionless and apparently apathetic silence. In view of these facts the conduct of the pair observed by Dr. Brewer, may probably be regarded as of individual rather than specific significance.

In regard to the question of Southern distribution, I can offer nothing new. I am, however, decidedly of the opinion that the Brown Creeper — in the Atlantic States at least — is strictly a bird of the Canadian Fauna, and while, with several other companion species, it may yet be found breeding at a sufficient elevation on mountain ranges far to the southward, the occurrence of nests in the lower portions of Massachusetts may probably be considered as purely exceptional. Many similar examples might be instanced, as the breeding in Connecticut of Dendræca carulescens, and Ereunetes pusillus, and the occasional nesting in Massachusetts of Myiodioctes canadensis; but it is needless to multiply quotations, as it is now pretty well understood that faunal lines must not be too strictly drawn. Broadly speaking, then, the Brown Creeper occurs in the three southern New England States only as a winter visitor, — one

of that galaxy of brave, cheerful little spirits that come to us with the first chill winds of autumn, and after enlivening the naked woods with their presence through the colder months, depart for the evergreen forests of the north when the April sun begins to freshen the turf on sheltered hillsides.

A PARTIAL LIST OF THE BIRDS OF CHESTER COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.

BY LEVERETT M. LOOMIS.

[The subjoined local list has the unusual merit of being the carefully made observations of one observer. It comprises the results of the watchfulness of one student extending over three years. It was not originally intended for publication by its author, but was sent to me as a private communication, and, at first, my advice was to withhold it from publication until it could be made more complete. With the design to cull from it only such notes as mark positive additions to our knowledge, a more attentive examination has led to the conviction that, as a whole, it is well worthy of publicity. It only purports to be a "partial" list of the birds of a small portion of South Carolina, and to give only the species actually taken by the writer. It necessarily omits several kinds named by others; but this is of small consequence compared with the valuable feature of certainty which pervades all its statements, when we know that "no statement is made except after careful revision and thorough study as to comparative abundance and verification of examples."

Doubtless further observations will lead to the discovery of more resident and migratory species, and reveal chance visitants not yet recorded. Such a list as the following is a valuable substructure to build upon, while it also furnishes important additions to our previous knowledge. The asterisk (*) indicates species that remain and breed. — T. M. Brewer.]

SOUTH CAROLINA is divided into four great belts: 1. The marshy region of the coast, or "low country"; 2. The dry, sandy "pine barrens" of Middle Carolina; 3. The rolling uplands of the northern portions of the State; 4. The mountainous districts of the extreme northwest.

Chester County is situated in the third belt, between parallels of latitude 34° 33′ and 34° 49′, one hundred and twenty-eight to one hundred and sixty-six miles from the sea, with an average altitude

14

of about "seven hundred" feet above tide water. The general surface of the county is a rolling upland, with regions entirely level, as in the case of many of the high "black-jack" lands, which, from the underlying aphanitic porphyry, constitute a notable feature in the geological formation of the State. The geology of the county may be briefly described as follows: About one half gneiss and granite, and nearly equal parts of the remainder mica slate, talcose slate, and aphanitic porphyry. Between one fourth and one sixth of the county is in primeval forest, the timber being chiefly deciduous trees, interspersed here and there with groups of large pines. The neglected "old fields" are overgrown with red cedars, scrubby "black-jacks," and stunted pines.

The Catawba and Broad rivers bound the county on the east and west; the water-shed dividing it nearly in the centre. Numerous large creeks, with their endless tributary "branches" and springs flowing from every valley, empty into these rivers.

The following list embodies the results of my observations during the past three years in Chester County. The absence of many birds known to be Carolinian, such as Strix flammea americana, Nauclerus furcatus, Aix sponsa, etc., will be noted; but as no specimens have been actually taken by myself, they have been rigidly excluded.

- *1. Turdus migratorius. Robin. Resident. Abundant in winter, from October to April; very abundant during its migrations in November, February, and part of March. Not common in summer.
- * 2. Turdus mustelinus. Wood Thrush; "Thrush." Summer; rather common; common during its migrations.
- 3. Turdus pallasi. HERMIT THRUSH; "THRUSH."—Winter; very common. Specimens taken as late as the 21st of April.
- 4. Turdus swainsoni. OLIVE-BACKED THRUSH; "THRUSH."—Migratory. Rather common.
- 5. Turdus fuscescens. Wilson's Thrush; "Thrush." Migratory. Rather common.
- *6. Mimus polyglottus. Mocking-Bird. Resident. Common in winter; very abundant in summer.
- * 7. Mimus carolinensis. Catbird. Summer; abundant; very abundant during its migrations.
- *8. Harporhynchus rufus. Brown Thrush; "Thrasher."—Resident. Common in summer; not very common in winter; most numerous during its migrations.
- *9. Sialia sialis. Bluebird.—Resident. Abundant in winter; very common in summer. Eggs taken March 21.

- 10. Regulus calendula. RUBY-CROWNED KINGLET. Winter; rather common, but most abundant during its migrations.
- 11. Regulus satrapa. Golden-Crested Kinglet. Winter; common; abundant during migrations.
- *12. Polioptila cærulea. Blue-gray Gnatcatcher. Summer; abundant; very abundant during its migrations.
- *13. Lophophanes bicolor. Tufted Titmouse.— Resident. Very common.
- *14. Parus carolinensis. Carolina Chickadee; "Tom-tit."—Resident. Abundant in winter; very common in summer. Very tame and unsuspicious.
- *15. Sitta carolinensis. White-bellied Nuthatch. Resident. Common; very common during its migrations.
- 16. Sitta canadensis. Red-Bellied Nuthatch.—A single specimen, taken on the 24th of February, 1877, at Chester Court-House, in a wood of downy black-jacks.
- *17. Sitta pusilla. Brown-headed Nuthatch. Resident. Common in proper situations.
- 18. Certhia familiaris. Brown Creeper. Winter; rather common.
- *19. Thryothorus ludovioianus. Great Carolina Wren; "Wren."—Resident. Common. Sings through the entire winter.[*]
- *20. Thryothorus bewicki. Bewick's Wren; "House Wren."

 Resident. Not very common. Found about dwellings, out-houses, wood-piles, brush-heaps in the woodland, etc.
- 21. Anorthura troglodytes hyemalis. Winter Wren. Winter; rather common.
- 22. Eremophila alpestris. HORNED LARK.—Winter; common; exceedingly abundant during the severe weather of January, 1877.
 - 23. Anthus ludovicianus. Brown Lark. Winter; abundant.
- *24. Mniotilta varia. Black-and-white Warbler.— Summer; common; very common during its migrations. In full song from time of first arrival, about the middle or latter part of March. Very shy on first appearance, but soon becomes familiar and unsuspicious.
- *25. Parula americana. Blue Yellow-backed Warbler.—Summer; rather common; abundant during the migrations.

^{[*} In a letter dated June, 1879, Mr. Loomis writes in reference to this species: "Last week I took a very anomalous nest of the Great Carolina Wren. The structure was a wide departure from the ordinary globular one, being cupshaped in form, with the opening at the top. It was placed obliquely in the corner of the boxing over a door, in an old and partially unfloored church that was situated in the midst of a large wood. The measurements were as follows: external diameter, 5-6 inches; internal, $2\frac{1}{2}$ -3; height, $2\frac{1}{2}$ - $4\frac{1}{2}$; depth, $2\frac{1}{4}$. The male was secured as he left the nest." — T. M. B.]

- 26. Helmintherus vermivorus. WORM-EATING WARBLER. Summer? (specimens taken August 17, 19, 21, etc.); rather common.
- *27. Dendrœca æstiva. Summer Warbler. Summer; most abundant during its migrations, when it is common.
- 28. Dendrœca virens. BLACK-THROATED GREEN WARBLER. A single specimen, taken May 9, 1879.
- 29. Dendrœca cærulescens. Black-throated Blue Warbler. Migratory; very common.
- 30. Dendrœca coronata. Yellow-rumped Warbler. Winter; abundant; very abundant during migrations.
- 31. Dendræca blackburniæ. Blackburnian Warbler. Migratory; very common.
- 32. Dendrœca striata. Black-poll Warbler. Migratory; common.
- 33. Dendrœca pennsylvanica. Chestnut-sided Warbler. Migratory; very common.
- 34. Dendrœca maculosa. Black-and-yellow Warbler, Migratory. Two specimens.
- 35. Dendrœca tigrina. Cape May Warbler. Migratory. Two examples.
- *36. Dendrœca discolor. Prairie Warbler. Summer; common.
- *37. Dendrœca dominica. Yellow-throated Warbler. Summer; common. An incessant songster, and one of the earliest of the spring arrivals.
- 38. Dendrœca palmarum. Yellow Red-Poll Warbler Winter; not very common; very common during migrations.
- *39. Dendrœca pinus. Pine-creeping Warbler. Resident. Very abundant in winter, apparently most so during its migrations; common in summer.
- 40. Siurus auricapillus. Golden-Crowned Accentor. Migratory; common. Specimens taken May 12 and August 28.
- 41. Siurus nævius. AQUATIC ACCENTOR. Migratory; rather common. Specimens taken May 14.
- 42. Oporornis formosa. Kentucky Warbler. A single specimen taken, September 4, 1877.
- *43. Geothlypis trichas. Maryland Yellow-throat. Summer; common; abundant during its migrations.
- *44. Icteria virens. Yellow-breasted Chat. Summer; common; very common during migrations.
- 45. Myiodioctes canadensis. Canadian Flycatching War-BLER. — A single specimen taken May 8, 1879.
- 46. Setophaga ruticilla. REDSTART.— Migratory; abundant. Three specimens were taken on the 17th of August.
 - 47. Pyranga rubra. Scarlet Tanager. Migratory; common.

- *48. Pyranga æstiva. Summer Redbird; "Redbird."—Summer; abundant. Although a woodland bird, the Summer Tanager is by no means strictly confined to the timber, but, on the contrary, is found in the groves and shade-trees of the town, and around the planters' houses in the open country, and is everywhere an incessant songster. During spring the woods are filled, at all hours of the day, with the fervid melody of this tireless vocalist.[*]
- 49. Hirundo erythrogastra. BARN SWALLOW.—Migratory; very common. Specimens taken May 16 and July 31. Said to breed, but not commonly, in the county.
- 50. Tachycineta bicolor. White-bellied Swallow. Migratory. Two specimens.
- *51 Stelgidopteryx serripennis. ROUGH-WINGED SWALLOW. Summer; rather common; common during its migrations. Generally distributed, but most abundant in the vicinity of water.
- *52. Progne subis. Purple Martin; "Black Martin."—Summer; abundant.
- 53. Ampelis cedrorum. Carolina Waxwing. During the winter of 1877–78 these birds were very abundant; so numerous did they become that even the casual observer noted their unusual numbers; but the following winter they were not abundant. Not observed in summer. Specimens taken May 9.
- *54. Vireo olivaceus. Red-eyed Vireo. Summer; very common; abundant during its migrations.
- *55. Vireo flavifrons. Yellow-throated Vireo.— Summer; common; very common during its migrations.
- 56. Vireo solitarius. Solitary Vireo. Migratory. One specimen, taken on the 27th of October, 1877.

^{[*} A nest of the Summer Redbird, containing three eggs (its usual complement), has been sent to me by Mr. Loomis, with its female parent. It was found on a public thoroughfare, in the edge of a grove, and built in a small black-jack oak, near the extremity of an inclining limb, five feet from the trunk and eight and one half above the ground. It is saddled on the limb, partially resting on the smaller branchlets, and is nearly homogeneous in structure, being a beautifully interwoven fabric of stems of grasses, the larger ones making the external framework, the finer ones enclosing the deep cup-like cavity, which is wider below than at the rim. The height of the nest is about 2 inches; the depth of the cavity, being 1.90, shows how thin is the floor. The external diameter of the cavity at the rim is 2.80, and half an inch below, 3 inches; that of the whole nest varies from 5 to 5.75 inches. The eggs measure .89 X.71; .92×.70; .90×.66. Their ground-color is a light shade of emerald green, marked with various shades of brown, more or less tinged with lilac, purple, and slate. These are well scattered over the egg, though larger and more numerous about the larger end. - T. M. B.]

- *57. Vireo noveboracensis. White-eyed Vireo.—Summer; common; abundant during its migrations.
- *58. Lanius ludovicianus. Loggerhead Shrike; "Loggerhead," "French Mocking-Bird."—Resident. Common in winter; very common during spring and fall; not observed to be common during summer.
- 59. Carpodacus purpureus. Purple Finch. Winter; common; abundant during the winter of 1877-78.
- 60. Chrysomitris pinus. PINE LINNET. Winter; irregular; not very common. Specimens taken April 14.
- *61. Chrysomitris tristis. AMERICAN GOLDFINCH. Resident. Abundant in winter; common in summer. During the mild winter of 1877-78 these birds were much less abundant than during the previous and subsequent severe winters.
- 62. Passerculus savanna. Savannah Sparrow. Winter; abundant; apparently most abundant during its migrations.
- 63. Poœcetes gramineus. BAY-WINGED BUNTING. Winter; exceedingly abundant.
- *64. Coturniculus passerinus. Yellow-winged Sparrow. Summer; rather common; common during its migrations; not observed during winter.
- 65. Melospiza palustris. SWAMP SPARROW. Winter; one specimen, taken on the 1st of February, 1879; common during the migrations.
- 66. Melospiza meloda. Song Sparrow. Winter; very abundant.
 - 67. Junco hyemalis. Snow-BIRD. Winter; abundant.
- *68. Spizella socialis. Chipping Sparrow. Resident. Exceedingly abundant in winter; abundant in summer.
- *69. Spizella pusilla. Field Sparrow. Resident. Abundant in winter; very common in summer. Two albinos obtained.
- 70. Zonotrichia albicollis. White-throated Sparrow. Winter; very abundant.
 - 71. Passerella iliaca. Fox Sparrow. Winter; common.
- *72. Goniaphea cærulea. Blue Grosbeak.—Summer; common. Frequents streams skirted by willows or recent growth, partially cleared fields, edges of woods, etc.; often found in cultivated fields and about dwellings, occasionally in groves, very rarely in the dense woodland. Nests in two instances have been found in scrubby growth near houses; one within thirty yards of the piazza, by a constantly travelled path. Not timid; resents intrusion with much volubility.
- *73. Cyanospiza cyanea. Indigo-Bird. Summer; very common.
- * 74. Cardinalis virginianus. Cardinal Redbird; "Redbird."—Resident. Common.
 - 75. Pipilo erythrophthalmus. Townee Bunting; "Joe-Ree."—

Winter; abundant during the winter of 1877-78; rather common, winter of 1878-79; abundant during the migrations. Albino obtained.

- 76. Dolichonyx oryzivorus. RICEBIRD. Migratory; abundant.
- 77. Molothrus ater. Cowbird; "Blackbird." Winter; common; most abundant during migrations.
- * 78. Agelæus phœniceus. Red-winged Blackbird. "Blackbird." —Resident. Common; abundant during its migrations.
- * 79. Sturnella magna. FIELD LARK. Resident. Abundant in winter; very abundant during its migrations; common in summer in some localities.
- *80. Icterus spurius. Orchard Oriole. Summer; very common.
- *81. Icterus baltimore. Baltimore Oriole. Summer; most numerous during its migrations; rather common.
- 82. Scolecophagus ferrugineus. Rusty Grackle; "Blackberd".— Winter; rather common.
- 83. Quiscalus purpureus. Purple Grackle; "Blackbird."—Winter; not (?) abundant. Said to breed.
- *84. Corvus americanus. Crow. Resident. Very common in winter; common in summer.
- *85. Cyanurus cristatus. Blue Jay. Resident; very abundant, especially during summer. Familiar and unsuspicious, nesting abundantly around dwellings, and in the shade-trees along the thoroughfares of the town of Chester.
 - *86. Tyrannus carolinensis. Bee-Martin. Summer; common.
- *87. Myiarchus crinitus. Great-crested Flycatcher. Summer; very common; abundant during migrations.
- 88. Sayornis fuscus. Pewee. Winter; rather common; very common during spring and fall. Usually frequents the woodland in winter.
- *89. Contopus virens. WOOD PEWEE; "DEAD-LIMB BIRD."—Summer: common.
- *90. Empidonax acadicus. Acadian Flycatcher. Summer; common; very common during migrations.
- *91. Antrostomus carolinensis. Chuck-will's-widow; "Dutch Whip-poor-will"; "Chip-the-red-oak-white-oak." Summer; rather common.
- *92. Antrostomus vociferus. Whip-poor-will. Summer; most numerous during its migrations; common.
- *93. Chordiles virginianus. Bull-bat. Summer; common; abundant during its migrations.
- *94. Chætura pelagica. Chimney Swift; "Chimney Sweeper," "Chimney Swallow." Summer; abundant.
- *95. Trochilus colubris. Ruby-throated Humming-Bird. Summer; common.
- *96. Ceryle alcyon. Belted Kingfisher. Resident. Common but most abundant during its migrations.

- 97. Coccyzus erythrophthalmus. BLACK-BILLED CUCKOO; "RAIN CROW." Two specimens taken during the migrations.
- *98. Coccyzus americanus. Yellow-billed Cuckoo; "Rain Crow."—Summer; common.
- * 99. **Hylotomus pileatus**. PILEATED WOODPECKER; "LOG-СОСК," "WOODCOCK," "JOHNNY COCK," etc. — Resident. Common in proper situations.
- *100. Picus villosus. Hairy Woodpecker; "Sapsucker."—Resident. Rather common.
- *101. Picus pubescens. Downy Woodpecker; "Sapsucker." Resident. Common.
- 102. Sphyrapicus varius. Yellow-bellied Woodpecker; "Sapsucker." Winter; very common.
- *103. Centurus carolinus. Red-Bellied Woodpecker; "Sapsucker." Resident. Common.
- *104. Melanerpes erythrocephalus. Red-Headed Woodpecker. Resident. Abundant in summer; very common during the mild winter of 1877-78; not common the past winter (1878-79); I only know of three or four that remained on my collecting grounds.
- *105. Colaptes auratus. Golden-Winged Woodpecker; "Yellow-hammer."— Resident. Very common in winter; common in summer; abundant during its migrations.
- *106. Bubo virginianus. Great Horned Owl; "Hoot Owl."

 Resident. Common.
 - *107. Scops asio. Screech Owl. Resident. Very common.
- 108. Brachyotus palustris. Short-Eared Owl. Winter; one specimen.
- 109. **Syrnium nebulosum**. BARRED OWL. A single specimen taken May 3, 1879.
- *110. Accipiter fuscus. Sharp-shinned Hawk; "Blue-tailed Darter." Resident. Common.
- *111. Accipiter cooperi. Cooper's Hawk; "CHICKEN HAWK." Resident. Common.
- 112. Falco columbarius. PIGEON HAWK; "BLUE SKIMMER"; "BLUE DARTER." Winter; not very common.
- *113. Falco sparverius. Sparrow Hawk.—Resident. Very common during winter; rather common in summer.
- *114. Buteo borealis. Red-tailed Hawk; "Rabbit Hawk."—Resident. Common.
- *115. Buteo lineatus. Red-shouldered Hawk; "Rabbit Hawk."—Resident. Common.
- ·*116. Cathartes aura. Turkey Buzzard. Resident. Abundant.
 - *117. Cathartes atratus. Carrion Crow.—Resident. Common. 118. Ectopistes migratorius. WILD PIGEON.—Migratory. Com-

mon; very abundant during the latter part of the winter and spring of 1874.

- *119. Zenædura carolinensis. Carolina Dove; "Dove."—Resident. Very abundant during spring and fall; abundant in summer; common the past winter (1878-79), but much more abundant during the mild winter of 1877-78. Begins to flock the latter part of July and early in August.
- *120. Meleagris americana. WILD TURKEY. Resident. Still common back in the county, but has steadily decreased in numbers during the past ten years, and will ultimately be exterminated by the hunters. Specimens have been taken during the past winter (1878–79) within a mile of the corporate limits of the town of Chester.
- *121. Ortyx virginianus. Partridge. Resident. Very abundant. 122. Charadrius virginicus. Golden Plover. A single example taken September 19, 1877.
- *123. Ægialitis vocifera. Killdeer Plover; "Killdee."—Resident. Very abundant during its migrations; common during winter and in summer.
- 124. Philohela minor. WOODCOCK. A single specimen taken February 18, 1878.
- 125. Gallinago wilsoni. American Snipe. Winter; not very common; common during its inigrations.
- 126. Tringa maculata. Pectoral Sandpiper. Two examples taken October 10, 1878.
- 127. Totanus flavipes. Lesser Tell-tale. A single individual taken August 8, 1877.
- 128. Totanus solitarius. Solitary Tattler. Summer; were common May 10; specimens taken May 15, June 4, July 30, August 17, 23, etc.; common during its migrations.
- *129. Tringoides macularius. Spotted Sandfiper. Summer; not very common.
- 130. Actiturus bartramius. Bartramian Sandpiper; "Plover." Migratory; common.
- *131. Ardea herodias. Great Blue Heron; "Big Blue Crane." Summer; common.
- *132. Ardea cærulea. LITTLE BLUE HERON; "LITTLE BLUE CRANE" (adult); "LITTLE WHITE CRANE" (young). Summer; common.
- *133. Ardea virescens. Green Heron; "Shy Poke." Summer; common.
 - 134. Botaurus minor. BITTERN. Winter. Two specimens.
- 135. Porzana carolina. CAROLINA RAIL. A single specimen taken after a heavy storm, during the autumnal migrations.
- 136. Fulica americana. Coot.—Migratory. Four specimens. Said to be common in the county. Specimen taken November 15.

- 137. Anas boschas. MALLARD. Winter; common.
- 138. Mareca americana. American Widgeon. Winter; common, but most abundant during its migrations.
- 139. Querquedula discors. Blue-Winged Teal. Winter; common, but most numerons during the migrations.
- 140. Podilymbus podiceps. Pied-billed Grebe; "Diedap-per."—Winter; common; most numerous during its migrations.

ON A NEW SPECIES OF PEUCÆA FROM SOUTHERN ILLINOIS AND CENTRAL TEXAS.

BY ROBERT RIDGWAY.

Bachman's Finch (Peucaa astivalis) is a species of some celebrity on account of its very restricted range. During the summer of 1871, the writer discovered what he supposed to be this species in Southern Illinois, as far north as latitude 38° 25', thus, apparently, very considerably extending its range. The specimens obtained were in very much worn and faded midsummer plumage, and at the time no suspicion existed that they might prove different from Southeastern examples, undue allowance having been made for seasonal changes of plumage. Subsequently, however, upon examining specimens collected in the summer of 1875, by Messrs E. W. Nelson and F. T. Jencks, which were in better plumage than those I obtained, it was noticed that the breast in the Illinois birds was much more deeply buff or ochraceous, and the upper parts more "sandy," than in Florida and Georgia specimens, with a comparative or entire absence of the black streaks characteristic of the true astivalis. Still, I hesitated to describe the Illinois bird as a distinct race, not feeling quite sure that in its winter plumage it would not resemble more closely the Florida bird, of which most of the specimens I had seen were obtained at that season. More recently, however, I have examined several summer specimens from Liberty County and Savannah, Georgia, all of which were still different from the Illinois birds; and I have lately seen three fine skins, collected in the "Lower Cross Timbers" and "Post Oak Woods" of Cook Co., Texas, by Mr. Geo. H. Ragsdale, which confirm the suspicion I have from time to time entertained, that the differences observable might

be more than a seasonal variation. The Texas specimens, (now in the collection of the National Museum,) collected, respectively, April 10 and 29, and August 11, 1879, agree in every respect with those from Illinois, in the points which distinguish the latter from true astivalis, and, being in very perfect plumage, leave no doubt in my mind as to their distinctness from that bird. Whether they are a different species, or merely a western form of æstivalis, the material at hand is not sufficient to determine; but as, in our haste to degrade to the latter rank a Western bird more or less closely resembling P. astivalis, two errors have already been made in the cases of P. cassini (Woodh.) and P. arizonæ, Ridgw., — and especially since a very wide area exists between the habitat of P. æstivalis and P. illinoensis in which no Peucæa is known to exist, — it may answer the present purpose quite as well to consider the latter in the light of a distinct species, until its intergradation with P. astivalis be proven: at least a safe procedure in cases of the kind under consideration. In view of the facts above brought forward, I have concluded to characterize the *Peucæa* of the semi-prairie districts extending from Southern Illinois to Central Texas as a new species, and propose for it the specific name of illinoensis, this being the only form of the genus which, so far as known, occurs in the State of Illinois. Its characters are as follows: -

Peucæa illinoensis,* Ridgw. — THE OAK-WOODS SPARROW.

Sp. ch. — Adult: Above sandy ferruginous, indistinctly streaked with light ash-gray, these streaks broadest on the back and middle line of the crown; interscapulars sometimes marked with narrow central streaks of black. Outer surface of the wings light ferruginous, the greater coverts less reddish and edged with paler; tertials dusky brown, bordered terminally with pale reddish ashy; outer surface of the secondaries ferruginous. Tail uniform grayish-brown, the edges of the feathers more ashy. Sides of the head and neck, throat, jugulum, and entire sides, deep dingy-buff, this color most distinct across the breast, paler on the throat and chin; a post-ocular streak of ferruginous along the upper edge of the auriculars; sides of the neck streaked with ferruginous; an indistinct dusky streak on each

* PEUCÆA ILLINOENSIS.

Peucæa illinoensis, Ridgway, MS.

[&]quot;Peucwa estivalis," RIDGWAY, Am. Nat., July, 1872, 430 (Wabash Co., Illinois); Ann. N. Y. Lyc., X, Jan. 1874, 373 (do.); Pr. Boston Soc., XVI, Feb. 18, 1874, 308, 326 (do.; summer resid.); Bull. Nutt. Orn. Club, III, Oct. 1878, 164 ("extremely local and quite rare"). — Nelson, Bull. Essex Inst., IX, 1877, 36, 49 (Mt. Carmel, Wabash Co., and Fox Prairie, Richland Co., Illinois).

side of the throat, along the lower edge of the malar region; abdomen dull white; crissum creamy buff; edge of the wing, from the carpal to the carpo-phalangeal joint, bright yellow. Bill pale horn-color, the maxilla darker; iris brown; legs and feet pale brown.

Total length, about 6.00; wing, 2.35 - 2.60 (2.51); tail, 2.55 - 2.80 (2.69); bill, from nostril to tip, .30 - .33; depth through base, .27 - .30 (.29); tarsus, .75 - .82 (.77); middle toe, .55 - .60 (.59).

Habitat. — Open oak woods, old fields, etc., of the semi-prairie region, from Central Texas to Southern Illinois. (Wabash Co., Illinois, Mus. R. Ridgway and E. W. Nelson; Richland Co., Illinois, Mus. E. W. Nelson; "Lower Cross Timbers" and "Post Oak Woods," near Gainesville, Cook Co., Texas,* U. S. Nat. Mus.)

Compared with *P. æstivalis*, in corresponding plumage, the differences of coloration are at once apparent. The upper parts are much paler, and more "sandy" in hue, and the black mesial streaks which in *æstivalis* mark *all* the feathers (except those of the nape and wings) are either entirely wanting, or confined to the interscapular region; the breast and sides are very distinctly ochraceous-buff, these parts in *æstivalis* being dull buffy grayish. The proportions are very nearly the same in the two species, but *illinoensis* has a longer wing and thicker bill, the average of five specimens, compared with six of *æstivalis*, being 2.51 and 0.29 respectively, against 2.40 and 0.26. *P. arizonæ* is so different as scarcely to need comparison, having, like *æstivalis*, the whole crown streaked with black; the general hue of the upper parts more of a bair-brown, and the lower parts nearly uniform pale buffy grayish, the abdomen not conspicuously lighter. It is also larger, measuring, wing 2.60, and tail 2.85.

The Peucea illinoensis first came under my observation early in June, 1871, when several were seen and others heard, about half-way between Mount Carmel and Olney, the former in Wabash, the latter in Richland County, Illinois. The first individual noticed sat upon a rail-fence by the road-side, and being very near, the first glance showed it to be a species I had never seen before. Before my gun could be got from the wagon, however, it dived into the weeds on the inside of the fence. We had proceeded but a short distance when a clear, loud, musical chant entirely new to me broke npon our ears, from the direction of some large dead trees standing in a weedy field some distance from the road. The singer was soon discovered, perched on one of the lower limbs of a dead tree, some

^{*} Collected by Geo. H. Ragsdale, of Gainesville, Texas.

thirty feet from the ground, and was fired at, but, being missed, escaped further pursuit by diving into the thicket of weeds and bushes which bordered the fence near by. Several others were heard singing in this locality, after leaving which the species was lost sight of until the 11th of August following, upon our return to Mount Carmel. At the latter place it was found to be rather rare in certain places just outside the town limits, the localities frequented being invariably neglected weedy fields in which scattered dead trees were standing. The latter were selected by the males when singing; but when interrupted, they sought safety by diving into the shelter of rank weeds beneath them. Unlike most birds, this species sang with the greatest vigor and frequency during the sultry mid-day, when the sky was brightest and the heat intense, - the thermometer ranging from 90° to 103° in the shade. The song, while reminding one somewhat of the plaintive chant of the Field Sparrow (Spizella pusilla), was far sweeter and altogether louder; the modulation, as nearly as can be expressed in words, resembling the syllables théééééééthùt, lùt, lùt, the first being a rich silvery trill, pitched in a high musical key, the other syllables also metallic, but abrupt, and lower in tone.

In July and August, 1875, several specimens of this species were collected by Messrs. E. W. Nelson and F. T. Jencks in the vicinity of Mount Carmel and on Fox Prairie, the latter in Richland County, about thirty-five miles to the northward of Mount Carmel. Their published notes, like my own, are very meagre, and it is to be hoped that we may soon know more of this interesting species.

Since the above was put in type, I have received from Mr. Ragsdale the following account of the habits of *P. illinoensis* as observed by him in Texas:—

"While riding through open post-oak woods, with tall grass underneath, April 29, 1879, my horse kicked up a bird which I recognized as new to me. It flew into the top of a fallen tree which had leaves on it, and it took some time to secure it. Riding back to the place from which this one was started, I put up a second, which alighted in a tree and was killed. Some days afterward a third specimen was approached on horseback, while singing from a dead black-jack, and shot. After this I was only successful in collecting this bird by taking two steps at a time while the bird was singing from the top of some dead tree, or from a dead branch in the top of a green tree. I secured only about eight good specimens during the season, although I made their capture a speciality.

"The birds soon ceased to sing, and as it was almost useless to try to put them up from the grass, I let them alone, after making several fruitless efforts to find their nests. In August I chanced to pass through the same locality, and was surprised to hear the same bird singing again. I spent several days hunting for them and got only three in worn and faded plumage. These were so different from my April specimens that I thought them to be P. æstivalis until your letter of recent date.

"The song I cannot describe; it has one note which renders it distinguishable from all other birds which I have heard, and which is readily distinguishable from that of *Peucœa cassini*. Upon the whole, it is a very soft, plaintive, and pleasing chant."

NOTES ON BIRDS OBSERVED AT LONG BEACH, NEW JERSEY.

BY W. E. D. SCOTT.

LONG BEACH, New Jersey, like many other islands that form a barrier between the ocean and the bays of the Atlantic coast, from Long Island southward, is a long narrow strip of sand, extending from Barnegat Inlet on the north to Little Egg Harbor, a distance of about twenty-four miles. It nowhere exceeds a mile in width, and often has a breadth of only a few hundred feet, while at many points it is so low that during very high tides the bay and ocean communicate. Its distance from the main-land is about seven miles. The sand, beginning at the surf, extends back perfectly level for some distance, just above high water; then sand-hills from twenty to forty feet high rise abruptly, forming miniature precipices on the side toward the ocean; they slope off gradually toward the bay, and finally terminate in low marshy ground. The sands have no vegetation; the hills are generally covered with a stunted growth of a kind of bayberry (Myrica cerifera), and at some points with a few cedars and a little coarse grass. The marsh land is covered with a dense growth of coarse grasses, reeds, and the like. In the bay, are smaller islands, consisting wholly of "marsh," which at one point almost connect Long Beach with the main-land.

The following observations were made principally during a resi-

dence of five months, from April 1 to September 1, 1877, at the point indicated, and about three miles south of Barnegat Inlet. A few supplementary notes have been made during visits to the same point at other times of the year. The list is nearly restricted to the so-called "Water Birds," or the Waders and Swimmers, and the few strictly maritime Land Birds, but notices of a few others, whose occurrence here seems of interest, are also added. It may be stated, in general terms, that, notwithstanding the peculiar character of the locality, nearly all of the commoner Land Birds were taken or observed, but usually only a few individuals of each were noted, and often only a single example.

- 1. Lophophanes bicolor. Tufted Titmouse.—Rare. Noted a few times during summer in the cedars. Not met with in winter.
- 2. Parus atricapillus carolinensis. Carolina Chickadee. Met with about the same as the preceding species.
 - 3. Eremophila alpestris. Shore Lark. Abundant winter visitor.
- 4. Tachycinetes bicolor. WHITE-BELLIED SWALLOW. Common migrant and very rare breeding. First seen April 7; not seen again till April 15. A pair bred on the northern extremity of the Beach, near the Lighthouse.
- 5. Lanius ludovicianus. Loggerhead Shrike. Met with but once, on April 5.
- 6. Ammodromus caudacutus. Sharp-tailed Finch. Very common migrant, but not breeding as abundantly as A. maritimus.
- 7. Ammodromus maritimus. Seaside Finch. Arrives about 20th of April, and breeds very abundantly. The proportion of these two during the breeding season was one pair of A. caudacutus to three pairs of A. maritimus. This proportion seems about reversed during the migration.
- 8. Corvus corax. RAVEN.—Rather rare. Seen almost every day during the month of April, and occasionally throughout the summer. I am informed by reliable gunners and bay men that this species certainly breeds in limited numbers in the almost impassable cedar swamps that border the bay on the main-land.
- 9. Corvus americanus. Common Crow. Rare. Noted but once or twice during my stay.
- 10. Antrostomus vociferus. Whip-poor-will.. Rather rare; breeds. First noted the 29th of April.
- 11. Brachyotus palustris. Short-eared Owl. Rather common; resident; breeds. Took a nest and seven partly incubated eggs, June 28, 1878.
- 12. Nyotea nivea. Snowy Owl. An irregular winter visitant, but much more common than is generally supposed. They were very abundant during the winter of 1876 and 1877.

- 13. Circus cyaneus hudsonius. Marsh Hawk. Abundant migrant. A few breed. Took a nest containing two young just hatched and three eggs about to hatch, June 28, 1877.
- 14. Falco communis. Duck Hawk.—Rather common during spring and fall, and a few remain during winter.
- 15. Pandion haliaëtus. Fish-Hawk.— Rather rare. Breeds early in May.
- 16. Haliaëtus leucocephalus. Bald Eagle. A common winter resident, and several pairs are known to breed in a cedar swamp on the main-land.
- 17. Cathartes aura. Turkey Buzzard. Occasionally seen flying over.
- 18. Squatarola helvetica. Black-bellied Plover.— Not met with during the spring. In late July and August this species became quite common. Many were adult birds in full plumage.
- 19. Ægialitis semipalmata. RING-NECK PLOVER. Abundant. General arrival, 10th May. Stayed about three weeks, and were first noted going south, 19th July. The next day they were common, and were about during the rest of my stay, associating with the smaller Sandpipers.
- 20. Ægialitis meloda. PIPING PLOVER.— Rather common, but not so abundant as the last. Frequents more commonly the ocean beach, while the former species affects the shores of the bay. First noted, 16th April. The larger number apparently went to the north, but a number bred. Took two nests: first, June 12, four fresh eggs; second, June 28, three eggs, partly incubated. These nests were on the "sands," and were simply depressions lined with a few bits of coarse grass.
- 21. Strepsilas interpres. TURNSTONE. Common migrant. First noted, 16th May, a small flock. This species frequents the marshes on the bay shore; not being found on the ocean beach.
- 22. Recurvirostra americana. Avocet. Very rare; seen but once, on May 20.
- 23. Phalaropus ———. Phalarope. On May 20 I observed a flock of five Phalaropes swimming at sea about five miles from land; species not determined.
- 24. Gallinago wilsoni. AMERICAN SNIPE. Rare, though observed once or twice on the salt meadows. Careful observation during some ten years has failed to show me this bird breeding in New Jersey, as recorded by Mr. Turnbull and later by Dr. Abbott. I think that such cases must be regarded, to say the least, as very exceptional, and that this species cannot be given as one that breeds here, save in very exceptional instances. During mild winters, however, it is to be met with in springy places, where the ground remains unfrozen, and I have records of birds observed twice late in June. In both cases the individuals were ascertained to have been wounded.

- 25. Macrorhamphus griseus. Red-breasted Snipe; Brown-Back.—Abundant. First noticed, 13th May, when three were seen. They continued passing about two weeks. Observed returning July 6, and after this they were more or less common during the time spent by me here.
- 26. Ereunetes pusillus. Semipalmated Sandpiper. Abundant. First noted May 9, associated with Ring-necks and other small species. They continued passing through until about June 1, when all had apparently gone north. On July 7 they were first noted returning, and soon became one of the most common species.
- 27. Tringa minutilla. Least Sandpiper. Abundant, and closely associated with the last species, arriving and departing about the same time.
- 28. Tringa maculata. Pectoral Sandpiper. Not common; but few specimens seen or obtained.
- 29. Tringa bonapartei. WHITE-RUMPED SANDPIPER. Common, arriving and departing about the same time as *Tringa minutilla*.
- 30. Tringa alpina americana. Red-backed Sandpiper. Abundant. First seen, 17th April. Afterward became very common. The first birds taken were moulting, and had not assumed full plumage.
- 31. Tringa canutus. Red-breasted Sandpiper. Not very common.
- 32. Calidris arenaria. Sanderling. Abundant on the ocean beach, but rarely seen on the bay shore. Observed six or seven during the last week of December. Probably winters.
- 33. Limosa fedoa. Great Marbled Godwit. Rather rare; but three specimens met with, an adult bird in May and two young late in July.
- 34. Totanus semipalmatus. Willet. Common. Breeds but rarely. Said to have been formerly one of the most abundant breeding species, but is fast becoming rare by the inroads of gunners and egghunters. I took a single male on April 6, and saw no others until May. On the 17th of July they began coming from the north, and were very common for a time.
- 35. Totanus melanoleucus. Greater Tell-tale. Very common. First seen April 20, a flock of five; they remained common during the first two weeks in May. Not noted during July and August.
- 36. Totanus flavipes. Lesser Telltale.— Not seen during the spring migration. On the 9th of July a flock of seven seen going south, after which they were common.
- 37. Totanus solitarius. Solitary Tattler. Rare; met with but once, on May 3.
- 38. Tringoides macularius. Spotted Sandpiper. Common. Breeds. On June 14 found a nest with four eggs almost hatched.
- 39. Numenius longirostris. Long-billed Curlew. Rare. Very shy. Seen middle of April.

- 40. **Numenius hudsonicus**. Hudsonian Curlew.—Rather rare. Seen about May 1, and again July 9, in numbers.
- 41. Ardea herodias. Great Blue Heron. Common. Seen early in April.
- 42. Ardea egretta. Great White Egret. Common; many seen. They breed in large numbers about forty miles south, near Townsend's Inlet.
- 43. Ardea virescens. Green Heron.—The commonest Heron. Breeds in numbers in the cedars. First seen April 20.
- 44. Nyotiardea grisea nævia. Night Heron.—Rather rare. Breeds in the cedar swamps. First seen April 27.
- 45. Botaurus minor. Bittern. A rather common migrant. First seen April 20.
- 46. Ardetta exilis. Least Bittern.—Apparently very rare. Found one dead on the beach April 1. This species is very common further inland, in the neighborhood of Princeton.
- 47. Rallus longirostris. Clapper Rail. Very abundant; breeding. First seen May 1. Began breeding about June 1, laying from seven to thirteen eggs.
- 48. Cygnus americanus. Whistling Swan. I observed a flock of nine individuals passing over Barnegat Bay in November, 1876.
- 49. Branta bernicla. Brant Goose. Very common through April; seen as late as May 1.
- 50. Branta bernicla nigricans. Black Brant.—I saw two specimens which were taken by gunners April 5.
- 51. Branta canadensis. Canada Goose. Abundant migrant. Large flocks were seen going north as late as April 15, and up to the 12th of May I occasionally saw single birds.
- 52. Anas obscura. BLACK DUCK.—Common, breeding in numbers about the small salt-water ponds on the Beach.
- 53. Fuligula marila. Greater Scaup Duck. Common. Seen in flocks as late as May 1.
- 54. Harelda glacialis. Long-tailed Duck.—Abundant winter resident. I saw many as late as May 1.
- 55. Ædemia perspicillata. Surf Duck. Abundant, migrating in large flocks to the north late in April.
- 56. Mergus serrator. Red-breasted Merganser. Five or six were seen April 5. They became very common by the last of April, and were nearly gone by the middle of May. A few, however, apparently barren birds remained during the summer. At Little Egg Harbor, on the 28th of June, I saw a number, and took a male bird in immature plumage. This bird had not apparently been shot before. The testes were undeveloped. Three other birds, two females and a male, show the same peculiarities, being in immature plumage, the generative organs undeveloped.
- 57. Graculus dilophus. Double-crested Cormorant. A very common migrant; going north the last of April.

- 58. Stereorarius pomatorhinus. Pomarine Jaeger. Two of these birds were taken in the bay in December, 1876.
- 59. Larus marinus. Great Black-backed Gull. A regular winter visitant; quite common.
- 60. Larus argentatus. HERRING GULL. The most abundant of the Gulls in fall, winter, and early spring.
- 61. Larus delawarensis. RING-BILLED GULL. Most abundant of the winter Gulls, after *L. argentatus*.
- 62. Larus atricilla. LAUGHING GULL.—Common; breeding. First seen about May 1. On June 28 I found them breeding commonly at Brigantine Beach, about forty miles south.
- 63. Sterna maxima? ROYAL TERN. On August 23 I saw two specimens, an old and a young bird, which I can ascribe to no other species than this.[*] I was quite near the birds, but unfortunately had no means of procuring them. The bay men tell me that large Terns are sometimes abundant the last of September.
- 64. Sterna fluviatilis. Common Tern. Abundant, breeding on the islands in the bay and on the salt marshes, but never passing over the sand-hills to nest. They arrived May 12 in numbers, and by the last of that month were breeding. Eggs first seen May 25; fully fledged young, June 17. Found nests containing eggs as late as the 20th of July. About the first of August the old and young birds left the breeding grounds, and after that time frequented the ocean beach. Old birds began to moult the 20th of July.
- 65. Sterna forsteri. Forster's Tern.—Rare, Took a pair May 14.
- 66. Sterna antillarum. Least Tern. Abundant. Breeds exclusively on the ocean beach. First seen May 12. First eggs seen May 28. Eggs found as late as July 5. An adult male bird was taken on the 19th of July which had nearly completed moulting. By the 25th of August these birds had mostly left here.
- 67. **Hydrochelidon nigra**. Short-tailed Tern.—First seen, 11th June. In a few days became very common. Many of the birds were in immature plumage, and all were moulting. About one in ten was in the black plumage. The birds remained all summer in large numbers, but did not breed at this point, and were still common September 1.
- 68. Rhynchops nigra. BLACK SKIMMER. This is apparently the northern limit of the breeding range of this species, and even here they are rather rare. I first saw them the 10th of June, and do not think they bred here. But on Brigantine Beach and at Little Egg Harbor they are abundant and breed in numbers.

^{* [}Perhaps Sterna cuspia, which Mr. Henshaw reports, in this number of the Bulletin (p. 243), as occurring at Cobb's Island, off the coast of Virginia. — J. A. A.]

- 69. Oceanites oceanica. Wilson's Petrel.—At sea off Barnegat Light, on August 10, I observed many Petrels, all apparently of this species. Took several.
- 70. Colymbus torquatus. Great Northern Diver. Common during April and early May in the bay and ocean.
- 71. Colymbus septentrionalis. Red-throated Diver. Saw and took a number during April.
- 72. Podiceps cornutus. Horned Grebe. Rather common during April, when they were assuming full plumage.
- 73. Utamania torda. RAZOR-BILLED AUK. On the 7th of February, 1878, I procured a fine specimen of this species, a female.
- 74. Mergulus alle. Little Auk.— A regular winter resident. I have procured many specimens during the past four winters.

Recent Literature.

OBITUARY. - We learn with great regret of the death of Miss Genevieve E. Jones, of Circleville, Ohio, one of the authors of the beautiful work just begun, on the nests and eggs of the Birds of Ohio. The sad event occurred at her home, on the 17th of August, after a painful illness of several weeks' duration. Bearing her trial with the greatest fortitude, she seemed, as we are informed, to fear less for herself than for the fate of the work upon which she had set her heart; and she expressed hope of her recovery chiefly that she might resume this, "the most pleasurable occupation of her life." In the death of this most talented and amiable young lady, ornithologists have common cause to deplore the loss of an artist and author so capable of adorning this branch of science, - a loss only less irreparable than that sustained by those who have been bereaved of friend and daughter, and to whom we beg the privilege of offering our heart-felt sympathy. We learn from the lady's father, Dr. W. E. Jones, that the second number of the work is nearly ready for distribution, and that the present intention is for Miss Schulze to go on with the publication, for a time at least, under the same ostensible authorship of Jones and Schulze, - E. C.

LAWRENCE ON THE BIRDS OF THE LESSER ANTILLES.—Since our notice of Mr. Lawrence's papers on the birds of Dominica and Saint Vincent in the January number of this Bulletin (Vol. IV, p. 48), he has concluded his series of reports upon Mr. Ober's collections, made at various points of the Antillean chain. The birds reported from the islands of Antigua and Barbuda* number respectively 42 and 39 species,

^{*} Catalogue of the Birds of Antigua and Barbuda, from Collections made for the Smithsonian Institution, by Mr. Fred. A. Ober, with his Observations. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 1878, Vol. 1, pp. 232 – 242, December, 1878.

of which one, a Burrowing Owl (Speotyto amaura) from Antigua, is described as new. Our common Quail (Ortyx virginianus) occurs in Antigua as an introduced species, where, Mr. Ober states, the pastures are fast becoming populated with it, and it is now in sufficient numbers to afford good sport. The single specimen (a male) sent home by Mr. Ober is described by Mr. Lawrence as most resembling the primitive Northern stock, but differs from it in being smaller, and in having the crown and hind-neck black, resembling in this feature the var. floridanus, but not otherwise; in its upper plumage it resembles O. cubanensis. Unfortunately the date of its introduction to the island is unknown, but it has evidently already departed from the Northern stock.

The list of birds from the island of Grenada * numbers 54 species, five of which are peculiar to the island, namely, *Turdus caribbœus*, *Thryothorus grenadensis*, *Orthorhynchus cristatus*, and two unidentified species of Swift.

The birds reported from Martinique † number 40 species, and embrace eight not recorded from the other islands, these being Cinclotherthia gutturalis, Thryothorus martinicensis, Dendræca rufigula, Certhiola martinica, Quiscalus inflexirostris, Myiarchus sclateri, Chætura sp.?, and Chrysotis gouldingi

The Guadeloupe‡ species number 45, and include four (Quiscalus guadeloupensis, Cypseloides niger, Melanerpes l'herminieri, Geotrygon mystacea), not given for the other islands. The Melanerpes, seen only at Guadeloupe, is stated by Mr. Ober to be the only species of Woodpecker he met with at any of the islands. Quiscalus guadeloupensis appears to be the only species here described as new, but an important error respecting the supposed color of the male in Euphonia flavifrons is for the first time cleared up. This paper includes also a nominal list of 135 species, observed by Dr. F. L'Herminier, from 1827 to 1844, now for the first time published, many of which are migrants from North America, not observed by Mr. Ober. A large proportion (about two thirds) are wide-ranging aquatic species, while some are doubtless purely nominal.

This is the last of the series of Mr. Lawrence's special reports upon the collections made by Mr. Ober in the Lesser Antilles. A general catalogue §

^{*} Catalogue of the Birds of Grenada, from a Collection made by Mr. Fred. A. Ober for the Smithsonian Institution, including others seen by him, but not obtained. By George N. Lawrence. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., Vol. I, pp. 265-278, February, 1879.

[†] Catalogue of the Birds collected in Martinique by Mr. Fred. A. Ober for the Smithsonian Institution. By George N. Lawrence. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., Vol. I, pp. 349-360, March, 1879.

[‡] Catalogue of a Collection of Birds obtained in Gnadeloupe for the Smithsonian Institution, by Mr. Fred. A. Ober. By George N. Lawrence. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., Vol. I, pp. 449-462, April, 1879.

[§] A General Catalogue of the Birds noted from the Islands of the Lesser

of all the species noted, however, follows, consisting of a tabular list of 128 species, arranged to show their distribution. We regret to notice that Mr. Lawrence omits to further summarize the results of the special catalogues, as well as all discussion of the relationship of the bird-life of these islands with each other and with that of contiguous regions, - a subject he is so well able to treat. Of the 48 species of Passeres, only five (Siurus nævius, S. motacilla, Dendraca virens, Setophaga ruticilla, Hirundo horreorum) are migrants from North America, while about the same number occur in the contiguous parts of South America. Probably fully three fourths of the whole number are restricted to the West Indian Fauna, and about one fourth of these, so far as now known, are confined respectively to single islands of the Lesser Antilles. Of the seven Humming-birds, one only is South American, and the two or three species of Parrots are each restricted to single islands. The rest of the species (Striges to Pygopodes, inclusive of both), or nearly one half of the whole, are too wide-ranging to afford distinctive data, nearly all occurring in the United States, while the greater part are also found in South America. As would be expected, the general facies of the bird-fauna of the Lesser Antilles, so far as the Land Birds are concerned, - the only proper basis for a comparison, — is that of Middle America rather than of South America, nearly all of the genera being represented most abundantly in Southern Mexico and Central America. Although a large proportion of the genera occur also in North America, only Dendraca, among the resident birds, can be considered as distinctively North American, the others having a wide distribution in both North and South America.

As an indication of how little was known of the bird-life of the Lesser Antilles prior to Mr. Ober's visit, and of the importance of the contribution to our knowledge of the subject * made by the joint labors of Messrs. Ober and Lawrence, it may be noted that some twenty or more species and varieties were first made known from Mr. Ober's collections. From the shortness of Mr. Ober's stay at most of the points visited, and the number of species seen that were not strictly determined, it is evident that there is still work here for future explorers.— J. A. A.

ELLIOT'S SYNOPSIS OF THE TROCHILIDE. — Few groups of birds are more replete with points of interest than the great family of the *Trochilidæ* or Humming-Birds, remarkable alike for brilliancy of plumage, variety of form, peculiarities of habit, and geographical distribution. While they have been the subject of expensively illustrated monographs, and of va-

Antilles visited by Mr. Fred. A. Ober; with a Table showing their Distribution, and those found in the United States. By George N. Lawrence. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., Vol. I, pp. 486-488, May, 1879.

^{*} For a history of previous contributions to the ornithology of these islands, see Sclater, Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., 1871, pp. 263 – 267.

rious special papers devoted to particular genera, or to the species of special localities, the literature of the subject is so difficult of access to the general student that Mr. Elliot's concise and comprehensive "Synopsis." * forming No. 317 of the "Smithsonian Contributions to Knowledge," forms a most welcome aid to the student of this intricate group. In respect to the classification of the Trochilidee, Mr. Elliot states that he has not seen his way clear to the recognition of any subfamilies, as has been done by other writers, although he finds the family to contain a certain number of groups of species having more or less relationship. He also considerably reduces the number of genera heretofore more or less commonly recognized, and many of the species of other authors here take the rank of synonymes, and expresses his belief that further information will show this to be the true status of others to which he here accords specific rank. Four hundred and twenty-six species are admitted as valid, distributed among one hundred and twenty genera. Three hundred and eight of the species are represented in the author's own collection, which is probably the largest in existence, and on which the present monograph is based. The leading characters of very nearly all the genera are represented by outline figures of the head, wing, and tail, and the species are described in sufficient detail for their easy recognition, the short descriptions being generally restricted to distinctive features. The synonymy, the author tells us, he has "not endeavored to make 'exhaustive,' as the term is used now-a-days in many instances," only such works being cited as give "some desirable information regarding the species." Neither are reasons given for the changes in nomenclature adopted, nor for the synonymy presented, but a discussion of many of these determinations may be found in the series of papers published by Mr. Elliot, either alone or in conjunction with Mr. Salvin, in the "Ibis," during the last six or seven years. The only changes we note in the currently accepted names of North American species are the following: the substitution of the generic name Basilinna (Boie, 1831), for Heliopædica (Gould, 1861), and of the name henshawi for the species claimed by Mr. Henshaw to be the true Selasphorus rufus, and the reference of Henshaw's S. alleni to what Mr. Elliot takes to be S. rufus. There is, however, no discussion of the point at issue, nor any allusion to Mr. Henshaw's defence of his interpretation of this peculiar case. (See this Bulletin, Vol. II, pp. 54, 97, Vol. III, p. 11.) The work closes with an appendix, giving an analytical key to the genera, and separate indexes to the generic and specific names adopted, and to all those mentioned in the work. From these it appears that 339 generic and 880 specific names have been used by different authors for the birds of this group.

^{*} A Classification and Synopsis of the Trochilidæ. By Daniel Giraud Elliot, F. R. S. E., etc. Washington City: Published by the Smithsonian Institution. March, 1879. 4to, pp. xii, 277, figg. 127 (wood-cuts in the text).

Mr. Elliot is certainly entitled to the sincere thanks of ornithologists the world over for this carefully elaborated "Synopsis" of the largest and most interesting family of American birds. It will doubtless form a reference work for the group, not to be soon superseded, either in point of completeness or of usefulness. — J. A. A.

Brewer on the Nests and Eggs of the Empidonaces.—In a paper * of ten pages Dr. Brewer gives "the measurements of all the eggs of the eight species of *Empidonax* that are in the collection of the Smithsonian Institution," and of those in his own collection, with the addition of some others. Following the measurements and descriptions of the nests and eggs of these eight species are several pages devoted to a consideration of the nests and eggs of *E. flaviventris*, in which the author affirms that the unspotted eggs, found in nests built in bushes, and formerly ascribed by him to this species, were correctly identified, and argues that the differences these nests and eggs present, as compared with those recently described by Messrs. Osborne and Purdie, are only in accordance with the wide range of variability in these respects known to obtain in other species of this genus. — J. A. A.

General Aotes.

The Use of Trinomials.—As our practice for some time has been a practical indorsement of the use of trinomials, it might appear almost superfluous to offer further testimony in the matter. Nevertheless, in response to Mr. Ridgway's recent call, a few brief remarks may be ventured.

It is scarcely necessary to waste time in arguing upon the desirability of some better method of expressing geographical varieties than that afforded by the binomial system, so far at least as American ornithologists are concerned, since, as stated by Mr. Allen, not alone they, but nearly all American writers on other branches of zoölogy, as well as botany, concede the necessity by discarding the binomial in favor of the trinomial in some form or other. But if any argument were needed in favor of a change it could be found in recent English and Continental ornithological writings, where binomials are still closely adhered to. One of the most perplexing evils resulting from such close adherence is frequently apparent in the difficulty or impossibility the student finds in discriminating between

^{*} Notes on the Nests and Eggs of the Eight North American Species of Empidonaces. By T. M. Brewer. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., Vol. I, pp. — —, April 29, 1879. Also separate, author's edition, pp. 10. (Only the author's separates have been seen by the reviewer.)

such forms as the writer considers valid and trenchantly defined species and those which he views as mere geographical varieties, except in so far as this may be indicated in the general text. A good illustration of the inadequacy of binomials is seen when their strict employment necessitates the addition of several lines, it may be paragraphs, to the text, with the alternative of leaving the author's precise estimate of the rank of a named form in obscurity.

The change from the binomial towards a more comprehensive system has been a somewhat gradual one, and the use of an explanatory abbreviated term, as "var." or "subsp.," was probably a necessary compromise, paving the way, as we believe, for the final and universal adoption of the pure trinomial. With a general understanding of the exact significance of the trinomial, as at present employed, we see not the slightest necessity for the use of the above expressions, or, in fact, for the interposition of any explanatory term, since such may be understood as implied in the trinomial itself, as contrasted with the binomial, which may be limited to such absolutely defined species as are not known to intergrade.

Mr. Ridgway's plan of the use of Greek letters would certainly have an advantage over the method of writing var. or subsp. in so far as it is less cumbersome, but its seeming advantage of greater precision would, as Mr. Allen has shown, inevitably lead to confusion in the instance of forms treated differently by different writers, or by the same writer at different times.

We therefore unhesitatingly express our preference for the pure trinomial. — H. W. Henshaw, Washington, D. C.

Note on Helminthophaga gunnii, Gibbs. — The bird described in the Grand Rapids "Daily Democrat" of June 1, 1879, as a new species of Helminthophaga, for which the above name was proposed, has been recently forwarded to the Smithsonian Institution for examination; and having had the privilege of inspecting the example in question, it gives me great pleasure to offer a few remarks concerning it. In the first place, it may be stated that Mr. Purdie's surmise (see his article in the July number of this Bulletin, Vol. IV, p. 185), that the specimen might be merely a variation of H. leucobronchialis, Brewster, is correct. - The specimen collected by Mr. Gunn, and named after that gentleman, is in all essential respects like the type of H. leucobronchialis, (which, through the courtesy of its owner, I examined several years ago,) except that the breast has a large, well-defined patch of bright gamboge-yellow, while the upper parts are much less brightly colored, both the yellow of the crown (especially posteriorly) and the bluish-gray of the nape, back, and wings being obscured by a wash of olive-green. The yellow wing-patch is also more restricted than in the male. The yellow breast-patch, which is very abruptly defined anteriorly against the pure white of the jugulum, does not extend back to the flanks and abdomen, but is strictly limited to the. middle of the breast, the sides of which are deep bluish-gray, almost as dark as the back. The upper part of the throat (not the chin) is strongly tinged with pale yellow. The measurements are as follows:—wing, 2.40; tail, 2.10; bill, from nostril, .35; tarsus, .65; middle toe, .42.

This being, as Mr. Purdie remarks, the seventh specimen thus far collected, the validity of *H. leucobronchialis* may be considered as established beyond question. The variations in plumage and the sexual differences of coloration appear to be nearly the same as those of *H. chrysoptera*, but there seems to be a frequent tendency to assume more or less of a yellow tinge beneath, especially on the breast, which is rarely to be noticed in *H. chrysoptera*, although sometimes slightly indicated in that species. It is not unlikely that there may be specimens of this species in the possession of collectors who have mistaken them for individuals of *H. chrysoptera*, and in view of this probability it would be well for those having the latter in their collections to examine them critically. The species, in all stages, may be readily distinguished by the entire absence of black or dull gray on the throat (only the bases of the feathers being sometimes grayish), and by the absence of the dusky-gray or black auricular patch. — ROBERT RIDGWAY, *Washington*, *D. C.*

Helminthophaga leucobronchialis in New York.—While collecting, Aug. 24, 1879, in a low swampy thicket composed of alders, small maples, etc. I shot a specimen of *H. leucobronchialis*. It was in company with several other species of Warblers. It was an adult male, and resembled Mr. W. W. Coe's specimen, cited by Mr. H. A. Purdie in the last number of the Bulletin, in having the band of yellow across the breast and very slight suffusion of the throat, it differing from other specimens in having the wing bars whitish, whiter even than in *H. pinus*. The back is that of a typical *H. leucobronchialis*.— A. K. FISHER, M. D., Sing Sing, N. Y.

Helminthophaga pinus, Oporornis formosa, and Mniotilta varia breeding in Pennsylvania. — Some notice having appeared in a former number of this Bulletin (Vol. III, p. 194) in regard to the breeding of *H. pinus* in Pennsylvania, I think it would now be desirable to supplement the article kindly inserted by Dr. Elliott Coues by stating that, whilst lately residing in Chester and Delaware Counties, I have found the three birds mentioned at the head of this note to be the commonest representatives of their family in that part of the State, and that I can, during any of the summer months, secure a goodly number of specimens in the nearest belt of woodland. I found two nests of the Blue-winged Yellow Warbler and one of the Kentucky Warbler in 1878, and saw another nest of the same species found by a schoolmate, and secured one of the eggs. A collector in West Chester, Penn., told me that he had secured six nest complements of *O. formosa* and three of *H. pinus* in a single season.

So far M. varia has eluded my search, so far as nidification is con-

cerned, although I have quite frequently seen them feeding their young. — S. N. Rhoad, *Haddonfield*, N. J.

Notes on the Occurrence of Certain rare or uncommon Birds at Philadelphia and adjacent Localities. — The following notes on certain birds considered of rare or uncommon occurrence in this locality are made principally from the observations of Mr. Christopher D. Wood, and may prove of interest in regard to the range of certain species. When not otherwise stated, the notes refer to Mr. Wood's observations and collecting.

- 1. Protonotaria citrea. Prothonotary Warbler.—A specimen of this rare species was seen on a telegraph-wire below the city in the fall several years ago. Another individual was shot, I believe, the following spring, by Mr. John McIlvaine, on the western bank of the Schuylkill above the city.
- 2. Helminthophaga celata. Orange-crowned Warbler. Mr. McIlvaine shot an individual of this species in his yard in West Philadelphia, one cold day in March, some years ago.
- 3. Pyranga æstiva. Summer Redbird. A female of this species was shot on Darby Creek, in the spring, about thirteen years ago.
- 4. Vireo philadelphicus. Philadelphia Vireo. On the 19th of September last I had the good fortune to procure a female of this species near Frankford, Philadelphia. A male bird was taken by Mr. William L. Collins in September of the preceding year, not a quarter of a mile from where I shot my specimen.
- 5. Plectrophanes lapponicus. Lapland Longspur. A specimen of this species, which in this latitude is by no means common, was shot out of a flock of Snow-Buntings (*P. nivalis*) at League Island, in the winter, about fifteen years ago.
- 6. Euspiza americana. Black-throated Bunting.—A few pairs of these birds breed regularly every year in a small area of country north of Philadelphia, but in no other locality in this neighborhood have I authentic information of their being seen. In former times this bird was quite common here, but has now become comparatively scarce.
- 7. Goniaphea cærulea. Blue Grosbeak. A male of this species was shot on Darby Creek, in the spring, about thirteen years ago. A female was also taken last fall (1878), by Mr. Collins, near Philadelphia; he also saw a young male about the same time.
- 8. Contopus borealis. OLIVE-SIDED FLYCATCHER.—A male of this species was shot at Coatesville, Chester County, Pa., about thirty miles from Philadelphia, in the spring, several years ago.
- 9. Nyctale acadica. SAW-WHET OWL. This curious little Owl is occasionally met with in this locality. A female was taken in October, 1876, by Mr. Collins; several were also taken here last fall (1878).
 - 10. Limosa hudsonica. RING-TAILED GODWIT. A specimen of

this bird was shot in September, 1878, on the banks of the Schuylkill, below Philadelphia. I believe it is rather unusual for this species to be taken so far inland.

11. Mergulus alle. LITTLE AUK; DOVEKIE. — A specimen of this bird, now in my collection, was found in an exhausted condition in the early part of December, 1878, in New Jersey, near Philadelphia, more than sixty miles from the ocean. — Spencer Trotter, Philadelphia, Pa.

[This specimen is referred to also in a note received from Mr. William L. Collins, Frankford P. O., Philadelphia. He adds: "I am also informed of another specimen in the possession of Professor E. D. Cope, which was taken near Atlantic City, N. J., about the end of November, 1878. See also p. 228 of this number of the Bulletin. — Eps.]

Nesting of the Kentucky Warbler (Oporornis formosa) in Ohio. — Although the Kentucky Warbler has long been a well-known summer resident of Southwestern Ohio, its nest and eggs have hitherto elnded the vigilant search of our local ornithologists, and have, in consequence, been classed among their especial desiderata. As the nesting habits of this species have been recorded in but a few instances, and only once in Ohio,* a notice of a nest and eggs recently taken near Madisonville may be of interest to readers of the Bulletin.

The locality chosen for this nest was a gentle slope, well wooded and covered with undergrowth, situated within a short distance of a small woodland stream on the border of an open glade. The nest, which was placed on the ground at the root of a small elm sapling, was concealed by a sparse growth of weeds, and consisted of two distinct portions. The foundation was a sancer-shaped mass of beech and maple leaves loosely interwoven with a few weed stems, and retained its shape sufficiently well to permit careful handling without injury; surmounting this basal portion was the nest proper, a rather bulky and inelegant structure, elliptical in shape, composed of dark-brown rootlets and weed stems, with which were interwoven a few dried leaves. There was also a trace of an effort at horse-hair lining, a half-dozen hairs perhaps being disposed around its interior. Its measurements are as follows: — Internal long diameter, $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches; internal short diameter, 2 inches; depth of cavity, $1\frac{1}{4}$ inches; average thickness of nest proper, about 3 inch; ditto of foundation, about 1 inch. The eggs, which are four in number (exclusive of the Cowbird's egg which accompanies them), are oblong-oval in shape, spotted and speckled everywhere with reddish-brown and lilac on a glossy white ground, the markings on two specimens being massed at the larger end, while those on the other two form a distinct "wreath" around the rather

^{*} By Dr. J. P. Kirtland. I am unable to refer to the original record at present; it is mentioned incidentally, however, by Dr. Brewer, in Hist. N. Am. Birds, Vol. I, p. 293.

blunt apex. They were far advanced in incubation (May 28th), and measure, respectively, $.72 \times .54$, $.73 \times .56$, $.75 \times .56$, $.73 \times .55$. Their identification was perfectly satisfactory, the female being secured instantly after being driven from the nest. — Frank W. Langdon, Madisonville, Hamilton Co., Ohio.

Vireo GILVUS AND VIREO FLAVIFRONS IN NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND. — The opinion having been expressed in the Bulletin (Vol. I, p. 73, Vol. II, p. 15), that the Yellow-throated and Warbling Vireos rarely occur in Northern New England, it may be worthy of mention that my correspondents in Vermont (East Bethel, in the very heart of the Green Mountains, just north of the centre of the State) send me, with parent, one set of the eggs of the former (Vireo flavifrons), and no less than four sets of the latter (Vireo gilvus), and write me that they are quite common there. — T. M. Brewer, Boston, Mass.

The Loggerhead Shrike in Central New York. — July 19, 1879, Dr. William L. Ralph of this city brought me a Shrike, shot by him in Marcy, Oneida Co., N. Y., within a mile of this city. The bird was a young female, evidently bred near here. Believing the bird to be Lanius ludovicianus, I sent it to Mr. Robert Ridgway, who has kindly compared it with skins of that bird from the Gulf States, with which he says it exactly agrees. — Egbert Bagg, Jr., Utica, N. Y.

The Evening Grosbeak in New Mexico. — Respecting the occurrence of the Evening Grosbeak (Hesperiphona vespertina) in New Mexico, Mr. F. Stevens writes me, in a recent letter, that he killed a pair in pine woods, January 26, 1876, and that on the 9th of May following he killed another pair in a box-elder grove. At this date the sexual organs were not enlarged. About ten days later (May 18), however, he killed at the same place two females and a male. The females, he thinks, would have laid in three or four weeks. The locality was in Southern New Mexico, near the Arizona line. These facts seem to favor Mr. Henshaw's belief that the species is a rare resident in portions of Arizona. — J. A. Allen, Cambridge, Mass.

Note on Hesperiphona vespertina. — There is one fact connected with the occurrence of the Evening Grosbeak in Minnesota which is not, I think, generally known. This is the lateness of its departure in the spring. The habits of the species are so regular that it is possible by persistent observation to determine with considerable accuracy the time of the spring migration. In the spring of 1876 we kept a large flock of thirty or forty individuals under almost daily scrutiny until May 17. After this date nothing more was seen of them, and they evidently left for the north at that time. May 6 is the latest record in 1877. During the winter of 1877–78 the species was scarcely to be found here. The past winter they were here in only moderate numbers, but quite constantly.

I kept a close watch on them during May, and found them in their accustomed place as late as May 19; thus confirming the previous late date. Before their departure both male and female become very full plumaged, and are more than usually striking in appearance when seen among the thick green foliage of late May.—Thomas S. Roberts, Minneapolis, Minn. [Comm. by E. C.]

Southward Range of Centrophanes Lapponica.—A letter from Howard Ayers, dated Fort Smith, Ark., February 26, 1879, states: "The Lapland Longspur is found as far south as the central part of Arkansas. They appear in this part of the State about November, in small flocks, but as it grows cold, they collect in immense numbers and scatter again as spring comes (about 1st of February). Two thirds of these large flocks are Missouri Skylarks (Neocorys spraguei). I have never seen the Longspurs in companies by themselves, but always more or less mixed with the Larks."—Elliott Coues, Washington, D. C.

HENSLOW'S BUNTING (Coturniculus henslowi) NEAR WASHINGTON. — About the middle of July of this year, while walking through a meadow some five miles west of Washington, in Fairfax County, Virginia, I was quite surprised to hear near by a rude bird-note, which sounded familiar, although I had not heard it since the summer of 1871. It was the peculiar sewick' of Henslow's Bunting. The time was about dusk, the brighter stars having made their appearance, and there seemed to be some halfdozen individuals answering one another from different directions. Upon returning by the same route a few days afterward, I heard these birds in every weedy meadow through which I passed, and soon discovered that the species in question was not only an extremely common bird, but generally distributed, in suitable localities. A friend who accompanied me on the first occasion, and whose attention was directed to the note, returned. to the same locality a few days after, and with a companion has made one or two subsequent visits, the result of which has been the securing of numerous specimens, including the young in first plumage. - ROBERT RIDGWAY, Washington, D. C.

The Snowbird (Junco hyemalis) in Southern Michigan in Summer.—I take pleasure in announcing the occurrence here in summer of the Blue Snowbird. I saw it on July 8 (1879), and was often within ten or twelve feet of it. I was without my gun, or I would have secured it. This, however, is not the first instance of the occurrence of this bird in Southern Michigan in midsummer. Mr. Charles W. Gunn, of Grand Rapids, Mich., shot a male and female, July 13, 1878, near Grand Rapids, in Ottawa County, which were apparently breeding.— H. A. Atkins, Locke, Ingham Co., Mich.

NESTING OF THE SNOWBIRD (Junco hyemalis) IN EASTERN TENNESSEE. —In conversation with the late Rev. R. Bidwell, some time

since, he remarked that he was once on a peak of the Unaka Mountains, in Southeastern Tennessee, in August, and found the Snowbird nesting around the very crest of the peak, on the ground, in some tussocks of grass, the top of the peak being destitute of trees. The locality is probably in the "Smoky Range," southeast of Knoxville, many of the higher peaks of which attain an altitude exceeding six thousand feet. Dr. Cones refers to the nesting of this species in the "Graylock Range" (Birds of the Northwest, p. 141). — George H. Ragsdale, Gainesville, Texas.

Capture of a Fish Crow (Corvus ossifragus) Near Seabright, Monmouth County, New Jersey. — April 14, 1879, Edward Keeler brought me a "Sea Crow," stating that it was shot the day before, in company with many "Land Crows" (probably C. americanus, but C. corax also occurs); all were very shy. It proved, on dissection, to be a male bird; and of remarkably large size. Its dimensions are greater than any I can find on record, viz.: Length, 17.50; extent, 34.00; wing, 11.50; tail, 7.10; culmen, 1.63; gape, 1.92; tarsus, 1.90; middle toe and claw, 1.96; graduation of tail, .55. The stomach contained two whole shrimps, besides several fragments of the same. — Louis A. Zerega, 23 North Washington Square, New York City.

THE ROCKY MOUNTAIN WHISKEY-JACK (Perisoreus canadensis capitalis). — This race of the Canada Jay, so very different from the two styles found to the westward and eastward, obscurus and canadensis, is peculiar to the Rocky Mountain region, and is especially abundant in the State of Colorado. I have just received from Mr. Edwin Carter the nest, eggs, and female parent, taken this spring, and although the differences between the three forms are varietal rather than specific, it will be interesting to compare its nest and eggs with what little we know of those of the more eastern form. Writing, May 26, 1878, from his camp on the Shuto Platte, El Paso County, Mr. Carter says: "The Jay, capitalis, is not here. They are only found from 8,000 feet up to the limit of trees. They breed about Breckenridge, but I have never taken their eggs, and I know of no other bird that covers its action so completely during the nesting season. The young are on wing by the middle of June." On the 2d of April, 1879, Mr. Carter found the nest of the Rocky Mountain Jay near Breckenridge. The nest was on the horizontal branch of a pine tree, three feet from the trunk and forty feet from the ground. It contained three eggs, apparently its full complement, which were slightly incubated. The nest, which is now before me as I write, is warmly, strongly, and compactly interwoven of various materials, of which the feathers and down of various kinds of birds constitute the characteristic ingredient. The nest measures 4 inches in external height, and 7 in diameter. The cavity is 2 inches deep, and 4 in diameter at the top. The external framework of the nest is a rude but strong interweaving of twigs and small branches of pine, enclosing a closely impacted inner nest composed of strongly blended materials, stems of grasses, hempen fibres of plants, bark, down-feathers, etc. The walls of the nest are 2 inches thick, and the inner nest is warm and soft.

The eggs of the capitalis before me differ from those of the canadensis, but not more than we often find the eggs of the same species differing one from the other. The average size of the canadensis is $1.20 \times .82$, the ground is a light gray, and the entire egg is finely marked with points and spottings of slate and brown, with faint cloudings of an obscure lilac. These markings are but little more numerous and larger about the rounded end. The measurements of those before me are 1.19 × .86, 1.16 × .86, and 1.10 × .86. Their ground color is a grayish-white. In two the markings are all grouped around the larger end, the residue of the surface being nearly unmarked. In one the markings are well distributed over the entire egg, but larger and confluent at the rounded end. The markings are larger, more confluent, and not so distinct and separate as in the eggs of the canadensis, and are of a distinct shade of brown. While there is an absence of slate and lilac, and while the markings are all of one color, there is a tinge of purple shading them all, and the blotches vary greatly in the depth and intensity of the shading, from very light to a very deep color. The parent accompanying the nest and eggs is a female, whose measurements are as follows: Length, 11.60; extent, 17.65; wing, 5.95; tail, 5.95; tarsus, 1.45; middle toe and claw, 1.15; culmen, .80. T. M. Brewer, Boston, Mass.

ANOTHER NEST OF THE YELLOW-BELLIED FLYCATCHER (Empidonax flaviventris). — In view of the seeming inconsistencies in the nesting habits of E. flaviventris, the record of the finding of another nest may be of interest. The locality was the Richardson Lakes, Oxford Co., Me.; the date, June 18, 1879; and the discovery was very similar to that of the nest which I secured last year at Grand Menan, and which is described in Vol. III, No. 4, of this Bulletin. Mr. Pearsall and myself were collecting in a tract of low swampy woods bordering on a stream, when a Yellow-bellied Flycatcher flew up from under my feet. An instant's search revealed the nest, when the parent bird was immediately shot. The nest was built in the side of a hummock of moss under the roots of a small tree, and was only about half covered over, the eggs being clearly visible from the outside. The construction, or rather the almost total lack of construction, was similar to that of the Grand Menan specimen, as were also the eggs, which were four in number and pretty well advanced in incubation. Does not this seem to argue something for uniformity in the breeding habits of this bird? Here we have three nests, one taken by Messrs. Purdie and Deane, and two by Mr. Pearsall and myself. The locations are quite far apart, viz. Houlton, Me., Grand Menan, N. B., and Richardson Lakes, Me.; and yet the situation and make of the nests, as well as the color and markings of the eggs, agree perfectly. In both cases which I have recorded the identity was beyond

all question, as I found both nests myself, and the birds were never lost sight of from the time they left the nests until they were in our possession. Ornithologists may draw what inferences they please from these facts, but to my mind they augur ill for the identity of those nests which have been found in bushes, built of stubble, etc., and containing pure white eggs.—S. D. OSBORNE, Brooklyn, N. Y.

NESTING OF THE YELLOW-BELLIED FLYCATCHER (Empidonax flaviventris). — During a collecting trip made the past season with Mr. J. Dwight, Jr., to Fort Fairfield, Maine, I had the good fortune to obtain two nests of the Yellow-bellied Flycatcher (Empidonax flaviventris). As but little is known of the breeding habits of this bird, a description of the nest and eggs may not be without interest.

My first nest, containing four fresh eggs, which was taken on June 14, I found in rather wet mixed woods of small spruces and arbor-vitæs, with a few larger hemlocks, — also a few yellow birches and maples. It was on the edge of a bank formed by a decayed tree trunk, and over a pool in a small brook that was flowing beneath the moss-covered trunks of fallen trees. The nest was protected above and hidden from sight by some green moss growing upon a projecting root. A small vine (Ribes lacustre) growing past the entrance of the nest gave additional concealment. The bird flew from the nest when I was within a few feet of it. After a short time she returned to the nest, and I again flushed her. Just as she was returning once more, Mr. Dwight shot her to make the identification complete.

The nest, which was partly sunk in the surrounding moss, is made of fine brown roots, bits of rotten wood, and the scaly coverings of buds, apparently of the arbor-vitæ, together with a few sticks and withered leaves, and one or two bits of arbor-vitæ and green moss. It is scantily lined with very fine black roots and stalks of withered grass, the latter contrasting strongly in color with the rest of the nest. Its external diameter is four inches, its depth two and a quarter inches; internally its diameter is two and three eighths inches, its depth one and a quarter inches. The ground color of the eggs is white, with a slight creamy tinge. They are spotted and blotched with two shades of light-reddish brown, mostly about the larger end. Two of the eggs have also a few fine dashes and specks of black over the other markings. Their measurements are as follows: — .68 × .52, .68 × .52, .66 × .51, .66 × .51.

I did not find the second set until June 27. It was in a small piece of damp woods, consisting of scattered arbor-vitæs and hemlocks growing in the valley of a small brook. The nest was not far from a clearing, and was among a tangled mass of fallen trees. It was situated about two feet from the ground, on the side of the moss-covered stump of a fallen tree. It was deeply sunk in the soft green moss, which covered and protected it above, though the eggs could be seen from the outside.

As I approached the spot I saw a bird dart out from the moss and fly swiftly away. I noticed a rather inconspicuous hole in the moss, and looking in saw the eggs. Recognizing them by their resemblance to the other set, I retired a short distance and waited for the bird to come back. She returned in a few moments, and was soon joined by her mate, who, however, was disposed to remain rather more in the background. The female remained near the nest until I shot her, occasionally uttering her characteristic note of alarm, but without showing much anxiety.

The nest, which is very bulky for the size of the bird, is a compact mass of the soft green moss that is so abundant in such places, with a few bits of arbor-vitæ and one or two sticks. It is thinly lined with slender stalks of grass and a few very fine roots. Its external diameter is four and a quarter inches, its depth four inches; internally its diameter is two inches, its depth an inch and a half. The eggs, four in number, are white with a faint creamy tinge, and are marked, chiefly about the larger end, with spots and blotches of two shades of light reddish-brown, together with a few rather inconspicuous spots of lavender. Three of them have a few fine specks of black over the other markings. They measure $.70 \times .54$, $.67 \times .54$, $.67 \times .53$, $.67 \times .51$. The eggs appeared to have been incubated for only a day or two.

The nests and eggs that have been found in the past two seasons agree so closely in all respects as to render it probable, to say the least, that future sets will not vary much from them. Their number now seems sufficient to make one hesitate about admitting the identity of supposed nests of this species that differ widely from them in situation, structure, and in the appearance of the eggs.— Charles F. Batchelder, Cambridge, Mass.

A Correction. — I beg to apologize for a singular blunder recently made in proposing to substitute the name Buteo aquilinus (Barton) for B. borealis (Gm.). I have "always" known, of course, that Falco borealis, Gm., 1788, was the name of the bird; but during a momentary lapse of memory I attributed the specific term to Vieillot, and so gave priority wrongly to Barton. (See Birds Col. Val., I, 1878, p. 573, and Bull. Nutt. Club, IV, 1879, p. 84, foot-note. Also compare Proc. Phila. Acad. 1875, p. 344, foot-note.) — Elliott Coues, Washington, D. C.

Wilson's Plover on Long Island, N. Y. — On May 28, 1879, at Good Ground, Suffolk Co., Long Island (Shinnecock Bay), I shot a female Wilson's Plover, *Ægialitis wilsonia*. It was identified and mounted by Mr. James Bell, who reported it very rare. He said it was about six years since one had been recorded as far north as Long Island. This specimen was in very poor flesh. — WM. Dutcher, *New York City*.

THE BLACK SKIMMER (Rhynchops nigra) IN NEW ENGLAND. — The only record of this species occurring in New England was given by Lins-

ley, in 1843, in his Catalogue of the Birds of Connecticut; but since then writers have considered that it was given without sufficient proof.

Under date of August 20, 1879, Mr. Charles I. Goodale writes me that three specimens of the Black Skimmer were shot at Sandwich, Cape Cod, Mass., on the 19th inst., and that the next day a fourth specimen was killed off Pettock's Island, Boston Harbor, which latter bird he has preserved.

My friend, Mr. Geo. A. Boardman, of Milltown, St. Stephens, N. B., under date of 31st August, 1879, writes me that there had been a flight of Skimmers in his locality, and that seven specimens had been killed off Grand Menan and Campobello Island, and that they were seen at St. Andrews, at the head of Passamaquoddy Bay. On the same date, while sailing some ten miles from shore off Saco, Maine, I saw a single bird, undoubtedly of this species, flying rapidly along the surface of the water.

Is it not a little strange that a bird that has escaped our observations for years should appear so suddenly, and at various points along the coast from Cape Cod to the Bay of Fundy?—RUTHVEN DEANE, Cambridge, Mass.

The Black Skimmer in Massachusetts. — Prof. Baird, in a letter dated August 23, informs me that, having occasion to visit Wood's Holl (Falmouth, Mass.) a few days previous, he saw there a young example of Rynchops nigra, which had been shot at that place on the 19th of that month, by a son of Rev. Dr. Hiram Carleton, an Episcopal minister resident in the village. This example is to be presented to the New England Collection of the Boston Society of Natural History. — T. M. Brewer, Boston, Mass.

Occurrence of the Caspian Tern (Sterna caspia) upon the Coast of Virginia.—Until very recently, this, the largest of the Terns, has been considered as an exclusively Northern species along our coast, and even its winter limits have been placed at a point no farther south than New Jersey. Sennett's discovery, therefore, of the species on the Texan coast, where, as he informs me, he had every reason to believe it was about to breed, was a surprise. The truth is, our ideas respecting its distribution have doubtless been very erroneous, and I suspect that this species has more than once been observed along our Southern coast and reported as the Royal Tern. Be that as it may, I now have the satisfaction of recording the fact that Mr. Ridgway and myself have found the Caspian Tern breeding on Cobb's Island, off the coast of Virginia, where, July 29, the present season, we took a fine pair of adults with their two downy young.

How numerous the species is in this locality we cannot at present state, as our brief visit did not permit a by any means full exploration of this island, to say nothing of the several others adjacent, which may be equally well, perhaps better, fitted to afford it shelter.

The pair taken had certainly isolated themselves from their own kind,

if any such there were, nor can it be positively stated that any others were seen, the Royal Tern being sufficiently near the present species in size to render discrimination between them at a distance very uncertain.

A hollow scratched in the dry sand, and without trace of lining, precisely as in the case of the Skimmers' nests close by, held the young, and, when the spot was first approached by our boatman, the female darted close down upon him with such unmistakably hostile intentions that he had recourse to his gun to ward her off.

A description of the downy young, which have hitherto not been noticed, is appended: Color above grayish-white, each down tuft on the rump and back with blackish tips, under parts pure white, except the jugular region, which is overspread with dusky. A peculiarity of coloration is seen in the uniformly light colors, the back and rump being only faintly mottled with black, which is not aggregated into patches as in the young of nearly all the family. Probably the same will be found to be the case in the same stage of the Royal Tern, which appears not to be known. — H. W. Henshaw, Washington, D. C.

NOTE ON ALLE NIGRICANS, LINK. — In looking over Link's Description of the Museum of the Rostock University,* - a book so rare that only four copies are known to be extant, — I find, on p. 17 of Abth. I, the above name, which requires attention. It is, in fact, a new genus and species, based on Alca alle, Linn., and set forth in due form. Now Mergulus, the current name of the genus, is a very old word, having come down to us from the pre-Linnæan fathers; but one which was never used in the sense of a modern genus by a binomenclator until so employed by Vieillot in 1816. Consequently Alle, Link, 1806, antedates Mergulus, and must be employed for the genus, unless we are to accept Arctica of Moehring, 1752, which few of us seem disposed to follow Gray in doing. As to the specific name, there are three to choose from. We may say Alle candida after Brünnich. 1764, but this name is scarcely applicable, as it was based upon an entirely white specimen, probably an albino, and misleads as to the character of the bird; moreover, it conflicts with a Linnman name, and would therefore be thrown out by most systematists. We may say Alle alle, after Linnæus, but this duplication of generic and specific terms is objectionable, and now rarely practised. The alternative is Alle nigricans, Link; and this would appear to be the tenable name of the bird in question, according to recognized rules of nomenclature. - Elliott Coues, Washington, D. C.

^{*} Beschreibung der Naturalien-Sammlung der Universität zu Rostock. Erste [sechste] Abtheilung. Von D. H. F. Link. Rostock, Adlers Erben, 1806 – 1808. 1 vol., sm. 8vo. (Vögel, pp. 17–50 of Abtheilung l, 1806.)

INDEX TO VOLUME IV.

Ardetta exilis, 226.

Astur atricapillus, 85, 196.

Asyndesmus torquatus, 195.

Red-winged, 9, 32, 94, 145, 215.

Yellow-headed, 94, 145, 165.

Rusty, 29, 145.

Arctic, 91.

Mexican, 163.

Western, 163.

Wilson's, 140.

Botaurus lentiginosus, 32, 197. "minor, 147, 217, 226. Brachyotus palustris, 19, 83, 216, 223.

Bonasa umbellus umbelloides, 197.

Bluebird, 32, 34, 210.

Bobolink, 32, 145.

"

"

66

66

ACCENTOR, Aquatic, 142, 212.

Golden-crowned, 212.

Large-billed, 142.

Ampelis cedrorum, 7, 24, 32, 81, 93,

Anorthura troglodytes hyemalis, 34,

Anthus ludovicianus, 92, 164, 174, 211.

vociferus, 146, 215, 223.

Antrostomus carolinensis, 10, 215.

Anas boschas, 13, 33, 198, 218.

" obscura, 13, 226.

143, 213.

37, 141, 211.

Anser gambeli, 198.

rossi, 126.

Aquila chrysaëtus, 189. Ardea cærulea, 13, 217.

Atkins, H. A., the Snowbird (Junco hyemalis) in Southern Michigan in Accipiter cooperi, 11, 146, 196, 216. fuscus, 24, 37, 146, 196, 216. Actiturns bartramius, 13, 217. Summer. Adams, Edward, notice of his "Notes Aughey, Samuel, notice on his "Notes on the Birds of Alaska," 52. on the Nature of the Food of the Æchmoptila albifrons, 51. Birds of Nebraska," 110. Ægialitis meloda, 24, 44, 63, 224. Auk, Little, 228. Razor-billed, 228. meloda circumcineta, 171. " semipalmata, 32, 224. Avocet, 224. 66 vocifera, 12, 96, 197, 217. BAGG, EGBERT, JR., nesting of Certhia familiaris, 183; the Loggerhead wilsonia. Ægiothus canescens, 121. Shrike in Central New York, 237. exilipes, 121. Baldpate, 198. Batchelder, Charles F., nesting of the linaria, 82, 164, 187. Agelæus phæniceus, 9, 24, 32, 94, 145, Yellow-bellied Flycatcher (Empi-165, 215. donax flaviventris), 241. phœniceus gubernator, 165. Bee-Martin, 215. Aix sponsa, 13, 198, 210. Belding and Ridgway's "A Partial List of the Birds of Central California" noticed, 167. Alca torda, 23. Alle nigricans, 244. Allen, J. A., nest and eggs of the Cerulean Warbler, 25; on the record of the breeding of Glaucidium cali-Berier, DeL., capture of the European Widgeon in North Carolina, 190. Bicknell, Eugene P., capture of the Carolina Wren (Thryothorus ludovifornicum, 87; rare birds in Michigan, 123; notes on the Sea-Birds of the cianus) breeding in New York, 183. Grand Banks, 127; odd behavior of a Robin and a Yellow Warbler, 178; Bittern, American, 30, 32, 147, 197. 217, 226. the Evening Grosbeak in New Mex-Least, 226. ico, 237. Blackbird, Brewer's, 29, 94, 111, 166. Ammodromus caudacutus, 223. Cow, 123. " maritimus, 223. Crow, 32, 145. maritimus nigrescens, 115. Red-and-black-shouldered, 165. melanoleucus, 113. Red-and-buff-shouldered, 165.

candidissima, 13.
egretta, 62, 63, 226.
herodias, 13, 147, 197, 217, 226.
virescens, 147, 217, 226.

Branta bernicla, 226.

bernicla nigricans, 198, 226.
canadensis, 13, 147, 198, 226.

Brant, Black, 53, 198, 226.

Index. 246

Brewer, T. M., notes on New England birds, 63; Fulmarus glacialis on the Massachusetts coast, 64; the American Brown Creeper, 87; nest and eggs of the Golden-crowned Kinglet (Regulus satrapa), 96; the eggs of the Redstart (Setophaga ruticilla), 118; the Loggerhead Shrike (Collurio ludovicianus) breeding in Northern New England, 119; the Great Northern Shrike in New England, 120; the Cow-Blackbird of Texas and Arizona, 123; the Rocky Mountain Golden-eye (Bucephala islandica), 148; the eggs of the Curlew Sandpiper (Tringa subarquata), 190; the Booby Gannet (Sula fiber) in Massachusetts, 191; a word in defence, 191; introductory note to Loomis's List of the Birds of Chester County, South Carolina, 209; notice of his paper on the nests and eggs of the North American species of Empidonax, 232; on a nest of the Summer Redbird, 213; Vireo gilvus and Vireo flavifrons in Northern New England, 237; the Rocky Monntain Whiskey-Jack (Perisoreus canadensis capitalis), 239; the Black Skimmer in Massa-

chusetts, 243.

Brewster, William, note on Ortyx virginianus from Alabama, 12; the Terns of the New England Coast, 13; descriptions of the first plumage in various species of North American birds, 39; notes on the habits and distribution of the Rufous crowned Sparrow (Pencaa ruficeps), 47; on the habits and nesting of certain rare birds in Texas, 75; notes upon the distribution, habits, and nesting of the Black-capped Vireo (Vireo atricapillus), 99; a spotted egg of Empidonax minimus, 124; a second specimen of the Yellow-crowned Night Heron (Nyctiardea violacea) in Massachusetts, 124; additional notes on the Whistling Swan (Cygnus americanus) in New England, 125; breeding habits of the American Brown Creeper (Certhia familiaris americana), 199. Brown, Nathan Clifford, a list of birds

observed at Coosada, Central Alabama, 7; notes on a few birds occurring in the vicinity of Portland, Me., 106.

Bubo virginianus, 11, 95, 146, 216. " virginianus subarctieus, 195.

Bucephala albeola, 33, 198. clangula, 96.

clangula americana, 198.

Bucephala islandica, 50, 126, 148, 198. Bull-bat, 215.

Bunting, Bay-winged, 143, 214. Black-throated, 122, 144.

Chestnut-collared, 143.

Clay-colored, 154. .. Henslow's, 8, 143.

Lazuli, 165.

LeContc's, 8, 152. Towhee, 214.

Buteo albocaudatus, 51.

aquilinus, 84, 146, 242. borealis, 84, 216, 242. "

46 lineatus, 11, 31, 84, 146, 216.

" swainsoni, 188, 196. zonocercus, 80, 189.

Butter-ball, 198.

Buzzard, Turkey, 11, 147, 196, 216,

Calidris arenaria, 182, 225.

Canace obscura, 197.

Cardinal, 82, 144. Cardinalis virginianus, 9, 46, 82, 144,

Carpodacus cassini, 93, 164.

purpurens, 32, 34, 122, 143, 214.

Cathird, 28, 32, 140, 210.

Cathartes atratus, 12, 216. aura, 11, 24, 147, 216, 224.

Cedar-Bird, 7, 32, 81, 93, 143. Centrocercus urophasianus, 96, 197. Centrophanes lapponica, 238. Centurus carolinus, 6, 11, 216. Certhia americana, 90.

brachydaetyla, 89, 90.

costæ, 90. 66 familiaris, 32, 87, 141, 183, 211.

" familiaris americana, 199.

" mexicana, 90.

Certhiola martinica, 229. Ceryle aleyon, 31, 36, 95, 146, 188, 195,

215. americana cabanisi, 79.

Chætura pelagica, 11, 31, 146, 215. Chamæpelia passerina, 12. Charadrius virginieus, 217.

Chat, Yellow-breasted, 142, 212. Chen hyperboreus, 198.

Chewink, 32, 145. Chickadee, 32, 140.

> Carolina, 211, 223. Mountain, 92, 163.

Chicken, Prairie, 111, 112, 147. Chip-the-red-oak-white-oak, 215. Chleephaga canagica, 53. Chondestes grammica, 144. Chordiles aentipennis texensis, 51.

popetne, 31.

66 virginianus, 11, 146, 215. virginianus henryi, 95.

Index. 247

Chrysomitris pinus, 93, 164, 214.

psaltria, 46.

psaltria mexicanus, 46. tristis, 7, 46, 143, 164, 214.

Chrysotis gouldingi, 229. Chuck-will's-widow, 10, 215. Cinclocerthia gutturalis, 229. Cinclus mexicanus, 39, 91.

Circus cvaneus hudsonius, 37, 83, 146, 195, 224.

Cistothorus palustris, 32.

stellaris, 6. Coccoborus vespertinus, 65.

Coccothraustes bonapartii, 66, 71. maculipennis, 67.

vespertina, 65.

Coccyzus americanus, 11, 146, 216. erythrophthalmus, 52, 146, 216. Cock of the Plains, 197.

Cock, Chaparral, 109. Johnny, 216.

Sage, 96, 197.

Colaptes auratus, 11, 31, 67, 146, 216.

auratus mexicanus, 195. mexicanus, 95.

Collurio borealis, 81, 119, 120.

" ludovicianus, 7, 61, 82, 119, 120. ludovicianus excubitorides, 119, 120.

Colymbus adamsi, 53.

arcticus pacificus, 199. " septentrionalis, 228. torquatus, 13, 199, 228.

Cooper, W. A., notes on the breeding habits of the California Pygmy Owl (Glaucidium californicum), with a description of its eggs, 86.

Coot, 13, 30, 147, 197, 217.

Contopus horealis, 94, 186, 195, 235.

virens, 10, 145, 215.

virens richardsoni, 94, 195. Conurus carolinus, 11, 146.

Cormorant, 33. Double-crested, 62, 227. Corvus americanus, 32, 145, 166, 215,

americanus floridanus, 10.

corax, 82, 94, 166. ossifragus, 10, 82, 239.

Cory, Charles B., capture of Kirtland's Warbler (Dendræca kirtlandi) in the Bahama Islands, 118; notice of his "Naturalist in the Magdalen Islands," 171.

Coturniculus henslowi, 8, 46, 143, 238. lecontei, 8, 152.

passerinus, 8, 143, 214.

Cotyle riparia, 142.

Cones, Elliott, notice of his "Field-Notes on Birds observed in Dakota and Montana along the Forty-ninth Parallel during the Seasons of 1873 and 1874," 49; notice of his "Birds of the Colorado Valley," 54; note on Dendræca chrysoparia, 60; history of the Evening Grosbeak, 65; note on Falco aquilinus, Barton, 84; note on Deudraca townsendi, 117; on the breeding of the Great Northern Shrike, 120; note on Bucephala islandica, 126; "Memorial" relating to his "Bibliography of Ornithology," 175; note on the Black-capped Greenlet, Vireo atricapillus of Woodhouse, 193; obituary of Miss Genevieve E. Jones, 228; southern range of Centrophanes lapponica, 238; a correction, 242; note on Alle nigricans, 244.

Cones, George Hughes, list of birds observed in the Naval Hospital Grounds, in Brooklyn City, 31.

Cowbird, 32, 111, 145, 215. Crane, Big Blue, 217. Little Blue, 217.

Little White, 217. Sandhill, 147, 197.

Creeper, American Brown, 87, 199, 211. Black-and-white, 32.

Brown, 22, 141, 183.

Crossbill, 121.

Red, 62, 93. Crow, Common, 32, 145, 166, 215, 283.

Carrion, 216. 66 Clarke's, 94, 194. 44 Fish, 10, 82.

Florida, 10. Cuckoo, Black-billed, 29, 146, 216. Yellow-billed, 11, 146, 216.

Cupidonia cupido, 62, 147. Curlew, Hudsonian, 226.

Long-billed, 147, 197, 225. Cyanecula suecica, 53.

Cyanocitta cyanea, 145. Cyanospiza amœna, 165.

ciris, 46.

" cyanea, 9, 144, 214. Cyanurus cristatus, 10, 32, 215.

stelleri, 94.

stelleri frontalis, 194. Cygnus americanus, 125, 226.

buccinator, 197. Cymochorea lencorrhoa, 128.

Cypseloides niger, 229. DAB-CHICK, 147.

Dafila acuta, 198.

Darter, Blue, 216. Dartt, Mary, notice of her "On the Plains and among the Peaks; or, How Mrs. Maxwell made her Natural History Collection," 113.

Dead-limb Bird, 215.

Deane, Ruthven, additional cases of albinism and melanism in North

American birds, 27; breeding of the Winter Wren at Houlton, Me., 37; Vireo atricapillus in Texas, 58; occurrence of the western variety of the Yellow Redpoll Warbler in Massachusetts, 60; the Great White Egret in New Branswick, 63; the Frigate Pelican in Nova Scotia, 64; capture of the Loggerhead Shrike in winter in New Hampshire, 119; record of the breeding of Crossbills in Northern Vermont in 1796, 121; nesting of the Black-throated Bunting (Euspiza americana) in Massachusetts, 122; additional captures of the Curlew Sandpiper in New England, 124; the Great Carolina Wren breeding on Long Island, N. Y., 184; additional capture of the Cærulean Warbler in New England, 185; correction, 186; capture of a third specimen of the Flammulated Owl (Scops flammeola) in the United States, 188; the Black Skimmer (Rhynchops nigra) in New England, 242.

Dendroeygna autumnalis, 51.

. .

Dendræca æstiva, 32, 128, 141, 164, 180, 212,

audnboni, 92, 164.

46 blackburniæ, 106, 212.

discolor, 186, 212. dominica, 212.

eærulea, 25, 142, 185.

cærulescens, 212. chrysoparia, 60, 77.

coronata, 32, 34, 81, 118, 142, 212.

66 kirtlandi, 58, 118, 185. 4.6 maculosa, 106, 142, 212.

6.6 palmarum, 186, 212.

6.6 palmarum hypochrysea, 60,

pennsylvanica, 32, 142, 212.

. 6 pinus, 107, 212. rufigula, 229.

striata, 142, 174, 212.

tigrina, 212.

townsendi, 118. virens, 141, 212, 230.

Diedapper, 218.

Diver, Great Northern, 199, 228.

Pacific Black-throated, 199.

Red-throated, 228.

Dolichonyx oryzivora, 32, 42, 145, 215. Dowse, W. B., the White-bellied Nuthatch concealing food, 61.

Dove, Carolina, 12, 37, 85, 96, 147, 217.

Ground, 12.

Mourning, 196. Duck, Black, 13, 226.

Blue-eyed, 53.

Duck, Buffle-head, 33, 198.

Canvas-back, 50.

Dusky, 33.

Greater Seaup, 226.

66 Harlaquin, 50.

. . Lesser Blackhead, 198.

66 Lesser Seaup, 30.

66 Long-tailed, 226. 66

Pin-tail, 198. 66 Ruddy, 198.

66 Spoonbill, 147, 198.

44 Sprig-tail, 198. 66

Summer, 198. Surf, 30, 226.

Surf, 50, Wild, 198.
Wood, 13, 198.
Wm., Wilson's Plover on Wm., 242.

Duteler, Wm., Wilson's Plover on Long Island, N. Y., 242. Dwight, J., Jr., the Stilt Sandpiper (Micropalama himautopus) on the New Jersey Coast, 63.

Eagle, Bald, 224. Golden, 189

White-headed, 196

Ectopistes migratoria, 12, 37, 147, 216. Egret, American, 197.

Great White, 62, 63, 226.

Eider, Black-throated, 53.

Pacific, 53.

Elanoides forficatus, 62, 146.

Elliot, D. G., notice of his Synopsis of the Trochilidae, 230.

Empidonax acadicus, 10, 145, 215.

flaviventris, 146, 232, 239, 240.

minimus, 31, 124, 145.

obseurus, 95.

Eremophila alpestris, 81, 92, 141, 163, 211, 223. Ercunetes pusillus, 224.

Erismatura rubida, 198. Euphonia flavifrons, 229.

Euspiza americana, 41, 122, 144, 235. Falco borealis, 84.

columbarius, 31, 216.

communis, 224.

fusco-cærnlescens, 51. gyrfaleo saeer 9, 188.

mexicanus, 96.

mexicanus polyagrus, 196. 66

obsoletus, 188.

sparverius, 31, 96, 196, 216.

Falcon, Lanier, 96. Prairie, 111, 196.

Fineh, Bachman's, 8, 218.

Blanding's, 94.

Cassin's Purple, 93, 164.

Grass, 8, 82, 93.

Lark, 144.

Lincoln's, 6, 143, 154.

Pine, 93, 164, 214.

Purple, 7, 28, 32, 35, 122, 143, 214.

peregrina, 141.

Grackle, Blue-headed, 166. Finch, Seaside, 223. Sharp-tailed, 28, 223. Florida, 10. Western Grass, 164. Purple, 215. Rusty, 10, 215. Fisher, A. K., occurrence of several rare birds near Sing Sing, N. Y., 61; 66 Graculus dilophus, 62, 226. Helminthophaga leucobronchialis in New carbo, 33. Grebe, Horned, 96, 228. York, 234. " Pied-billed, 218. Flycatcher, Acadian, 10, 215. Arkansas, 60, 195. Greenlet, Black-capped, 193. Great-crested, 10, 145, 215. Grosbeak, Blue, 9, 214. Evening, 65. Least, 145. 66 " Olive-sided, 94, 186, 195. Pine, 187. 66 Small Green-crested, 145. Rose-breasted, 32, 144. " Wright's, 95. Grouse, Dusky, 96, 197. Yellow-bellied, 146, 241. Gray Ruffed, 197. Pinnated, 62. Flicker, Red-shafted, 95, 195. Southern Sharp-tailed, 197. Yellow-shafted, 37. Fringilla vespertina, 65. Grus canadensis, 147, 197. Guiraca abeillii, 67. Fulica americana, 13, 30, 147, 197, 217. Fuligula affinis, 198. ludoviciana, 32. marila, 226. Gull, Black-headed, 18. Fulmarus glacialis, 64. Bonaparte's, 190. GALLINAGO wilsoni, 12, 63, 96, 147, 66 California, 199. 197, 217, 224. 66 Heermann's White-headed, 30. " Gallinula galeata, 63, 155. Herring, 227. Gallinule, Florida, 62, 155. Great Black-backed, 227. Jaeger, 127, 128. Gambetta melanoleuca, 197. Gannet, Booby, 191. Garzetta candidissima, 114. Laughing, 227. Ring-billed, 227. Sabine's, 53. Geococcyx californianus, 109. Geothlypis philadelphia, 142.
"philadelphia macgillivrayi, " Skua, 127, 128. Gunn, Charles W., rare birds in Michigan, 186. trichas, 142, 212. Haliaëtus leucocephalus, 196, 224. Hallock's "Sportsman's Gazetteer," Geotrygon mystacea, 229. Gerfalcon, MacFarlane's, 88. notice of, 175. Harelda glacialis, 226. Glaucidium californicum, 86. Harporhynchus rufus, 32, 140. passerinum californicum, Harrier, Marsh, 83, 84, 146, 195. Gnatcatcher, Blue-gray, 140, 182, 211. Hawk, Band-tailed, 80, 189. Godwit, Hudsonian, 53, 64. " Chicken, 216. " Cooper's, 11, 84, 111, 146, 196, 216. Marbled, 147, 225. " Duck, 224. Golden-Eve, 96. American, 198. " Fish, 96, 196, 224. " Marsh, 37, 195, 224. Barrow's, 198. Rocky Mountain, 50, 126, 148. " Pigeon, 31, 111, 216. " Rabbit, 216. Goldfinch, American, 7, 28, 164. " Red-shouldered, 11, 31, 84, 146, 216. Goniaphea cærulea, 9, 46, 214, 235. " Red-tailed, 84, 146, 216. ludoviciana, 144. 66 " Sharp-shinned, 37, 84, 146, 196, melanocephala, 41. Goose, Brant, 226. 216. " Sparrow, 31, 96, 111, 196, 216. Canada, 198, 226. 66 " Swainson's, 196. Gambel's, 53. Hayward, R., a spotted egg of Empido-Hutchins's, 63. 66 nax minimus, 124. Painted, 53. Helmintherus vermivorus, 141, 212. 66 Ross's, 126. Snow, 53, 198. Helminthophaga celata, 61, 141, 235. 66 celata lutescens, 40. White-fronted, 53, 198. 66 66 Wild, 13, 147. gunnii, 185, 233. 66 Goshawk, 85, 111, 196, 214. leucobronchialis, Goss, N. S., Bonaparte's Gull in Kan-184, 234.

sas, 190.

Helminthophaga pinus, 141, 234. ruficapilla, 141.

Hen, Prairie, 147. Henshaw, H. W., occurrence of Ross's Goose (Anser rossi) on the Pacific coast and inland, 126; remarks upon Turdus pallasi and its varieties, 134; Melospiza meloda and its allies, 155; nest and eggs of the Gray Titmonse (Lophophanes inornatus), 182; the use of trinomials, 232; occurrence of the Caspian Tern (Sterna caspia) upon the coast of Virginia, 243.

Herodias alba egretta, 197.

Heron, Great Blue, 13, 147, 197, 217, 226.

Green, 147, 217, 226, Little Blue, 13, 217

Little White, 13, 217.

Night, 147, 226.

Yellow-erowned Night, 124.

Hesperiphona abeillii, 67,

vespertina, 46, 65, 237. vespertina montana, 66.

Himantopus mexicanus, 197.

Hirundo ervthrogastra, 93, 213. erythrogastra horreorum, 142,

164, 230.

horreorum, 32. Hummer, see Humming-Bird.

Humming-Bird, Anna, 29.

Black-chinned, 60, Broad-tailed, 95. Ruby-throated, 11, 31, 146, 215.

Hydrochelidon lariformis, 108. nigra, 14, 199, 227.

Hylatomus pileatus, 6, 11, 146, 216.

Ibis alba, 24.

Icteria virens, 142, 212.

Icterus baltimore, 32, 52, 145, 215.

spurius, 10, 145, 215. Ictinia mississippiensis, 43.

Indigo-Bird, 144, 214.

Ingersoll, Ernest, notice of his "Nests and Eggs of American Birds," 172.

Jaeger, Pomarine, 227.

Jay, Blue, 10, 32, 111, 145, 215. California Mountain, 194.

Canada, 94.

Oregon Gray, 195.

Steller's, 94.

Jeffries, J. A., the Yellow-rumped Warbler (Dendræca coronata) wintering in Swampscott, Mass., 118.

Jeffries, W. A., the Ipswich Sparrow (Passerculus princeps, Maynard), 103; Ægiothus exilipes in Massachusetts, 121.

Joe-ree, 214.

Johnson, Frank E., capture of the Golden Eagle at Gravesend, L. I., 189.

Jones, Miss Genevieve E., obituary of,

Jones and Shulze's "Illustrations of the Nests and Eggs of the Birds of Ohio," notice of, 52, 228.

Juneo eaniceps, 123.

hyemalis, 9, 32, 107, 116, 143, 214, 238.

oregonus, 123, 165.

KILLDEER, 12.

Kingbird, 10, 31, 145.

Western, 195. Kingfisher, Belted, 11, 29, 31, 36, 95, 146, 187, 215.

Texas, 79, 195.

Kinglet, Golden-crested, 96, 211. Ruby-erowned, 91, 211.

Kite, Swallow-tailed, 62, 146.

LAGOPUS lencurus, 96. Lampronetta fischeri, 53.

Langdon, Frank W., notice of his "Revised List of Cineinnati Birds," 112; albinism in the Tufted Titmouse, 116; the White-rumped and Log-

gerhead Shrikes in Ohio, 120; nesting of the Kentucky Warbler (Oporornis formosa) in Ohio, 236.

Lanius Indovicianus, 186, 214, 223, 237. ludovicianus excubitorides, 143.

Lark, Brown, 164, 211.

Field, 215.

Horned, 141, 163, 211.

Meadow, 9, 36, 94, 145, 215.

Shore, 28, 81, 92, 163, 223. Western Meadow, 123, 165.

Larus argentatus, 23, 227. atricilla, 18, 227.

66 ealifornieus, 199. 66 delawarensis, 227.

66 heermanni, 30.

66 marinus, 128, 227. " philadelphia, 191.

Lawrence, George N., notices of papers by, on the birds of the Lesser Antilles, 48, 228.

Leucosticte griseinucha, 46. Limosa fedoa, 147, 225.

hudsoniea, 64, 235.

Linnet, Blue, 165.

Pine, 164, 214. Redpoll, 82, 187.

Lobipes hyperboreus, 197.

Loomis, Leverett M., a partial list of the birds of Chester County, South Carolina, 209.

Loon, 13, 199.

Lophophanes atricristatus, 76.

bicolor, 34, 81, 116, 140, 211, 223.

inornatus, 39, 182.

Loxia enrvirostra, 62.

enrvirostra mexicana, 93.

McChesney, Charles E., notes on some of the winter and early spring birds of Fort Sisseton, Dakota, 187. Macrorhamphus griseus, 197, 225. scolopaceus, 64. Magpie, 94, 194. Mallard, 13, 198, 218. Mareca americana, 198, 218. " penelope, 190. Martin, Bee, 215. Black, 213. "Purple, 7, 143, 213.
Maynard, C. J., notice of his "Birds of Florida," 114. Maxwell, Mrs. M. A., see Dartt, Mary. Mearns, Edgar A., notes on some of the less hardy winter residents in the Hudson River Valley, 33; a partial list of the birds of Fort Klamath, Oregon, 161, 194. Melanerpes erythrocephalus, 11, 31, 36, 146, 216. l'herminieri, 229. Meleagris americanus, 217. gallopavo, 147. gallopavo americanus, 12. Melospiza fasciata fallax, 165. insignis, 159, 160. lincolni, 6, 143, 154. meloda, 8, 32, 34, 143, 155, 160, 214. meloda gouldi, 157. meloda fallax, 156, 160. meloda guttata, 158, 160. meloda heermanni, 157, 160. meloda mexicana, 158, 166. 66 meloda rufina, 159, 160. meloda samuelis, 157, 160. palustris, 8, 32, 34, 143, 214. Merganser, Red-breasted, 226. Mergulus alle, 228, 236, 244. Mergus castor americanus, 198. encullatus, 198. serrator, 198, 226. Merriam, C. Hart, remarks on some of the birds of Lewis County, Northern New York, 1. Merrill, J. C., notice of his "Notes on the Ornithology of Southern Texas,' Micropalama himantopus, 63. Miller, Miss Fanny, strange story of a California bird, 109. Mimus carolinensis, 32, 140, 171, 210. " polyglottus, 32, 64, 140, 210. Mniotilta varia, 32, 141, 211, 234. Mocking-Bird, 27, 32, 64, 140, 210. French, 214. Mountain, 91. Molothrus æneus, 51. ater, 32, 145, 215.

obscurus, 123.

Motacilla flava, 53. stapazina, 130. Myiadestes townsendi, 93. Myiarchus cinerascens, 42. crinitus, 10, 145, 215. erythrocercus cooperi, 51. sclateri, 229. Myiodioctes canadensis, mitratus, 7, 1 pusillus, 142. 64 pusillus pileolatus, 40, 164. Nauclerus furcatus, 210. Neocorys spraguei, 50, 238. Nettion carolinensis, 198. Night-Hawk, 11, 31, 146. "Western, 95, 195. Nutcracker, Clarke's, 194. Nuthatch, Brown-headed, 211. Pigmy, 92, 163. Red-bellied, 141, 163, 211. 66 " Slender-billed, 163. 66 White-bellied, 32, 61, 92, 141, 211. Numenius hudsonicus, 226. longirostris, 147, 197, 225. Nyctale acadica, 85, 235. albifrons, 85. Nyctea nivea, 223. Nyctherodias violaceus, 114, 124. Nyctiardea grisea nævia, 147, 226. Nyctidromus albicollis, 51. Oceanites oceanica, 228. Œdemia americana, 114. perspicillata, 226. velvetina, 128. Oporornis agilis, 61, 186. formosa, 142, 212, 234, 236. Oreoscoptes montanus, 91. Oriole, Baltimore, 32, 145, 215. Orehard, 10, 145, 215. Ortalida vetula, 51. Orthorhynchus cristatus, 229. Ortyx virginianus, 12, 147, 217, 229. Osborne, S. D., on the coloration of eggs, 23; another nest of the Yellowbellied Flycatcher (Empidonax flaviventris), 240. Osprey, American, 196. Otus vulgaris wilsonianus, 195. Ouzel, Water, 91. Owl, Barn, 49, 61, 62. Barred, 31, 85, 146, 216. California Pygmy, 86. Great Horned, 85, 95, 146, 216. Long-eared, 85, 195. .. Mottled, 85. 66 Saw-whet, 85. Screech, 146, 216. 66 " Short-eared, 19, 53, 83, 216, 223. 66 Snowy, 111, 223. " Western Great Horned, 195.

Pandion haliaëtus, 96, 224.

Pandion haliaëtus carolinensis, 196.

Parrakeet, Carolina, 11.

Pipilo aberti, 46.

chlorurus, 94, 165.

erythrophthalmus, 9, 32, 145, 214.

66 fuscus crissalis, 41. Parula americana, 141, 211. 66 fuscus mesolencus, 46. Parus atricapillus, 32, 81, 140. atricapillus carolinensis, 81, 223. leucopis, 115. maculatus megalonyx, 165. carolinensis, 39, 76, 211. montanus, 92, 163. Pipit, 164. Plectrophanes maccowni, 50. Partridge, 217. Spruce, 29. lapponicus, 235. Passer domesticus, 32. nivalis, 32, 46. Passcreulus princeps, 103. ornatus, 50, 143. savanna, 8, 105, 143, 214. Plegadis guarauna, 51. savanna alaudinus, 164. Plotus anhinga, 13. savanna sandwichensis, 95. Plover, Black-bellied, 224. Passerella iliaca, 9, 32, 36, 82, 144, 214. Golden, 217. 44 iliaca townsendi, 165. Killdeer, 96, 197, 217. Pearsall, R. F., notes on the Purple " Piping, 63, 224. Finch, 122. 66 Ring-neck, 32, 224. 66 Pediœcetes phasianellus columbianus, Upland, 13. Podiceps auritus californicus, 96. cornutus, 227. Pelecanus erythrorhynchus, 198. Pelican, American White, 198. Podilymbus podiceps, 147, 218. Frigate, 64. Poke, Shy, 217. Perisoreus canadensis, 94. Polioptila cærulea, 140, 174, 182, 211, canadensis capitalis, 239. Poccetes gramineus, 8, 40, 82, 143, canadensis obscurus, 195. 214. Petrel, Fulmar, 64. "Wilson's, 228. gramineus confinis, 93, 164. Porzana carolina, 46, 147, 197, 217. Progne purpurea, 7. Petrochelidon lunifrons, 93, 142. subis, 143, 213. Peucæa æstivalis, 8, 218, 219. Protonotaria citrea, 141, 235. arizonæ, 219. 46 cassini, 219. Ptarmigan, White-tailed, 96. " illinoensis, 219. Puffinus anglorum, 128. 4.6 ruficeps, 40, 47. major, 127. urdie, H. A., the Golden-cheeked Warbler and Black-chinned Hum-ming-Bird in Texas, 60; the Great Purdie, Pewee, 10. Bridge, 145. Western Wood, 94, 195. Carolina Wren (Thryothorus Indovici-Wood, 10, 29, 145, 215. anus) in Connecticut, 61; record of Phalarope, 224. additional specimens of the White-Throated Warbler (Helminthophaga Northern, 197. Red, 127. leucobronchialis), 184; another Kirt-land's Warbler (Dendræca kirtlandi), Wilson's, 197. Phalaropus fulicarius, 127. 185; the Loggerhead Shrike breed--, 224. ing in Maine, 186; MacFarlane's Philohela minor, 12, 44, 147, 217. Phonipara bicolor, 115. Gerfalcon (Fulco gerfalco sacer) in Maine, 188. Pica melanolenca hudsonica, 94, 194. Pyranga æstiva, 142, 213, 235. Picicorvus columbianus, 94, 194. Picoides americanus, 6. ludoviciana, 92, 164. rubra, 32, 142, 212. americanus arcticus, 6, 154, Pyrrhuloxia sinuata, 46. 194. americanus dorsalis, 95. Quail, 12, 111, 112, 147. Pieus borealis, 11. Querquedula carolinensis, 147. pubescens, 11, 31, 146, 188, 216. cyanoptera, 198. pubescens gairdneri, 95. discors, 13, 33, 147, 218. Quiscalus guadeloupensis, 229. villosus, 11, 31, 146, 216. villosus harrisi, 95, 195. inflexirostris, 229. 66 purpureus, 145, 215. Pigeon, Wild, 37, 147, 216. purpureus aglæns, 10. Pinicola enucleator, 46, 187.

Pipilo erythrophthalmus alleni, 115. "fuscus albigula, 46.

" versicolor, 32.
RAGSDALE, G. H., Olive-backed Thrush

(Turdus swainsoni) in Texas, 116;

nesting of the Snowbird (Junco hye-Sayornis fuseus, 10, 145, 215. malis) in Eastern Tennessee, 238. nigricans, 42. Rail, Carolina, 30, 51, 147, 217. "Clapper, 226. Scolecophagus cyanocephalus, 94, 166. ferrugineus, 10, 145, Sora, 197. 215. Virginia, 197. Scops asio, 146, 216. Rallus crepitans, 24, 108. asio maxwelliæ, 114. longirostris, 108, 226. flammeola, 188. virginianus, 45, 197. Scoter, 53. Rathbun, Frank R., notice of his Scott, W. E. D., late fall and winter "Revised List of Birds of Central notes on some birds observed in the New York." 172. vicinity of Princeton, N. J., 81; notes on birds observed at Twin Rathbun, Samuel F., and Frank S. Wright, Hooded Warbler in Western Lakes, Lake County, Col., 90; notes on birds observed during the spring New York, 116. migration in Western Missouri, 139; Raven, 82, 94, 166, 223. Recurvirostra americana, 108, 224. notes on birds observed at Long Beach, New Jersey, 222. Redbird, 9, 213, 214. Cardinal, 144, 214. Summer, 142, 213. Selasphorus alleni, 231. henshawi, 231. Redpoll, Greater, 120. rufus, 195, 231. Lesser, 164. platycercus, 95. Setophaga ruticilla, 118, 142, 212, 230. Redstart, 118, 142, 212. Regulus calendula, 32, 91, 140, 211. Shearwater, Greater, 127 eristatus, 98, 211. Sheldrake, American, 198. Hooded, 198. himalayensis, 98. Red-breasted, 198. ignicapillus, 98. Shoveller, 147, 198. satrapa, 96. Shrike, Common American, 143. Rhinogryphus aura, 196. Great Northern, 81, 120. Rhynchops nigra, 227, 242, 243. Ricebird, 215. Loggerhead, 7, 82, 119, 120, Ridgway, Robert, on the use of trinomials in zoölogical nomenclature, 186, 214, 223. White-rumped, 120. 129; a new species of Peucæa from Sialia arctica, 91. Southern Illinois and Central Texas, mexicana, 163. 218; note on Helminthophaga gunnii, sialis, 32, 34, 140, 210. Gibbs, 233; Henslow's Bunting (Co-Sitta canadeusis, 141, 163, 211. turniculus henslowi) near Washington, carolinensis, 32, 61, 141, 211. carolinensis aculeata, 92, 163. 238. Ring-neck, 32. pusilla, 211. " pygmæa, 92. Road-Runner, 109. Siurus auricapillus, 142, 212. Roberts, T. S., notes on some Minnesota birds, 152; note on Hesperi-phona vespertina, 237. motacilla, 142, 230. nævius, 142, 212, 230. Skimmer, Black, 227, 242, 243. Robin, 31, 33, 91, 140, 178, 210. Western, 163. Blue, 216. Roosevelt, Theodore, notice of his "Notes on some of the Birds of Oyster Bay, L. I.," 171. Skua, Richardson's, 128. Snipe, American, 12, 96, 217, 224. "Red-breasted, 197, 225. Robin, 225. Salpinctes obsoletus, 163.

Wilson's, 63, 147, 197.

Sparrow, Chipping, 9, 32, 93, 144.

144, 214.

Fox-colored, 9, 32, 36, 82,

Black, 29, 32.

Oregon, 165.

214. English, 32. Field, 9, 144, 214.

Snowbird, 9, 143.

Snow-Bunting, 32.

Somateria nigrum, 53.

Solitary, 12. Spotted, 13, 147, 217, 225. .. Stilt, 63.

66 White-rumped, 225.

Curlew, 124, 190.

Least, 32, 53, 225.

Pectoral, 217, 225.

Red-breasted, 225.

Semipalmated, 29.

Saxicola stapazina, 130.

Sanderling, 182, 225. Sandpiper, Bartramian, 217.

"

"

66

Sturnella Indoviciana, 145.

Sparrow, Golden-crowned, 165. Sturnella magna, 9, 36, 215. Harris's, 144, 154. magna neglecta, 94. 66 House, 111. neglecta, 123, 165. " Sula bassana, 128. Ipswich, 103. " fiber, 191. Oak-woods, 219. Ridgway's, 153. Swallow, Barn, 32, 93, 142, 164, 213. Chimney, 11, 31, 215. Rufous-crowned, 47. Savanna, 8, 143, 214. Cliff, 93, 142. Song, 8, 32, 36, 143, 155, 214. " " Rough-winged, 7, 119, 143, 213. 66 " Rocky Mountain, 165. Violet-green, 93. " White-bellied, 93, 142, 164, 213, Swamp, 8, 32, 35, 143, 214. Townsend's, 165. 223. Swan, Trumpeter, 197. 66 Tree, 144. .. Western Chipping, 165. Whistling, 125, 226. .. White-erowned, 94, 143, 165. White-throated, 9, 36, 143, Sweeper, Chimney, 215. " Swift, Chimney, 29, 146, 215. Syrnium nebulosum, 31, 146, 216. TACHYCINETA bicolor, 46, 93, 142, 164, Yellow-winged, 8, 143, 214. 213, 223, Spatula clypeata, 147, 198. Spectyto amaura, 229. thalassina, 93. Sphyrapicus thyroideus, 95. Tachypetes aquila, 64. Tanager, Crimson-headed, 164. varius, 1, 11, 36, 146, 154, 215. Lonisiana, 92, 164. Scarlet, 32, 142, 212. varius nuchalis, 95. 66 Tantalus loculator, 114. varius ruber, 195. Tattler, Solitary, 197, 217, 225. Spizella atrigularis, 46. Teal, Blue-winged, 13, 33, 147, 218. monticola, 144. 66 pallida, 154. Cinnamon, 198. pusilla, 9, 144, 214. Green-winged, 147, 198. socialis, 9, 32, 93, 144, 214. Telltale, Greater, 33, 128, 197, 225. socialis arizonæ, 165. Lesser, 33, 217, 225. Telmatodytes palustris, 141. Squatarola helvetica, 224. Tern, Arctie, 15. Stannis, J. A., Rough-winged Swallow Black, 199. in Connecticut, 119. Common, 15, 16, 227. Steganopus wilsoni, 197. " Caspian, 14, 51, 243. Stelgidopteryx serripennis, 7, 119, 143, 66 Forster's, 14. 213. " Least, 15, 16, 227. Stellula calliope, 195. 66 Marsh, 14. Stereorarius catarrhactes, 127. " Roseate, 15, 16, 20. cepphus, 128. " 66 Royal, 14, 227. crepidatus, 128. 66 66 parasiticus, 114, 128. Sandwich, 14. pomatorhinus, 127, Short-tailed, 14, 227. " 227. Sooty, 14. 66 Wilson's, 16. Sterna anglica, 14. antillarum, 15, 227. Tetrao canadensis, 43. obscurns, 96. cantiaca, 14. Thistle-bird, 164. caspia, 14, 227, 243. Thrasher, Brown, 140, 210. caspia imperator, 51. Thrush, Andubon's, 135. 66 dougalli, 15. 66 fluviatilis, 15, 227. Brown, 32, 140, 210. 66 66 forsteri, 14, 227. Dwarf, 135. 40 66 fuliginosa, 14, 23, Golden-crowned, 142. 66 macrura, 15, 23. Gray-cheeked, 152. 66 maxima, 14, 227. Hermit, 33, 91, 135, 140, 210. Stevens, F., nesting of Buteo zonocercus Olive-backed, 116, 140, 210. in New Mexico, 189. Tawny, 31. 66 Townsend's Flycatching, 93. Stilt, Black-necked, 197. 66 Varied, 163. Strepsilas interpres, 128, 224. 66 Wilson's, 210. Strix flammea americana, 61, 62, 210. flammea nigrescens, 49. Wood, 140, 210.

Thryothorus bewicki, 211.

Vireo noveboracensis, 7, 143, 214. Thryothorus grenadensis, 229. ludovicianus, 61, 141, 183, olivaceus, 7, 32, 143, 213. philadelphicus, 235. 184, 211. " martinicensis, 229. solitarius, 7, 143, 213. Titlark, 92, 174. solitarius cassini, 164. Vireo, Bell's, 143. American, 164. Titmouse, Black-capped, 27, 81.
"Black-crested, 76. Black-capped, 58, 99, 193. 46 Cassin's, 164. Carolina, 76, 211, 223. .. Philadelphia, 235. Red-eyed, 7, 32, 143, 213. Solitary, 7, 143, 213. Warbling, 93, 143. Gray, 182. Tuffed, 34, 81, 116, 140, 211, 223. 66 66 White-eyed, 7, 143, 214. Tom-tit, 211. 66 Yellow-throated, 143, 213. Totanus flavipes, 33, 217, 225. melanoleucus, 33, 128, 225. Vulture, Black, 12. semipalmatus, 225. WAGTAIL, Yellow, 53. Warbler, Audubon's, 28, 92, 164. 66 solitarius, 12, 197, 217, 225. Black-and-white Creeping, Towhee, 9. Green-tailed, 165. 141, 211. Black and Yellow, 142, 212. Long-clawed, 165. Blackburnian, 212. Tringa alpina americana, 225. . 6 Black-poll, 142, 212. bonapartei, 225. 66 Black-throated Blue, 212. canutus, 225. 66 Black-throated Green, 141, maculata, 217, 225. " 212. minutilla, 32, 225. .. Blue-throated, 53. Blue-winged Yellow, 141. subarquata, 124, 190. Tringoides macularius, 13, 24, 147, 217, Blue Yellow-backed, 141, 211. 225. .. Cape May, 212. Canadian Flycatching, 212. Trochilus alexandri, 60, 195. colubris, 11, 31, 146, 215. Cerulean, 25, 142, 185. Troglodytes aëdon, 32. Chestnut-sided, 32, 142, 212. domesticus, 141. Connecticut, 61, 186. domesticus parkmani, 163. 66 Golden-cheeked, 60, 77. Trotter, Spencer, some light on the his-Hooded, 7, 116. Kentucky, 142, 212. tory of a rare bird, 59. Turdus auduboni, 136. 5 6 Kirtland's, 58, 118, 185. caribbæus, 229. 66 44 Macgillivray's, 164. fuscescens, 31. 66 migratorius, 31, 33, 91, 140, 213. Mourning, 142. Nashville, 141. 66 migratorius propinquus, 163. mustelinus, 52, 140, 210. 66 Orange-crowned, 61, 141. 66 Pine-creeping, 212. nævius, 163. 66 nanus, 136. Prairie, 186, 212. 66 66 pallasi, 33, 91, 134, 140, 210. Prothonotary, 141. . . pallasi auduboni, 135. Summer, 141, 212. pallasi nanus, 135. Tennessee, 141. 66 66 swainsoni, 116, 210. Townsend's, 117. White-throated, 59, 183. swainsoni aliciæ, 147. Flycatching, Black-capped ustulatus swainsoni, 140. Turkey, Water, 13.
"Wild, 12, 147, 217. 142, 164. 66 Worm-eating, 141, 212. Yellow, 32, 128, 164, 180. 66 Turnstone, 51, 128, 224. 66 Yellow Red-poll, 60, 186, 212. Tyrannus carolinensis, 10, 24, 31, 145, 66 Yellow-rumped, 32, 34, 118, 215. verticalis, 42, 50, 195. 142, 212. Yellow-throated, 212. URIA grylle, 23. Waxwing, Carolina, 213. Utamania torda, 227. Wheaton, J. M., Kirtland's Warbler again in Ohio, 58; occurrence of VIREO atricapillus, 58, 99, 193. belli, 143. birds rare to the vicinity of Columflavifrons, 143, 213, 237.

bus, O., 62.

Whip-poor-will, 146, 215, 223.

gilvus, 143, 237.

gilvus swainsoni, 93.

Whip-poor-will, Dutch, 215. Whiskey-Jack, Rocky-Mountain, 239. Widgeon, American, 198, 218. European, 190.

Willet, 225.
Williams, Robert S., the Blue-gray Gnatcatcher and Sanderling in Minnesota, 182.

Wilson and Bonaparte's Ornithology, notice of, 53.

Woodcock, 12, 147, 217. Woodpecker, Aretic, 154.

Banded Three-toed, 6, 95.

Black-backed Three-toed, 6, 195,

Brown-headed, 95.

Downy, 11, 31, 95, 111, 146, 187, 216. Hairy, 11, 31, 95, 111, 146, 216.

2.0 Harris's, 195.

Golden-winged, 11, 31, 146, 216.

Lewis's, 195. Nuchal, 95. "

16 Pileated, 6, 11, 146, 216.

4.0 Red-bellied, 6, 11, 36, 146, 216.

Red-breasted, 195. " Red-cockaded, 11.

Red-headed, 11, 31, 111, 146, 216.

Woodpecker, Yellow-bellied, 1, 11, 36, 111, 146, 154, 216. Wren, Bewick's, 211.

Great Carolina, 61, 141, 183, 184, 211.

" House, 32, 141.

" Long-billed Marsh, 32, 141.

16 Rock, 163. 66 Ruby-crested, 32.

.. Ruby-crowned, 140. .. Short-billed Marsh, 5.

" Western House, 163. " Winter, 34, 37, 141, 211.

Wright, Frank S., see Rathbun, S. F. XANTHOCEPHALUS icterocephalus, 94, 145, 165.

Xema sabinei, 114.

YELLOWBIRD, 143, 164. Summer, 164.

Yellow-throat, Maryland, 142, 212. ZENEDURA carolinensis, 12, 37, 85, 96,

147, 196, 217.

Zerega, Louis A., capture of a Fish Crow (Corvus ossifragus) near Seabright, Mo Jersey, 239. Monmouth County, New

Zonotrichia albicollis, 9, 36, 107, 144, 214.

٤ ۵ coronata, 165.

" leucophrys, 94, 144, 165. 66 leucophrys intermedia, 153. querula, 144, 154.

66















